# **Original Article**

# **Unrecognized Tube-Related Complications in Hospitalized Urological Patients**

Shayel Bercovich, MD MPH<sup>\*</sup>; Mona Qaik, BSN; Sophia Zaretzer, BSN; Irena Michalsky, BSN; Itamar Shafran, MD; Shay Golan, MD

Department of Urology, Rabin Medical Center – Beilinson Hospital, Petach Tikva, Affiliated to Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

#### \*Corresponding author: Shayel Bercovich

MD MPH, Department of Urology, Rabin Medical Center – Beilinson Hospital, Petach Tikva 4941492, Israel. Tel: +972-545-331802 Email: shayelb11@gmail.com

Received: May 08, 2023 Accepted: June 03, 2023 Published: June 10, 2023

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** Draining tubes are an integral part of daily patient care in urological departments and a potential source of complications. We evaluated a shared responsibility-based safety protocol aimed at identifying tube-related errors and adverse events.

**Methods:** All patients hospitalized in the urology department of a tertiary hospital underwent visual examination by urology nurses during shift changes. All tubes and wounds were inspected and all adverse findings were documented. Patient demographics and clinical characteristics were recorded. The potential parameters associated with adverse findings were investigated using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses.

**Results:** Of the 184 patients examined over a 2-month period, 66 adverse findings were documented in 47(25%). Urethral catheter-related findings were the most common (n=38, 57%), with tube kinking and twisting occurring in 22(33%) and nine (13%) cases, respectively. There were 16(24%) nephrostomy tube-related events, including six (9%) kinks and three (5%) twists. In the multivariate analysis, tube duration (p=0.001; OR: 1.37 [95% CI: 1.13- 1.63]) and higher Morse Fall Score (MFS) (p=0.04; OR: 1.02 [95% CI: 1.01- 1.04]) were associated with tube-related adverse events.

**Conclusion:** Tube-related adverse findings were common among hospitalized urology patients, particularly among those with higher Morse Fall Score (MFS) and longer tube duration. The implementation of routine examinations by nursing staff can help detect tube-related errors and prevent further clinical sequelae.

Keywords: Complications; Tubes; Urology; Safety; Nurses

**Abbreviations:** MFS: Morse Fall Score; BMI: Body Mass Index; MCCI: Modified Charlson Comorbidity Index; MMS: Must Malnutrition Score; IQR: Interquartile Range; SIR: Society of Interventional Radiology

#### What is already known about the topic?

• Catheters, drains, and stents are sources of infectious and noninfectious complications.

• Previous studies have shown that improved monitoring and early identification of minor tube-related complications decreases the risk of more serious complications.

• Close collaboration between health care professionals translates to improved patient well-being.

**Citation:** Bercovich S, Qaik M, Zaretzer S, Michalsky I, Shafran I, et al. Unrecognized Tube-Related Complications in Hospitalized Urological Patients. Phys Med Rehabil Int. 2023; 10(1): 1211.

#### What this paper adds

• We evaluate a shared responsibility-based safety protocol aimed at identifying tube-related errors and hazardous events.

• This study was the first to prospectively evaluate the identification of tube-related errors by urological nursing staff.

Collaborating with the urology nursing team, rather than relying solely on the physician's physical examination, proved to be an effective strategy for uncovering adverse findings.

#### Introduction

Draining tubes are an integral part of daily patient care in urological departments. Catheters, drains, and stents are sources of infectious and non-infectious complications [1,18,21,24]. Strategies to prevent catheter-associated adverse events are commonly used in hospital settings [5,17]. For example, urethral catheter fixation was shown to reduce meatal complications [19]. Despite versatile preventive measures, tube-related complications remain a widespread problem.

Patient care is a team effort of nurses, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and doctors. Close collaboration between health care professionals translates to improved patient wellbeing [9,27]. Nonetheless, the treating physician is primarily responsible for physical examinations, including catheter inspection and maintenance [7,15,22]. Technical and functional errors may go unrecognized during the long hours between physician rounds.

Establishing shared responsibility between physicians and nurses for patient checkups may reduce complications and improve patients' safety. Emphasis on nursing education, training, and empowerment has already been shown to reduce catheterassociated morbidities [7,10,22].

We hypothesized that a brief visual patient examination during nurses' shift change will enable the identification and correction of tube-related errors and hazardous events. We implemented such a safety protocol, led by urology nurses, and describe its results.

#### Methods

A cross-sectional design was utilized. During the morning and afternoon nurses shift changes, hospitalized urology patients were asked to remove their blankets for a brief physical inspection that would include their tubes and surgical wound state. All adverse findings were recorded and corrected as required.

### **Patients and Data Collection**

The study population included all patients aged 18 years or older, who were hospitalized in the urology department of a tertiary referral center between 19 July 2020 and 3 October 2020.

Data was collected on any tube-related adverse event, including detachment or lack of proper instrument fixation, kinking or bending of the tube on itself, twisting of the tube or wire around a patient's body, leakage around the drains or catheters, and clogged collection bags due to tangling or displacement. Any signs of wound complication were recorded as well. Demographics and clinical characteristics were recorded including comorbidities, time with the catheter/tube, length of hospitalization, and the type of procedure performed. For each patient, we calculated Body Mass Index (BMI), modified Charlson Comorbidity Index (MCCI), and Morse and MUST scores. The Morse score includes a six-item scale to assess the risk of falling and has been used as a tool to direct fall-prevention strategies [12]. The MUST malnutrition score is comprised of BMI, involuntary weight loss, and acute disease-preventing oral intake [20].

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Categorical variables were summarized by number and percentage, and continuous variables by median and Interquartile Range (IQR). Association with adverse findings was tested by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. Using features found to be significant (p<0.1) on univariate regression and variables of interest, a multivariable model was created for the prediction of any adverse event found by the nursing staff. All analyses were performed using Stata version 17.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). The study protocol was approved by our institutional ethics committee.

#### Results

A total of 184 patients were hospitalized during the study period with a median age of 68 (inter-quartile range [IQR]: 57-75) years, and 45 (25%) had pre-existing catheters or tubes. The median hospital stay was 4 (IQR: 2-6) days and 131 (71%) patients were admitted for elective surgery. Fifty-eight patients underwent transurethral endoscopic procedures, 33 robotic or laparoscopic surgeries, and 12 open surgeries. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

A total of 268 tubes were inspected by the nursing staff, including 151(56%) urethral catheters, 39(15%) surgical drains, 36(13%) nephrostomy tubes, 17(6%) urostomy bags, 11(4%) ureteral catheters, and 3(1%) supra-pubic catheters.

There were 66 errors found in 47(25%) patients. Among these, 38 errors (57%) were urethral catheter-related. The median urethral catheter duration time was 3(IQR: 2-4) days, during which tube kinking and twisting were noticed in 22(33%) and 9(13%) catheters, respectively (Figure 1). In 1(1.5%) patient the Foley catheter was misplaced with the balloon partially inflated, suggesting it was in the urethra (Figure 2). From 36 nephrostomy tubes inspected during a median duration of 3(IQR: 2-10) days, 16(24%) tube-related events were reported, including 6(9%) kinking of the tube on itself and 4(6%) tangling of the collection bag. Thirty-nine surgical drains were inspected during a median duration of 3(IQR: 3-6) days. There were 4(6%) problems found, including one leakage around the drain, one kinking, and two overfull collection reservoirs.

Other adverse findings included surgical wound discharge in 4(6%) patients, twisted central venous catheter in 1(1%) patient, and 1(1%) empty drug ampule found under a patient's blanket. A summary of all findings is shown in Table 2. 
 Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 184 hospitalized urology patients.

Characteristic Total No. of patients (%) 184(100) Age (years), median (IQR) 68(57-75) BMI kg/m<sup>2</sup>, median (IQR) 26.03(23-29) Smoking (%) 34(18) Cognitive decline/dementia (%) 5(3) Steroids use (%) 10 (5) **Diabetes mellitus (%)** 53(29) Modified Charlson comorbidity score, median (IQR) 4(2-5) Length of hospital stay (days), median (IQR) 4(2-6) Morse fall score, median (IQR) 15(15-35) Must malnutrition score, median (IQR) 0(0-1) **Reason of admission** Elective surgery (%) 131(71) \*Other (%) 53(29) Robotic surgery Radical prostatectomy (%) 12(7) Partial nephrectomy (%) 2(1) Pyeloplasty (%) 2(1) Laparoscopic surgery Radical nephrectomy (%) 7(4) Partial nephrectomy (%) 7(4) Adrenalectomy (%) 3(1.5) **Trans urethral surgery** TURP (%) 13(7) TURBT (%) 41(22) TURBN (%) 4(2) **Open surgery** Radical cystectomy with ileal conduit formation (%) 8(4.5) Radical nephrectomy (%) 2(1) SPP (%) 2(1) Ureteral stent placement (%) 12(7) 49(26)

\*\*Other surgical procedures (%)

Abbreviations: IQR: Inter-Quartile Range; TURP: Trans Urethral Resection of Prostate; TURBT: Trans Urethral Resection of Bladder Tumor; TURBN: Trans Urethral Resection of Bladder Neck; SPP: Suprapubic Prostatectomy; BMI: Body Mass Index

\*Other reasons for admission: renal colic, hematuria, post-operational complication, urinary tract infection, and further workup

\*\*Other surgical procedures: procedures including nephrostomy tube placement, kidney biopsies, focal therapy for prostate cancer, prostate fusion biopsies, female urology, and testes surgery

Table 2: Adverse tube- and wound-related findings.

Factors	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value	
Sex (male)	1.55 (0.68-3.52)	0.29	-	-	
Age (years)	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	0.70	0.97(0.94-1.01)	0.70	
BMI (kg/m²)	1.04 (0.96-1.12)	0.26	1.05(0.97-1.14)	0.20	
Non smoker	0.89 (0.54-1.48)	0.67	-	-	
Cognitive de- cline/dementia	0.99 (0.15-6.50)	0.99	-	-	
Steroids use	1.24 (0.25-6.19)	0.78	-	-	
Duration of tubes presence (day)	1.35 (1.13-1.60)	0.001	1.37(1.13-1.63)	0.001	
Modified Charl- son Comorbidity Index	1.09(0.93- 1.28)	0.26	-	-	
Morse fall score	1.02(0.99- 1.03)	0.06	1.02(1.01-1.04)	0.04	
MUST malnutri- tion score	1.34(0.66- 2.74)	0.41	-	-	

Table 3: Univariable and multivariable analyses for the risk of tube

related adverse findings.

Abbreviations: CI: Confidence Interval; BMI: Body Mass Index

In univariate analysis, longer duration with the urethral catheter was associated with adverse urethral catheter event (p=0.01) and any adverse event (p=0.001). Higher MORSE scores (p=0.05) were associated with adverse urethral catheter events.

In multivariate analysis, longer urethral catheter duration and higher MORSE score remained predictive for urethral catheter-related adverse events [(p=0.02; OR: 1.25 [95% CI: 1.03-1.51]) and (p=0.03; OR: 1.02 [95% CI: 1.01- 1.04]), respectively] and any adverse event [(p=0.001; OR: 1.37 [95% CI: 1.13- 1.63]) and (p=0.04; OR: 1.02 [95% CI: 1.01- 1.04]), respectively] (Table 3).

	Number of instru- ments (%) 268 (100)	Duration of instrument presence (median) days 3(IQR: 2-4)	Patients with adverse find- ings (%)	Adverse findings (%) 66(100)	
Total 268			<b>47/184(25)</b> 31(17)		
				Total	38(57.5)
				Kink	22(33.5)
	151(56.5)			Twist	9(13.5)
				Collection bag tangle	6(9)
				Partially inflated balloon	1(1.5)
Surgical drains 39(14.5)		3(IQR: 2.75-6)	3(1.5)	Total	4(6)
	20/14 5)			Kink	1(1.5)
	39(14.5)			Full reservoir	2(3)
				Leakage	1(1.5)
Nephrostomy tubes 36(13.5)		3(IQR: 2-10)	8(4.5)	Total	16(24.5)
				No fixation	2(3)
	26/12 E)			Kink	6(9)
	50(15.5)			Twist	3(5)
				Collection bag tangle	4(6)
				Infection	1(1.5)
Urostomy bags	17(6)	4(IQR: 2-11.57)	2(1)	Total	2(3)
	17(6)			Collection bag twist	2(3)
Ureteral catheters	11(4)	9(IQR: 3.25-10)	0	-	-
Suprapubic catheters	3(1)	11(IQR: 3-11)	0	-	-
Central venous	= ( )			Total	1(1.5)
catheters	5(2)	3(IQR: 2.5-4.5)	1(0.5)	Twist	1(1.5)
* Other instruments	6(2)	6.5(IQR: 2.5-11.25)	0	-	-
Other adverse find-				Total	5(7.5)
	-	-	5(3)	Wound discharge	4(6)
ings			/	Drug ampule	1(1.5)

breviations: IQR: Inter-Quartile Range

\*Other instruments - including colostomy, ileostomy, feeding tubes, ureteral catheter



**Figure 1:** Kinking and twisting of a urethral-catheter drainage tube.



**Figure 2:** Lack of urethral-catheter fixation with improper balloon inflation.

#### Discussion

We proposed a practical, simple, and safe protocol to identify tube-related errors in hospitalized urological patients. Routine inspections by urology nurses led to the identification of adverse events in 25% of examined patients. Longer tube duration and higher MORSE scores were associated with these adverse findings.

The prevalence of tube-related complications in urology departments is largely unknown and likely under-reported. The literature on non-infectious drainage tube complications is scarce, with best practice recommendations for prevention and management based only on small case series [2,8,23]. For example, Turo et al. reported on nephrostomy tube-related complications. They analyzed a cohort of 66 hospitalized patients and found tube dislodgment, site infection, and tube blockage in 6% of patients [23]. This is similar to the 4.5% nephrostomy tube-related complications in our study that included kinking of the tube on itself, twisting around the patient's body, and tangling of the collection bag. Importantly, 5% of the patients in Turo's report developed serious complications, such as sepsis and hemorrhage, which were not noticed in our cohort.

The Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) classifies tuberelated complications into six categories, according to their severity [14,16]. While minor complications (categories A and B) require nominal or no therapy, major complications (categories C to F) require additional therapy with an increase in the level of care or prolonged hospitalization. The main aspect for consideration is early identification of early minor complications to prevent potential deterioration to major complications.

We found that minor complications are common and occur

in 1/4 (25%) of hospitalized urological patients. The most common adverse events were kinks and twists of urethral catheters. It has been shown that if left unfixed, kinks and twists can cause blockages and result in kidney injury and infections [6,13,25] Furthermore, although the lack of urethral-catheter fixation was not included in our analysis, it was a very common observation. Even if not considered a complication per se, improper catheter fixation has been linked to increased risk for meatal pressure injury and iatrogenic hypospadias [19].

Typical for a tertiary hospital department of urology, most patients in this study underwent transurethral endoscopic procedures or minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery. Despite the established advantage of shorter hospital stay tubes related errors were noticed in 31/102 (30%) patients during 1-3 hospitalization days. Although basic patient demographics did not predict adverse tubes- related events, a composite of patient characteristics, represented by the MORSE score, was strongly associated with such events.

MORSE score is a potential predictor of postoperative morbidity and is often used to classify patients to improve postoperative care [11]. Bretschneider et al. (2015) found higher MORSE scores among women who experienced complications after urogynecology surgery. Their primary outcome was grade II or greater complication on the Clavien-Dindo scale [3]. Because the MORSE scale evaluates frailty, the association with tube-related errors is to be expected.

Tube duration is a well-known risk factor for complications. Saint et al. reported a 20% increase (p<0.001) in non-infectious complications among patients with longer than three days duration of urethral catheter [18]. In our study, the median tube duration was twice as long in patients with adverse events as compared to in those without adverse events (5 versus 2.5 days). The association between tube duration and complications was confirmed in our multivariate analysis.

Our study is the first to prospectively evaluate the identification of any tube-related errors by urology nursing staff. This yielded a significant number of inspected catheters and tubes (n=268). Focusing on urethral catheters, Kashefi et al. (2008) implemented a nursing education program for catheter insertion and maintenance. They showed a 5-fold decrease in iatrogenic urethral injuries. Our data supports that finding and demonstrates a substantial number of errors uncovered and corrected by urology nursing staff.

The main limitation of our study is the lack of a control group. Although this observational study provides important data about the proportion of tube-related errors and their risk factors, the clinical implications of these findings are not definitive. Previous studies strengthen our hypothesis that improved monitoring and early identification of minor complications decreases the risk of more serious complications such as uncontrolled tube extraction, tube blockage, and infections [4,26].

Moreover, collaborating with the urology nursing team rather than relying solely on the physician's physical exam proved to be an effective strategy to uncover adverse findings.

In conclusion, tube-related complications are common among hospitalized urology patients and were identified during nurse's shift change rounds in 25% of examined patients. We, therefore, recommend that a brief visual exam of all indwelling tubes be performed routinely during nurses rounds, especially in patients with higher MORSE scores and longer tube duration.

# **Author Statements**

# **Author Contribution**

Shayel Bercovich MD MPH: Study conception and design, analysis and interpretation of results, draft manuscript preparation.

Mona Qaik BSN, Sophia Zaretzer BSN, Irena Michalsky BSN: study conception and design

Itamar Shafran MD: Analysis and interpretation of results

Shay Golan MD: Study conception and design, analysis and interpretation of results, supervision of manuscript preparation.

## Funding

This study did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

## Institutional Review Board (IRB) Approval

The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee, with no Patients' consent form necessary.

## **Data Access Statement**

All relevant data are included in the paper and its supporting information files.

#### References

- 1. Aaronson DS, Wu AK, Blaschko SD, McAninch JW, Garcia M. National incidence and impact of noninfectious urethral catheter related complications on the Surgical Care Improvement Project. J Urol. 2011; 185: 1756-1760.
- Bell MA. Severe indwelling urinary catheter-associated urethral erosion in four elderly men. Ostomy Wound Manage. 2010; 56: 36-39.
- 3. Bretschneider CE, Nieto ML, Geller EJ, Wu JM. The association of routine hospital risk assessment scales and postoperative morbidity following urogynecologic surgery. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2015; 22: S1-S26.
- 4. Darouiche RO, Goetz L, Kaldis T, Cerra-Stewart C, AlSharif A, et al. Impact of StatLock securing device on symptomatic catheter-related urinary tract infection: a prospective, randomized, multi-center clinical trial. Am J Infect Control. 2006; 34: 555-560.
- Hooton TM, Bradley SF, Cardenas DD, Colgan R, Geerlings SE, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50: 625-663.
- Igawa Y, Wyndaele JJ, Nishizawa O. Catheterization: possible complications and their prevention and treatment. Int J Urol. 2008; 15: 481-485.
- Kashefi C, Messer K, Barden R, Sexton C, Parsons JK. Incidence and prevention of iatrogenic urethral injuries. J Urol. 2008; 179: 254-2258.
- LeBlanc K, Christensen D. Addressing the challenge of providing nursing care for elderly men suffering from urethral erosion. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2005; 32: 131-134.
- 9. Lee L, Feldman LS. Improving surgical value and culture through enhanced recovery programs. JAMA Surg. 2007; 152: 299-300.
- Lo E, Nicolle LE, Coffin SE, Gould C, Maragakis LL, et al. Strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.

2014; 35: 464-479.

- 11. Mata LRFD, Azevedo C, Policarpo AG, Moraes JT. Factors associated with the risk of fall in adults in the postoperative period: a cross-sectional study. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2017; 25: e2904.
- McFarlane-Kolbe H. Falls risk assessment, multitargeted interventions and the impact on hospital falls. Int J Nurs Pract. 2004; 10: 199-206.
- 13. Nicolle LE. Catheter associated urinary tract infections. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2014; 3: 23.
- 14. Pabon-Ramos WM, Dariushnia SR, Walker TG, d'Othée BJ, Ganguli S, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee, 2016. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous nephrostomy. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016; 27: 410-414.
- 15. Papageorge CM, Kennedy GD. Strategies to reduce postoperative urinary tract infections. Adv Surg. 2016; 50: 79-91.
- Sacks D, McClenny TE, Cardella JF, Lewis CA. Society of Interventional Radiology clinical practice guidelines. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2003; 14: S199–S202.
- Saint S, Greene MT, Kowalski CP, Watson SR, Hofer TP, et al. Preventing catheter-associated urinary tract infection in the united states a national comparative study. JAMA Intern Med. 2013; 173: 874-879.
- Saint S, Trautner BW, Fowler KE, Colozzi J, Ratz D, et al. A multicenter study of patient-reported infectious and noninfectious complications associated with indwelling urethral catheters. JAMA Intern. Med. 2018; 178: 1078-1085.
- 19. Shenhar C, Mansvetov M, Baniel J, Golan S, Aharony S. Catheter-associated meatal pressure injury in hospitalized males. Neurourol Urodyn. 2020; 39: 1456-1463.
- Stratton RJ, Hackston A, Longmore D, Dixon R, Price S, et al. Malnutrition in hospital outpatients and inpatients: prevalence, concurrent validity and ease of use of the 'malnutrition universal screening tool' ('MUST') for adults. Br J Nutr. 2004; 92: 799-808.
- 21. Thomas AZ, Giri SK, Meagher D, Creagh T. Avoidable iatrogenic complications of urethral catheterization and inadequate intern training in a tertiary-care teaching hospital. BJU Int. 2009; 104: 1109-1112.
- 22. Topal J, Conklin S, Camp K, Morris V, Balcezak T, et al. Prevention of nosocomial catheter-associated urinary tract infections through computerized feedback to physicians and a nurse-directed protocol. Am J Med Qual. 2005; 20: 121-126.
- 23. Turo R, Horsu S, Broome J, Das S, Gulur DM, et al. Complications of percutaneous nephrostomy in a district general hospital. Turk J Urol. 2018; 44: 478-483.
- 24. Weber DJ, Sickbert-Bennett EE, Gould CV, Brown VM, Huslage K, et al. Incidence of catheter-associated and non-catheter-associated urinary tract infections in a healthcare system. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011; 32: 822-823.
- West DA, Cummings JM, Longo WE, Virgo KS, Johnson FE, et al. Role of chronic catheterization in the spinal cord injury. Urology. 1999; 53: 292-297.
- Yates A. The importance of fixation and securing devices in supporting indwelling catheters. Br. J. Community Nurs. 2013; 18: 588-590.
- 27. Young GJ, Charns MP, Daley J, Forbes MG, Henderson W, et al. Best practices for managing surgical services: the role of coordination. Health Care Manage Rev. 1997; 22: 72-81.