

## Research Article

# General Anesthesia in the Endovascular Treatment of Acute Stroke: A Prospective, Consecutive, Single-Centre, Interventional Study

Axel U\*

Department of Neuroanesthesiology, Paracelsus Medical University of Salzburg, Austria

\***Corresponding author:** Unterrainer Axel, Department of Neuroanesthesiology, Paracelsus Medical University of Salzburg, Ignaz-Harrer-Strasse 79, A 5020 Salzburg, Austria

**Received:** September 29, 2017; **Accepted:** October 23, 2017; **Published:** November 14, 2017

**Abstract**

**Introduction:** being debated. General anesthesia in the endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke is still

**Patients and Methods:** A total of 169 patients who met the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke (SITS-MOST) inclusion criteria were admitted to our stroke unit and were treated endovascularly under general anesthesia. Outcome parameters were measured by the modified Rankin Scale as well as the Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) scale score. Follow-up evaluations were made at discharge and also at 90 days. All complications were registered.

**Results:** The rate of successful re-canalization for patients treated under general anesthesia was 69%, the mean time from symptom onset to groin puncture was 221 (77-679) minutes and the time delay from induction of anesthesia to groin puncture was 35 (10-115) minutes. At 90 days 43% of observed patients were documented with good clinical outcome. The mortality rate was 17%.

**Conclusion:** Endovascular treatment under general anesthesia even with an acceptable time delay is feasible in well organized stroke centers and may provide better procedural conditions than conscious sedation.

**Keywords:** Ischemic stroke; Endovascular treatment; General anesthesia; Conscious sedation; Thrombectomy

**Key Points**

GA for endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke is still being debated.

The advantage of GA is that the movement of the patient is eliminated. GA therefore allows greater procedural accuracy and reduces the risk of procedural complications.

The time delay involved with GA is acceptable in well-organized stroke centers.

A prospective, randomized study may show if GA has a better outcome than CS.

**Abbreviations**

General Anesthesia (GA), Conscious Sedation (CS), Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI), Endovascular Mechanical Re-canalization (EMR).

**Introduction**

Stroke management has evolved rapidly in the past decade. Still stroke is one of the three leading causes of death and life-long disability worldwide [1,2].

Intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) using recombinant tissue plasminogen activator is often fails in re-opening large cerebral artery

occlusion [3].

Therefore endovascular mechanical re-canalization (EMR) techniques are gaining importance

There is still a serious debate among neuro interventionalists whether patients should receive general anesthesia (GA) or conscious sedation (CS) for EMR [4-8]. The main argument against GA is the time delay until groin puncture [9].

In this analysis we will show the thrombectomy results we obtained from all our patients only treated under GA.

**Materials and Methods****Study population**

All 169 consecutive patients admitted to our stroke unit from February 2007 to May 2013 who were treated with EMR were registered in the Stroke Unit registry, a nationwide database.

The most recent thrombectomy devices were used as soon as they became available.

Clinical outcome parameters were measured by the National Institute of Health Scale (NIHSS) at time of admission and discharge. Information on functional outcome, assessed by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), was measured at 90 days after treatment. Time of symptom onset to admission, time from admission to first

neuroimaging and the door-to-needle-time were assessed.

### Radiological investigations

All patients underwent computed tomography (CT) scan including a computed tomography angiogram (CTA) at admission. In case of allergy to iodine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with diffusion weighted sequences (DWI) and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) was performed. Patients with undefined symptom onset or with strokes out of the intravenous time window were treated with EMR only with a proven mismatch in MRI. [10,11] Large cerebral artery occlusion was defined as complete obstruction of the flow in the internal carotid artery (ICA), middle cerebral artery (MCA) or basilar artery (BA). The first post procedural CT was always obtained within 24 hours or immediately, if any clinical deterioration was observed.

Reperfusion was measured by the Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TiCI) scale [12,13]. Successful re-canalization was defined as a score of 2b and 3 on the TiCI.

### Treatment

Patients admitted to our stroke unit were monitored and received prophylaxis for deep-vein thrombosis, according to the stroke guidelines [3]. If patients meet the inclusion criteria according SITS-MOST, IVT is indicated within a time window of 4.5 hours after symptom onset and in tra-arterial thrombolysis (IAT) within 6 hours [14,15]. In our internal guidelines for IAT and EMR, we extended the time window up to 12 hours in patients with basilar artery (BA) occlusion. If unconsciousness had lasted less than 4 hours and in case of patients with fluctuating symptoms, we even extended the time window to 24 hours.

If indicated, patients were transferred immediately to the angiography suite under ongoing IVT as a bridging therapy.

### Anesthesiological considerations

The team of anesthesiologists was alarmed in advance before the stroke patient's arrival at the hospital. GA was performed only by neuroanesthesiologists on request of the surgeon. The reasons for GA were to avoid unforeseeable movements, to improve the oxygen supply of the patient as well as the comfort of the patient concerning long lasting motionlessness. Furthermore stroke patients frequently do not obey simple commands, breathe shallowly and they are anxious. In the majority of cases they are also not cooperative.

There was of course no fastening prior to anesthesia. Therefore crush induction in a 30°-45° upper part elevated position was necessary. With intention to avoid a drop of blood pressure phenylephrine 10mg dissolved in 500ml saline was administered by electronic infusion device before induction of general anesthesia in all patients [16] Patients were preoxygenated via face mask until an expiratory oxygen concentration higher than 90% was reached. After injecting fentanyl 0.15 µgkg<sup>-1</sup>, propofol was given until the eyelash reflex disappeared. Intubation was performed under relaxation by rocuronium 1.2 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> based on ideal body weight. Insertion of a cannula in the radial artery was mandatory for beat to beat measurement of blood pressure. Hypotension was treated in all patients with fluids and phenylephrine to achieve a systolic blood pressure of at least 150mmHg and a mean arterial pressure of at least 100 mmHg during the entire procedure

until clot removal [16]. Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane MAC 1. Analgesia was provided by fentanyl boluses depending on increase of heart rate. With the intention to maintain sufficient cerebral perfusion patients were ventilated to an EtCO<sub>2</sub> between 35 and 40 mmHg [17]. SpO<sub>2</sub> higher than 98% was desired. Systolic blood pressure was kept between 110 and 130 mmHg by titrating urapidil 10mg stepwise after successful re-canalization to avoid hyper perfusion injury [18]. A warming mattress was used to prevent excessive decline of body temperature below 34°C. Postoperative pain caused by compression bandages was treated prophylactically with 1000 mg paracetamol. After vessel reopening, patients were extubated in the neurological intensive care unit immediately after re-warming.

### Endovascular procedure

Digital subtraction angiography was performed on a biplane high-resolution angiography system (Art is Zee Biplane System, Siemens AG, Germany) via a femoral approach. All procedures were performed by two neuro interventionalists.

We used either a 6F or 8F guide catheter or in cases of very twisted vessels, a 6F or 8F long sheath to reach the target artery. Occlusion of the target vessel was verified angiographically and rated on the TICI scale. Using a coaxial system, we advanced a distal access catheter and a microcatheter over a guidewire placed through the thrombus. After removal of the guidewire, a microcatheter angiographic run was performed to confirm the correct positioning. Then the retrieval device was advanced through the microcatheter across the vessel occlusion and deployed completely by pulling back the microcatheter. The distal access catheter was positioned as distal as possible, preferably in the M1 segment regarding MCA occlusions and next to the occluded part of the basilar artery regarding basilar artery occlusions. The device was then removed into the distal access catheter, while applying suction through the distal access catheter. An angiographic run was performed to evaluate flow restoration. If necessary, the entire process was repeated until the end of the therapeutic window. Groin punctures were routinely closed with a closure system.

Endovascular retrieval devices were used chronologically as they were available.

During the endovascular procedure, the following time points were documented: time from start of intravenous thrombolysis to start of general anesthesia, time from symptom onset to groin puncture, time from first neuroimaging to groin puncture, time from start of general anesthesia to groin puncture, time from groin puncture to first angiography of the target artery, time from target artery to first micro-angiogram, time from first micro angiogram to termination, time from symptom onset to first usage of the microcatheter, time from groin puncture to termination of angiography, time from symptom onset to termination, and time from arrival to termination.

### Post-interventional management

If there was no contraindication revealed by a CT scan undertaken 24 hours after the operation, oral medication with acetyl salicylic acid 100mg was started on the first day after the procedure. All patients were checked by MR scan before discharge or Transferal.

### Complications

An intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) was defined as symptomatic,

**Table 1:** Demographic data and radiological findings.

age	66 (27-90)
men	56% (95/169)
women	44% (74/169)
Basilar artery	18% (30/169)
middle cerebral artery	61% (103/169)
Carotis artery occlusion	21% (36/169)
TICI score 1 at admission	100%
TICI score at discharge	
TICI 1	11% (18/169)
TICI2 a	19% (32/169)
TICI 2b	29% (49/169)
TICI 3	41% (70/169)
TICI 2b and 3	70% (119/169)
One step recanalization	20% (33/169)
Distal embolization	1% (2/169)
Vessel perforation	2% (4/169)
Symptomatic ICH	12% (20/169)
Asymptomatic ICH	29% (49/169)
Malign Infarction	9% (16/169)

when an increase of 4 or more points in the NIHSS score occurred within 24 hours in concordance with the evidence of any blood on the 24-hour head CT/MRI scan. Patients detected with asymptomatic ICH were registered with a small subarachnoidal hemorrhage or hemorrhage in the infarction area without clinical deterioration. According to the SITS-MOST criteria a symptomatic bleeding was defined with a hemorrhage in the infarct area that causes a mild to substantial space-occupying effect. Intracerebral hemorrhagic transformation in the infarction area without clinical deterioration was defined as asymptomatic [19].

### Statistical analysis

Data were carefully checked for possible outliers. Descriptive analyses were done by computing means, range, and relative percentages.

## Results

All 169 patients were treated with GA.

Demographic data and radiological findings are listed in (Table 1).

61% of treated patients received intravenous thrombolysis either before or before and during the procedure (Table 2).

The mean time from start of GA to groin puncture was 35 (10-115) min. Mean treatment time (groin puncture to procedure termination) amounted to 88 (10-185) min. Further intervals and performed options of treatment are shown in (Tables 3,4).

The types and the frequency of used devices are mentioned in (Table 5).

Successful re-canalization TICI 2b and TICI 3 was achieved in

**Table 2:** Intravenous thrombolysis either before or before and during the procedure.

NIHSS at admission	18 (Fass Dr. Wintersteller28)
intravenous thrombolysis	61% (103/169)
additional intraarterial rt-PA	48% (81/169)
NIHSS discharge	12 (0-26)
mRS discharge	
mRS $\leq 2$	23% (39/169)
mRS 3	20% (33/169)
mRS 4+5	45% (76/169)
mRS 6 = deceased	12% (21/169)
mRS at 90 days	n=148
mRS $\leq 2$	48% (71/148)
mRS 3	17% (25/148)
mRS 4+5	28% (41/148)
mRS 6 = deceased	5% (8/148)
lost	2% (3/148)
Overall deceased	17% (29/169)

69% of cases. Clinical outcome was good, resulting in an mRS score  $\leq 2$  in 43% of patients. We did not use balloon guide catheters for distal embolization protection. The distal access catheter was positioned as distal as possible. As we performed at the distal access catheter suction during the stent retrieval procedure, we did not experience distal embolization (Table 2).

Symptomatic ICH occurred in 12%, asymptomatic ICH in 29%, and vessel perforation in 2% (Table 1).

The overall mortality rate was 17% at 90 days. Further data of outcome are summarized in (Table 2).

## Discussion

The management of acute ischemic stroke has evolved in the last decade because large clinical trials have shown the efficacy of mechanical re-canalization devices in large cerebral artery occlusion [9,13].

A total of 70% of all patients treated with EMR under GA were found to have successful re-canalization.

Various types of sedation are now used in acute ischemic stroke [4-8]. There is a controversy about whether to use GA or CS during the endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke. Current data only provide little information concerning thrombectomy under GA or CS. Another drawback of these studies is that different time gaps have been analyzed, as far as the individual procedures used on a stroke patient between admission and arrival in the endovascular ward.

The main concern against using GA is the time delay until the start of the neuro interventional procedure itself [14].

Studies have revealed that the duration of the time delay is influenced by the availability of anesthetic staff [17].

We registered a mean time delay from symptom onset to the first

**Table 3:** Time gaps of symptoms onset and procedures in minutes mean and range (n = 169).

Symptom onset to intravenous thrombolysis	120 (48-715)
Symptom onset to admission	114 (20-717)
Hospital admission to first CCT	22 (2-86)
First CCT prior to intravenous thrombolysis	20 (3-160)
Door to needle time	43 (14-99)
Start anesthesia to groin puncture	35 (10-115)
Symptom onset to groin puncture	221 (77-679)
Groin puncture to first target vessel	18 (2-115)
Target vessel to first microcatheter	29 (22-101)
Microcatheter to recanalization/ procedure termination (min)	40 (3-100)
Target vessel to recanalization/termination (min)	63 (9-142)
Groin puncture to procedure termination	88 (10-185)

**Table 4:** Interventions and drug therapy (n = 169).

Intra-arterial thrombolysis	81 (48%)
Stent	25 (15%)
No EMR (no access possible)	3 (2%)
Intravenous thrombolysis	103 (61%)
Tirofiban administered	25 (15%)
Intermediate catheter	70 (41%)
Decompressive surgery	13 (8%)

procedure, the groin puncture, of 222 min, which is much shorter than the previous studies, reported. Abou-Chebl, et al. analyzed 980 patients treated with IAT and showed a mean time delay in patients treated under GA of 306 min, and under CS of 296 min [20]. Another trial, which analyzed 126 thrombectomy patients, documented a mean time delay of 417 min in patients treated under GA and even in patients treated under CS a mean time delay of 654 min [21]. A retrospective analysis of 66 Merci retriever treated patients, registered a mean time delay of 271 min in patients treated under GA and 260 min in patients treated under CS [22].

The two stent retriever trials, Trevo 2 and Swift, did not differentiate in their analysis between patients treated under GA or CS. Overall, Trevo 2 showed a mean time delay from symptom onset to groin puncture of 300 min (82% within 88 Trevo treated patients received GA) and Swift reported 31 patients with a mean time delay of 301.6 min [13,23].

Hassan, et al. registered the time from arrival to microcatheter positioning and described 53 endovascularly treated patients under GA with a mean time delay of 310 min and 83 patients treated under CS a mean time of 287 min [24]. We found a mean time of 262 min, even shorter than the results of Hassan, et al. Our shorter time delay may be because we have a team of anesthesiologists experienced in stroke patients available 24 hours every day. These neuro anesthesiologists are so experienced that they avoid significant alterations in hemodynamic parameters and cerebral perfusion pressure during the induction of GA and so avoid damage of the ischemic penumbra, which reacts very sensitively to hemodynamic changes [25]. A specialized stroke neurologist receives the stroke patients as soon as they arrive at our institution. Another important

fact is that the emergency room of our institute and the staffroom of the anesthesiologists are located very close to the radiological department and the endovascular suite. Stroke patients are treated immediately after arrival without any waiting time.

The mean time delay between acute stroke imaging and the first angiographic run was 87 min. Berkefeld; et al. documented a mean time delay of 50 min for 31 patients treated endovascularly under GA and a mean time of 65 min within 9 patients without GA [14].

The inclusion of tele stroke patients in our data may have reduced the time delay by 20 to 30 minutes.

As described before, the time from symptom onset to groin puncture in our data is comparable to former studies that analyzed endovascularly treated patients under CS, even shorter.

The performance of thrombectomy under GA has many advantages. The main advantage is the elimination of movement of the patient, which allows a greater procedural accuracy, reduced time delay, and a potentially reduced risk of procedural complications [14].

The mean procedure time from groin puncture to re-canalization in our study was 88 minutes, which is significantly better than studies that showed a mean procedure time of 111 minutes for 57 and 99 minutes for 105 endovascularly treated patients under CS [26,27]. Similar findings and even lower procedure times compare favorable to former thrombectomy studies that also treated patients under GA [13,28,29].

It is known that the insertion of the Endoluminal device into the intracranial vessels and the process of clot retrieving are associated with discomfort and even pain. The advantage of GA is the prevention of intra-procedural pain thereby also reducing the risk of patient agitation and restlessness [30,31].

The movement of the patient disrupts the roadmap, thus increasing the risk of complications such as perforation [32]. Only 2% of all analyzed patients were documented with vessel perforation because GA eliminated patient movement.

Endovascular treatment under GA further ensures airway protection and obviates the need for emergency conversion to GA. Acute stroke patients are unlikely to have adequately fasted and are at high risk of pulmonary aspiration. Furthermore most severely affected stroke patients suffer from dysphagia and may be unable to protect their airway themselves [33]. Many studies described the urgent need to conversion to GA during the endovascular procedure under CS [26-20,34,35].

The relatively short time delay needed for GA seems to be acceptable because the intervention can be performed under optimal conditions, as fast as possible, and with a high technical success rate [14]. Furthermore the MRCLEAN study shows that the effect of treatment as a risk difference on reaching independence is influenced by the time taken until reopening of the vessel occlusion and not by the time of the onset of the symptoms to groin punctures [9].

The rate of symptomatic hemorrhage was 12%, equal to former studies. The Multi Merci Trial registered symptomatic hemorrhage rate of 10% for 111 patients and as tent retriever study showed a

hemorrhage rate of 15% for 101 patients treated [36,37]. Castagno, et al. also analyzed 20 patients treated under GA with a symptomatic hemorrhage rate of 10% [29].

Trevor 2 included 82% of 88 patients treated end avascularity under GA and even showed a symptomatic ICH rate of 7% [23]. In a study with 980 patients, Abou-Chebl, et al. found no significant differences in patients treated with GA in comparison to those treated only with CS (9.3% vs. 9.1%;  $p < 0.82$ ) regarding the hemorrhage rate [38]. Concluding that treatment under GA does not cause a higher hemorrhage rate, but rather it reduces bleeding events due to better surgical conditions [14]. Numerous studies have suggested GA to be independently associated with poorer outcomes during the neuro interventional procedures [38,34,4,39] Nichols, et al. postulated that deep sedation is associated with increased mortality [4].

Most studies that compared endovascular treatment under GA to CS documented higher baseline NIHSS in patients treated under GA than CS, and therefore recommended endovascular treatment under CS to achieve a better clinical outcome [38,34,25].

43% of our patients were documented with good clinical outcome, similar or even higher rates compared favorably to other stent retriever studies that documented comparable rates of revascularization outcomes ranging from 30%-54% at day 90 [4,36,40-43].

This is lower than in studies in which patients were treated under CS, as can be seen in studies in which the mortality rate of 66 endovascularly treated patients was 29.8% and with 36 endovascularly treated patients, 22.2% and with 126 similarly treated patients were 22.2% [25].

Similar to our results, stent retriever studies reported mortality rates ranging from 7% to 33% [23,36,41,44]. Our data did not confirm GA to be associated with a higher mortality rate. As mentioned before the procedure seems to be more accurate under GA.

In contrast to van den Berg, et al. who reported a good clinical outcome  $mRS \leq 2$  in 26% of patients in non-GA group and in 14% of patients in GA group, we achieved a good clinical outcome in 43% of patients [45]. All of them were treated under GA. The mortality rate, 17% in our study, was equal to the non-GA group and even better than GA group at 21%. This might be explained by our standardized regime of GA, which avoided long lasting decrease of blood pressure, low tension of carbon dioxide, and hypoxemia. Insufficient oxygenation occurs in slowly and shallowly breathing patients under CS. Furthermore our study showed a more frequent angiographic reperfusion TiCI 2b/3b 69% versus 43% in non-GA group and 49% in GA group.

Our study had two limitations. First, the number of patients was small. Second, we did not have a control group which received endovascular treatment under CS.

Only centers that provide experienced anesthesiologists 24 hours every day without any waiting time for them should perform endovascular treatment under general anesthesia. Our and Brekenfeld's data show that GA can be performed with an acceptable time delay in well-organized stroke centers [14].

Our data may go with Schönerberger's findings which do not show any advantage of CS although anesthesiology procedures were not standardized in this study [45].

As recommended in several recently published articles, a prospective, randomized study is needed to show any difference in neurologic outcome between patients treated either by GA or by CS for EMR [46-49].

## Conclusion

Endovascular treatment under GA is feasible with an acceptable time delay in well organized stroke centers. Endovascular treatment under GA has the advantage of optimal surgical conditions, fewer technical failures, best patient monitoring, airway protection, and may also have better clinical outcomes caused by acceptable recanalization results.

## References

1. Luis Henrique de Castro-Afonso, Thiago Giansante Abud, Octávio Marques Pontes-Neto, Lucas Moretti Monsignore, Guilherme Seizem Nakiri, Pedro Telles Cougo-Pinto, et al. Mechanical thrombectomy with solitaire stent retrieval for acute ischemic stroke in a Brazilian population. *Clinics (São Paulo, Brazil)*. 2012; 67: 1379-1386.
2. Moulin DE, Lo R, Chiang J, Barnett HJ. Prognosis in middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Stroke*. 1985; 16: 282-284.
3. Hacke W, Donnan G, Fieschi C, Kaste M, Kummer R von, Broderick JP, et al. Association of outcome with early stroke treatment. Pooled analysis of ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials. *Lancet*. 2004; 363: 768-774.
4. van den Berg LA, Koelman DL, Berkhemer OA, Rozeman AD, Fransen PS, Beumer D, Type of anesthesia and differences in clinical outcome after intra-arterial treatment for ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2015; 46: 1257-1262.
5. Simonsen CZ, Sørensen LH, Juul N, Johnsen SP, Yoo AJ, Andersen G, et al. Anesthetic strategy during endovascular therapy: General anesthesia or conscious sedation? (GOLIATH - General or Local Anesthesia in Intra Arterial Therapy) A single-center randomized trial. *Int J Stroke*. 2016; 11:1045-1052.
6. Wang A, Stellfox M, Moy F, Abramowicz AE, Lehrer R, Epstein R, et al. General Anesthesia During Endovascular Stroke Therapy Does Not Negatively Impact Outcome. *World Neurosurg*. 2016; S1878-8750(16)31376-6.
7. Janssen H, Buchholz G, Killer M, Ertl L, Brückmann H, Lutz J. General Anesthesia Versus Conscious Sedation in Acute Stroke Treatment: The Importance of Head Immobilization. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2016; 39: 1239-1244.
8. Nikoubashman O, Schürmann K, Probst T, Müller M, Alt JP, Othman AE, et al. Clinical Impact of Ventilation Duration in Patients with Stroke Undergoing Interventional Treatment under General Anesthesia: The Shorter the Better?. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2016; 37: 1074-1079.
9. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PSS, Beumer D, van den Berg, Lucie A, Lingsma HF, Yoo AJ et al.: A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2015; 372: 11-20.
10. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372: 1019-1030.
11. Campbell BCV, Mitchell PJ, Yan B, Parsons MW, Christensen S, Churilov L, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled study to investigate EXTending the time for Thrombolysis in Emergency Neurological Deficits with Intra-Arterial therapy (EXTEND-IA). *Int J Stroke*. 2014; 9: 126-132.
12. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, de Miquel MA, Molina CA, Rovira A, et al. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372: 2296-2306.

13. Saver JL, Jahan R, Levy EI, Jovin TG, Baxter B, Nogueira R, et al. SOLITAIRE™ with the intention for thrombectomy (SWIFT) trial: design of a randomized, controlled, multicenter study comparing the SOLITAIRE™ Flow Restoration device and the MERCI Retriever in acute ischaemic stroke. *Int J Stroke*. 2014; 9: 658-668.
14. Brekenfeld C, Mattle HP, Schroth G: General is better than local anesthesia during endovascular procedures. *Stroke*. 2010; 41: 2716-2717.
15. Butcher KS, Parsons M, MacGregor L, Barber PA, Chalk J, Bladin C, et al. Refining the perfusion-diffusion mismatch hypothesis. *Stroke*. 2005; 36: 1153-1159.
16. Chen F, Ni Y. Magnetic resonance diffusion-perfusion mismatch in acute ischemic stroke: An update. *World J Radiol*. 2012; 4: 63-74.
17. McDonagh DL, Olson DM, Kalia JS, Gupta R, Abou-Chebl A, Zaidat OO. Anesthesia and Sedation Practices Among Neurointerventionalists during Acute Ischemic Stroke Endovascular Therapy. *Front Neurol*. 2010; 1: 118.
18. Higashida R, Furlan A, Roberts H, Tomsick T, Connors B, Barr J, et al. Trial design and reporting standards for intraarterial cerebral thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2003; 14: S493-494.
19. Micieli G, Marcheselli S, Tosi PA. Safety and efficacy of alteplase in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*. 2009; 5: 397-409.
20. Stemer A, Lyden P. Evolution of the thrombolytic treatment window for acute ischemic stroke. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2010; 10: 29-33.
21. Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PM, Phillips SJ, Sandercock PA; IST Collaborative Group. Blood pressure and clinical outcomes in the International Stroke Trial. *Stroke*. 2002; 33: 1315-1320.
22. Takahashi CE, Brambrink AM, Aziz MF, Macri E, Raines J, Multani-Kohol A, et al. Association of intraprocedural blood pressure and end tidal carbon dioxide with outcome after acute stroke intervention. *Neurocritical care*. 2014; 20: 202-208.
23. Nikoubashman O, Schürmann K, Probst T, Müller M, Alt JP, Othman AE, et al. Clinical Impact of Ventilation Duration in Patients with Stroke Undergoing Interventional Treatment under General Anesthesia: The Shorter the Better? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2016; 37: 1074-1079.
24. Nour M, Scalzo F, Liebeskind DS. Ischemia-reperfusion injury in stroke. *Interv Neurol*. 2013; 1: 185-199.
25. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Dávalos A, Hacke W, Millán M, Muir K, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3-4.5 h after acute ischaemic stroke (SITS-ISTR): an observational study. *Lancet*. 2008; 372: 1303-1309.
26. Nogueira RG, Lutsep HL, Gupta R, Jovin TG, Albers GW, Walker GA, et al. Trevo versus Merci retrievers for thrombectomy revascularisation of large vessel occlusions in acute ischaemic stroke (TREVO 2): A randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2012; 380: 1231-1240.
27. Abou-Chebl A, Lin R, Hussain MS, Jovin TG, Levy EI, Liebeskind DS, et al. Conscious sedation versus general anesthesia during endovascular therapy for acute anterior circulation stroke: preliminary results from a retrospective, multicenter study. *Stroke*. 2010; 41: 1175-1179.
28. Langner S, Khaw AV, Fretwurst T, Angermaier A, Hosten N, Kirsch M. Endovaskuläre Therapie des akut ischämischen Schlaganfalls unter Analgosedierung im Vergleich zur Intubationsnarkose - Durchführbarkeit, periprozedurale Sicherheit, klinisches und radiologisches Outcome. *RöFo: Fortschritte auf dem Gebiete der Röntgenstrahlen und der Nuklearmedizin*. 2013; 185: 320-327.
29. Jumaa MA, Zhang F, Ruiz-Ares G, Gelzinis T, Malik AM, Aleu A, et al. Comparison of safety and clinical and radiographic outcomes in endovascular acute stroke therapy for proximal middle cerebral artery occlusion with intubation and general anesthesia versus the nonintubated state. *Stroke*. 2010; 41: 1180-1184.
30. Gizewski ER, Weber R, Forsting M. Diagnostik und endovaskuläre Therapie intrakranieller arterieller Stenosen. *RöFo: Fortschritte auf dem Gebiete der Röntgenstrahlen und der Nuklearmedizin*. 2011; 183: 104-111.
31. Hassan AE, Chaudhry SA, Zacharatos H, Khatri R, Akbar U, Suri MFK, et al. Increased rate of aspiration pneumonia and poor discharge outcome among acute ischemic stroke patients following intubation for endovascular treatment. *Neurocritical care* 2012; 16: 246-250.
32. Sugg RM, Jackson AS, Holloway W, Martin CO, Akhtar N, Rymer M. Is mechanical embolectomy performed in nonanesthetized patients effective?. *AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology*. 2010; 31: 1533-1535.
33. Ginsberg MD, Pulsinelli WA. The ischemic penumbra, injury thresholds, and the therapeutic window for acute stroke. *Ann Neurol*. 1994; 36: 553-554.
34. John N, Mitchell P, Dowling R, Yan B. Is general anaesthesia preferable to conscious sedation in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke with intra-arterial mechanical thrombectomy? A review of the literature. *Neuroradiology*. 2013; 55: 93-100.
35. Abou-Chebl A, Bajzer CT, Krieger DW, Furlan AJ, Yadav JS, Multimodal therapy for the treatment of severe ischemic stroke combining GPIIb/IIIa antagonists and angioplasty after failure of thrombolysis. *Stroke*. 2005; 36: 2286-2288.
36. Adams HP, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, Bhatt DL, Brass L, Furlan A, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. *Stroke*. 2007; 38: 1655-1711.
37. Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, Diamant N, Speechley M, Teasell R. Dysphagia after stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. *Stroke*. 2005; 36: 2756-2763.
38. Varma MK, Price K, Jayakrishnan V, Manickam B, Kessell G. Anaesthetic considerations for interventional neuroradiology. *British journal of anaesthesia* 2007; 99: 75-85.
39. Nichols C, Carrozzella J, Yeatts S, Tomsick T, Broderick J, Khatri P. Is periprocedural sedation during acute stroke therapy associated with poorer functional outcomes? *J neurointerv surg*. 2010; 2: 67-70.
40. Davis MJ, Menon BK, Baghirzada LB, Campos-Herrera CR, Goyal M, Hill MD et al.: Anesthetic management and outcome in patients during endovascular therapy for acute stroke. *Anesthesiology*. 2012; 116: 396-405.
41. Stampfl S, Hartmann M, Ringleb PA, Haehnel S, Bendszus M, Röhde S. Stent placement for flow restoration in acute ischemic stroke: a single-center experience with the Solitaire stent system. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011; 32: 1245-1248.
42. Mendonça N, Flores A, Pagola J, Rubiera M, Rodríguez-Luna D, Miquel MA de et al.: Trevo System: single-center experience with a novel mechanical thrombectomy device. *Journal of neuroimaging: official journal of the American Society of Neuroimaging*. 2013; 23: 7-11.
43. Nguyen TN, Malisch T, Castonguay AC, Gupta R, Sun CJ, Martin CO, et al. Balloon guide catheter improves revascularization and clinical outcomes with the Solitaire device: analysis of the North American Solitaire Acute Stroke Registry. *Stroke*. 2014; 45: 141-145.
44. Schönenberger S, Uhlmann L, Hacke W, Schieber S, Mundiyanapurath S, Purrucker JC, Nagel S, Klose C, Pfaff J, Bendszus M, Ringleb PA, Kieser M, Möhlenbruch MA, Bösel J. Effect of Conscious Sedation vs General Anesthesia on Early Neurological Improvement Among Patients With Ischemic Stroke Undergoing Endovascular Thrombectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2016; 316: 1986-1996.
45. van den Berg, Lucie A, Koelman DLH, Berkhemer OA, Rozeman AD, Franssen PSS, Beumer D. et al. Type of anesthesia and differences in clinical outcome after intra-arterial treatment for ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2015; 46: 1257-1262.
46. Binjilki W, Murad MH, Rabinstein AA, Cloft HJ, Lanzino G, Kallmes DF. Conscious sedation versus general anesthesia during endovascular acute ischemic stroke treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AJNR. Am J Neuroradiol*. 2015; 36: 525-529.

47. Schönerberger S, Möhlenbruch M, Pfaff J, Mundiyanapurath S, Kieser M, Bendszus M. et al. Sedation vs. Intubation for Endovascular Stroke Treatment (SIESTA) - a randomized monocentric trial. *Int J Stroke*. 2015; 10: 969-978.
48. Jagani M, Brinjikji W, Rabinstein AA, Pasternak JJ, Kallmes DF. Hemodynamics during anesthesia for intra-arterial therapy of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2016; 8: 883-888.
49. Bösel J. Management of the Interventional Stroke Patient. *Curr Treat Options Neurol*. 2015; 17: 45.