# **Research Article**

# Synergistic Effects of Humic Acid and Glucan in Hepatoprotection against Experimental Liver Injury

Vetvicka V<sup>1\*</sup>, Garcia-Mina JM<sup>2.3</sup>, Proctor M<sup>4</sup> and Yvin J-C<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Louisville, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of R&D, Timac Agro International-Roullier Group, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry and Soil Chemistry, University of Navarra, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Research Resource Center, University of Louisville, USA <sup>5</sup>Department of R&D, Timac Agro International, France

\***Corresponding author:** Vetvicka V, Department of Pathology, University of Louisville, 511 S. Floyd, Louisville, KY 40202 USA, Tel: 502-852-1612; Fax: 502-852-1177; Email: vaclav.vetvicka@louisville.edu

Received: September 17, 2014; Accepted: November 10, 2014; Published: November 11, 2014

# Introduction

Liver regulates numerous important functions in the organism, serving as the main organ for detoxification of various substances. The pathogenesis of liver damage involves many cell types in liver via cell death and regeneration processes. The liver damage often progresses from acute to chronic hepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis and carcinoma. Several experimental models of liver damage are firmly established, including carbon tetrachloride [1], LPS damage [2] and ethanol [3]. Particularly important are studies of alcoholic liver disorders, as they are the leading causes of morbidity throughout the world. Individual step include fatty liver and subsequent alcoholic hepatitis [4], which leads to cirrhosis [5]. All this makes the search for highly effective drugs with hepatoprotective effects an important problem for both medicine and pharmacology.

Humic acids are ubiquitous molecules which can be found wherever organic matter is being decomposed or transposed. Despite long knowledge of humic acids, some of their health related effects remains controversial. Some studies showed antiviral properties [6], some stimulation of lymphocytes [7]. The effects of the abundance of oxygen alkyl-related groups on the biological effects of humic acid were found [8]. On the other hand, a chromosomal abnormalities resulting from higher doses of humic acid were described [9].

Glucans are members of a group of physiologically active compounds generally called biological response modifiers and represent highly conserved structural components of cell walls in yeast, fungi, seaweed and plants. Glucan's role as a biologically active immunomodulator has been well documented for over 60 years. Biological effects of glucans include stimulation of infectious immunity, activation of bone marrow cell production, anti-cancer effects, lowering of blood cholesterol and amelioration of stress [10-12, for review see 13].

Lately, several studies demonstrated that various natural bioactive molecules have better effects when mixed with carefully

#### Abstract

Despite common presence of humic acid, our full knowledge of its biological effect is still lacking. In this paper, we studied the effects of either humic acid alone or in combination with glucan, on reduction of liver damage caused by two different agents, ethanol or lipopolysaccharide. In all tested parameters, two samples of humic acid managed to ameliorate the damaging effects of either lipopolysaccharide or ethanol treatment. In addition, a humic acid-glucan combination showed stronger effects that humic acid alone.

Keywords: Humic acids; Glucan; Liver; Hepatotoxicity; LPS; Ethanol

chosen additives. Studies showing synergistic effects of resveratrol and glucan [14] or glucan and vitamin C [15] suggested glucan as an optimal additive. In our preliminary studies, we found significant synergistic effects of humic acid and glucan [16]. In addition, Celik et al. found that adding humic acid and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* extracts into the food positively influenced performance and some biochemical parameters of chicken [17]. In addition, these substances increase effects of vaccination against influenza [18]. As some of these studies suggested possible inhibition of liver damage, we studied the hepatoprotective effects of  $\beta$ -glucan, humic acid and their combination reported in this paper.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Animals

Female, 6 to 10 week old BALB/c mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All animal work was done according to the University of Louisville IACUC protocol. Animals were sacrificed by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation.

## Material

Ethanol, lipopolysaccharide (from *Escherichia coli*), formalin, *Limulus* lysate test E-TOXATE, and polymixin B were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

## β-1,3 Glucans

We used a combination of manno-oligosaccharides and  $\beta$ -glucan extracted from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by autolysis at high temperature at controlled pH. When completed, the cell walls and extracts are separated by centrifugation and cell wall is spray dried. The glycosidic composition is 21% mannan, 24%  $\beta$ -glucan (Lallermand Animal Nutrition, Montreal, Canada).

#### Humic acid

Two lignin-derived organic systems were obtained from diverse organic materials using the methodology described by the International Humic substances Society (IHSS) to extract humic

Citation: Vetvicka V, Garcia-Mina JM, Proctor M and Yvin J-C. Synergistic Effects of Humic Acid and Glucan in Hepatoprotection against Experimental Liver Injury. Austin J Clin Pathol. 2014;1(4): 1020. substances (HS) and humic acids (HA), as described in [19]. A first HA was extracted from black peat (Galicia, Spain) (HA8) and the other one from red Quebracho (*Schinopsis sp.*) barks (HA10). The functional organic carbon (C) distribution obtained from 13C-NMR analysis were: 27 % (aliphatic C); 24 % (O-alkyl C); 23 % (aromatic C); 9 % (phenol C); 13 % (carboxylic C) and 3 % (carbonylic C) for HA8, and 11 % (aliphatic C); 35 % (O-alkyl C); 29 % (aromatic C); 22 % (phenol C); 2.2 % (carboxylic C) and 0.5 % (carbonylic C) for HA10. Average molecular weights obtained from size-exclusion liquid chromatography analysis were 13929 Dalton for HA8 and 10963 Dalton for HA10 [19].

## Hepatoprotective activity

Hepatotoxicity was induced by oral feeding of ethanol (1 g/kg of body weight) for 10 days as described by [20] or by an ip. injection of 100 ng/kg body weight of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as described by [2]. Alcohol was diluted in water, LPS in PBS. Mice were randomly divided into several groups and administered orally by gavage during 10 days as follows: Group 1 – control group treated with PBS; Group 2 – treated with glucan; Group 3 – treated with AH8; Group 4 – treated with AH10; and Group 5 – treated with a combination of glucan, AH8 and AH10. At the end of the study, blood was collected and serum prepared. After that, mice were sacrificed and livers were immediately excised and use either for homogenates or for histology.

## **Biochemical markers**

The enzymatic activities of AST, ALT and ALP were assayed spectrophotometrically by (Antech Diagnostics, Louisville, KY, USA). Liver homogenate were prepared by the following technique: livers were excised and rinsed in saline. A small section from each liver was placed in 10% PBS-formalin solution to be used in histological slides. Rest was frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen liver was grounded to a fine powder and 20-25 mg of powder was solubilized. The GSH levels were measured by the GSH test kit (Dojindo Labs, Kumamoto, Japan), SOD as described by Prasanna and Purnima [21] and malondialdehyde (MDA) as shown in [22].

## Histology

Mice were euthanized by inhalation of  $CO_2$  and euthanasia was confirmed by pneumothorax. Liver was removed and fixed with 10% buffered formalin. Fixed tissues were trimmed and paraffinembedded for processing. The blocks were cut into 4  $\mu$ m sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathology examination. Same lobes of the livers were used for histopathological analysis.

# Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  SD. Statistical analysis was performed by a pair t-test using a GraphPad Prism 502 software (GraphPad Software, USA).Values of P  $\leq$  0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# **Results**

Both LPS and ethanol represent widely used models of experimental liver damage. All doses were based on previously published studies. Animals were randomly selected into six groups. In five groups, hepatotoxicity was induces by LPS injection. Four groups were treated with either glucan, two types of humic acid, or a combination of both humic acids and glucan, for a period of 10 days.

#### **Austin Publishing Group**

Table 1: Effects of glucan and humic acid on serum ALT, AST, and ALP of LPS-
induced hepatotoxicity in mice.

Sample	AST (IU/L)	ALT (IU/L)	ALP (IU/L)
PBS	73.2 ± 5.5	19.4 ± 3.1	21.2 ± 1.9
LPS	177.7 ± 11.2	166.5 ± 17.2	188.2 ± 17.5
Glucan	102.2 <sup>•</sup> ± 8.9	69.9 <sup>°</sup> ± 4.7	82.3 <sup>•</sup> ± 7.1
AH8	111.2 <sup>•</sup> ± 6.9	70.2 <sup>°</sup> ± 7.1	83.4 <sup>*</sup> ± 6.6
AH10	108.5 <sup>•</sup> ± 6.8	71.7 <sup>•</sup> ± 3.8	80.1 <sup>°</sup> ± 7.2
Glucan + AH8+AH10	81.2 <sup>*</sup> ± 4.7	33.1 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.9	28.9 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.5

\*Significant difference against LPS group at P ≤ 0.05 level.

After the end of the treatment, mice were sacrificed and blood and liver harvested. The results of LPS-induced hepatotoxicity are shown in Tables 1 and 3. Administration of LPS caused a marked increase in serum levels of AST, ALT and ALP. When we tested effects on hepatic enzymes, LPS-treatment caused increase of MDA, but strong decrease of levels of GSH and SOD. Treatment with glucan alone significantly improved levels of all tested parameters with exception of GSH. Both humic acid samples improved levels of all six tested markers, and their effects were comparable to those of glucan with the only exception being MDA, where glucan returned the elevated levels significantly more. In all cases, the effects of the glucan-humic acid combination were the strongest.

The same experimental design was used in case of ethanol-induced hepatotoxicity. Glucan treatment significantly lowered the effects of **Table 2:** Effects of glucan and humic acid on serum ALT, AST, and ALP of ethanol-induced hepatotoxicity in mice.

Sample	AST (IU/L)	ALT (IU/L)	ALP (IU/L)
PBS	80.8 ± 6.1	22.9 ± 1.2	25.5 ± 2.8
Ethanol	341.9 ± 22.1	118.5 ± 3.9	268.8 ± 26.3
Glucan	292.2 ± 11.7	54.4°± 3.7	101.2 <sup>•</sup> ± 8.8
AH8	301.1 ± 23.6	66.4 <sup>°</sup> ± 2.9	123.3 <sup>•</sup> ± 7.9
AH10	277.5°± 27.8	60.6°± 4.9	120.9 <sup>•</sup> ± 9.2
Glucan + AH8+AH10	172.2°± 15.5	33.4°± 2.1	46.4°± 2.7

Significant difference against ethanol group at P≤ 0.05 level.



Figure 1: Normal hepatic parenchyma, consisting of cells that are large and polyhedral, with round nuclei and abundant heterochromatin and nucleoli. A typical portal triad displays a portal vein (PV), bile duct (BD), and hepatic artery (HA). Capillary sinusoids (S) are of normal caliber and there is no evidence of concestion. 400x.

#### Vetvicka V

 Table 3: Effects of glucan and humic acid on level of hepatic enzymes GSH,

 MDA and SOD in LPS-induced hepatotoxicity.

Sample	GSH (µmol/mg protein)	MDA (nmol/mg protein)	SOD (U/mg protein)
PBS	20.1 ± 1.9	20.1 ± 1.8	60.2 ± 5.5
LPS	$9.9 \pm 0.8$	77.8 ± 5.6	35.7 ± 3.1
Glucan	13.4 ± 1.6	33.2 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.3	44.5 <sup>*</sup> ± 3.9
AH8	15.1 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.0	40.3°± 3.7	45.9 <sup>°</sup> ± 3.9
AH10	13.9 <sup>°</sup> ± 1.2	44.3 <sup>•</sup> ± 1.7	41.1 <sup>•</sup> ± 4.0
Glucan + AH8+AH10	17.1 <sup>°</sup> ± 1.6	25.4 <sup>*</sup> ± 1.9	55.6°± 3.9

'Significant difference against LPS group at P ≤ 0.05 level.

Table 4: Effects of glucan and humic acid on level of hepatic enzymes GSH, MDA and SOD in ethanol-induced hepatotoxicity.

Sample	GSH (µmol/mg protein)	MDA (nmol/mg protein)	SOD (U/mg protein)
PBS	19.9 ± 1.9	$8.8 \pm 0.5$	60.2 ± 5.8
Ethanol	8.7 ± 2.2	71.1 ± 5.9	20.2 ± 3.1
Glucan	14.2 ± 2.5	33.8 <sup>•</sup> ± 4.2	33.9 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.7
AH8	11.9 <sup>-</sup> ± 1.2	41.3 <sup>•</sup> ± 3.8	34.8 <sup>•</sup> ± 3.0
AH10	13.5 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.1	37.7 <sup>•</sup> ± 3.0	29.2 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.7
Glucan + AH8+AH10	16.6 <sup>•</sup> ± 2.0	20.8 <sup>•</sup> ± 1.8	44.9 <sup>*</sup> ± 3.5

Significant difference against ethanol group at P≤ 0.05 level.

ethanol in case of ALT, ALP, MDA and SOD. Individual humic acids were similarly effective, the only nonsignificant effects being effects on AST in case of AH8. Similarly to LPS administration, the combination of glucan with humic acids produced the strongest effects, sometime up to three times stronger than individual components (Table 2 and 4). Our findings were confirmed by histological observations. Figure 1 reflecting the effects of the glucan-humic acid combination shows normal hepatic parenchyma, whereas Figure 2 (ethanol only) shows clear evidence of marked hepatocellular degeneration.

# **Discussion**

Both ethanol and LPS are well established models of hematotoxic damage of the liver. The close relation between alcohol and liver damage is mostly due to the fact that around 80% of ethanol is metabolized in the liver to the cytotoxic acetaldehyde, which is further oxidized by aldehyde oxidase resulting in various reactive oxygen species [23]. Additional damages are based on depletion of endogenous antioxidants and resulting oxidative stress [24].

Various herbal formulae or natural molecules are currently evaluated or even prescribed for various types of health problems, including liver damage [25,26]. Our preliminary study [8] suggested possible effects of humic acid on hepatotoxicity. In addition, we later confirmed this study on a  $CCL_4$ -mediated liver damage (unpublished results). The aim of the current study was to evaluate the hypothesis that humic acid and glucan possess general hepatoprotective activity regardless the type of hepatic injury.

The mechanisms of the liver protection are currently unknown. As the increase of free radicals during alcohol-induced hepatotoxicity is expected to play an important role [27,28], it is possible that known antioxidant effects of glucan [29] and humic acid [30] are involved. Therefore, this makes us interested to evaluate the antioxidant effects



Figure 2: Evidence of marked hepatocellular degeneration and distortion around the central vein (CV), Inflammatory cell infiltration (IF), and cytoplasmic vacuolization (HV) of hepatic cells. Sinusoidal congestion (SC) was also apparent. Apoptotic hepatocytes (AH) were also observed in areas of centrilobular necrosis. 400x.

of our samples. These activities were proven by significantly decreased level of total liver proteins.

It is established that increased levels of AST and ALT in the serum indicate damaged and/or necrosis of hepatocytes. In our study we showed that both LPS and ethanol significantly increased these levels, whereas humic acid and especially humic acid-glucan combination significantly decreased the level of these enzymes in the serum, suggesting a strong decrease of liver damage. The liver protecting effects might be caused by an augmentation of antioxidant enzyme protective system as they increase GSH levels compromised due to the liver toxicity. Similar mechanisms were previously suggested for restoration of GSH and CAT levels [3]. As exogenous GSH has no effects on hepatic GSH loss in damaged liver [31], the protective effects found in our study can be explained by GSH replenishment.

Therefore, our data showed that both humic acid and humic acid-glucan combination have robust hepatoprotective effects. This suggests that either humic acid alone or in combination with glucan is capable of preventing alcoholic liver damage by ameliorating alcoholinduced oxidative stress. The study evaluating the possible inhibition of inflammatory cytokines known to be involved in liver damage [32] is currently under progress.

#### References

- Brautbar N, Williams J 2<sup>nd</sup>. Industrial solvents and liver toxicity: risk assessment, risk factors and mechanisms. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2002; 205: 479-491.
- Olleros ML, Vesin D, Fotio AL, Santiago-Raber ML, Tauzin S, Szymkowski DE. Soluble TNF, but not membrane TNF, is critical in LPS-induced hepatitis. J Hepatol. 2010; 53: 1059-1068.
- Jain PK, Kharya M, Gajbhiye A. Pharmacological evaluation of mangiferin herbosomes for antioxidant and hepatoprotection potential against ethanol induced hepatic damage. Drug Dev Ind Pharm. 2013; 39: 1840-1850.
- Walsh K, Alexander G. Alcoholic liver disease. Postgrad Med J. 2000; 76: 280-286.
- Younes M, Strubelt O. Alcohol-induced hepatotoxicity: a role for oxygen free radicals. Free Radic Res Commun. 1987; 3: 19-26.
- 6. Klocking R, Sprossing M, Witzer P, Thiel KD, Helbig B. Anti-viral wirkssame

#### Vetvicka V

huminsaure ahnliche Polymere. ZPhysiother. 2003; 33: 95-101.

- Jooné GK, Dekker J, van Rensburg CE. Investigation of the immunostimulatory properties of oxihumate. Z Naturforsch C. 2003; 58: 263-267.
- Vetvicka V, Vashishta A, Fuentes M, Baigorri R, Garcia-Mina JM, Yvin JC. The relative abundance of oxygen alkyl-related groups in aliphatic domains is involved in the main pharmacological-pleiotropic effects of humic acids. J Med Food. 2013; 16: 625-632.
- Bittner M, Janosek J, Hilscherová K, Giesy J, Holoubek I, Bláha L. Activation of Ah receptor by pure humic acids. Environ Toxicol. 2006; 21: 338-342.
- Kimura Y, Tojima H, Fukase S, Takeda K. Clinical evaluation of sizofilan as assistant immunotherapy in treatment of head and neck cancer. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl. 1994; 511: 192-195.
- Kogan G. (1-3,1-6)-ß-D-glucans of yeast and fungi and their biological activity. In: Atta-ur-Rahman,C., (ed.). Studies in Natural Products Chemistry, Elsevier. 2000; 23: 107-127.
- Vetvicka V, Vetvickova J. Effects of yeast-derived beta-glucans on blood cholesterol and macrophage functionality. J Immunotoxicol. 2009; 6: 30-35.
- Novak M, Vetvicka V. Beta-glucans, history, and the present: immunomodulatory aspects and mechanisms of action. J Immunotoxicol. 2008; 5: 47-57.
- Vetvicka V, Volny T, Saraswat-Ohri S, Vashishta A, Vancikova Z, Vetvickova J. Glucan and resveratrol complex--possible synergistic effects on immune system. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub. 2007; 151: 41-46.
- Eicher SD, McKee CA, Carroll JA, Pajor EA. Supplemental vitamin C and yeast cell wall glucan as growth enhancers in newborn pigs and as immunomodulator after an endotoxin challenge after weaning. J Anim Sci. 2006; 84: 2352-2360.
- Vetvicka V, Baigorri R, Zamarreño AM, Garcia-Mina JM, Yvin JC. Glucan and humic acid: synergistic effects on the immune system. J Med Food. 2010; 13: 863-869.
- Celik K, Uzatici A, Akin AE. Effects of dietary humic acid and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on performance and biochemical parameters of broiler chicken. Asian J Anim Vet Adv. 2008; 3: 334-350.
- Tohid T, Hasan G, Alireza T. Efficacy of mannanoligosaccharides and humate on immune response to Avian Influenza (H9) disease vaccination in broiler chickens. Vet Res Commun. 2010; 34: 709-717.
- 19. Fuentes M, Olaetxea M, Baigorri R, Zamarreño MA, Etienne P, Laine P.

Main binding sites involved in Fe(III) and Cu(II) complexation in humic-based structures. JGeochemExplor. 2013; 129: 14-17.

- Park HJ, Lee SJ, Song Y, Jang SH, Ko YG, Kang SN. Schisandra chinensis prevents alcohol-induced fatty liver disease in rats. J Med Food. 2014; 17: 103-110.
- Prasanna GS, Purnima A. Protective effect of leaf extract of Trichilla connaroides on hypercholesterolemia induced oxidative stress. Int J Pharmacol. 2011; 6: 106-112.
- Yadav D, Hertan HI, Schweitzer P, Norkus EP, Pitchumoni CS. Serum and liver micronutrient antioxidants and serum oxidative stress in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Am J Gastroenterol. 2002; 97: 2634-2639.
- Tuma DJ, Casey CA. Dangerous byproducts of alcohol breakdown--focus on adducts. Alcohol Res Health. 2003; 27: 285-290.
- Thurman RG, Ji S, Lemasters JJ. Alcohol-induced liver injury. The role of oxygen. Recent Dev Alcohol. 1984; 2: 103-117.
- 25. Chen ML, Tsai SH, Ip SP, Ko KM, Che CT. Long-term treatment with a "Yang-invigorating" Chinese herbal formula, Wu-Zi-Yan-Zong-Wan, reduces mortality and liver oxidative damage in chronic alcohol-intoxicated rats. Rejuvenation Res. 2010; 13: 459-467.
- Panda V, Ashar H, Srinath S. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective effect of Garcinia indica fruit rind in ethanol-induced hepatic damage in rodents. Interdiscip Toxicol. 2012; 5: 207-213.
- 27. Stoilova I, Gargova S, Stoyanova A, Ho I. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of the polyphenol mangiferin. HerbaPolonica. 2005; 51: 37-43.
- Lukivskaya OY, Naruta E, Sadovnichy V, Kirko S, Buko VU. Reversal of experimental ethanol-induced liver steatosis by borage oil. Phytother Res. 2012; 26: 1626-1631.
- Kofuji K, Aoki A, Tsubaki K, Konishi M, Isobe T, Murata Y. Antioxidant Activity of <sup>î</sup><sup>2</sup>-Glucan. ISRN Pharm. 2012; 2012: 125864.
- 30. Graf E. Antioxidant potential of ferulic acid. Free Radic Biol Med. 1992; 13: 435-448.
- Masubuchi Y, Nakayama J, Sadakata Y. Protective effects of exogenous glutathione and related thiol compounds against drug-induced liver injury. Biol Pharm Bull. 2011; 34: 366-370.
- Lee CC, Shen SR, Lai YJ, Wu SC. Rutin and quercetin, bioactive compounds from tartary buckwheat, prevent liver inflammatory injury. Food Funct. 2013; 4: 794-802.

Austin J Clin Pathol - Volume 1 Issue 4 - 2014 **ISSN : 2381-9170** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Vetvicka et al. © All rights are reserved Citation: Vetvicka V, Garcia-Mina JM, Proctor M and Yvin J-C. Synergistic Effects of Humic Acid and Glucan in Hepatoprotection against Experimental Liver Injury. Austin J Clin Pathol. 2014;1(4): 1020.