The Effect of American Society of Anesthesiology Scores on Radical Prostatectomy Complications
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) scores on radical prostatectomy complications.

Methods: We retrospectively included 225 patients undergoing radical prostatectomy from 1999 to 2015. Patients were divided into a low-risk group with ASA of 1 or 2 and high risk group of ASA 3 or 4. Perioperative and postoperative complications were recorded using the modified clavien classification system.

Results: Following radical retropubic prostatectomy, there were 73 complications in 225 patients, with an overall complication rate of 32.4%. The most complication in all groups was blood transfusion in 31 patients (13.7%), and the most important complications were rectal injuries during RRP, with 4 patients (1.9%). Statistically significant difference was observed between the complication rates of the groups (25.4% versus 53.5%, p<0.05 respectively).

Conclusion: The expected results in the frequency of complication were not increased in patients with high Anesthesia (ASA) risk group. Systemic complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and infections often observed in the high ASA risk patients, surgery-related complications (such as bleeding with requiring blood transfusions, incontinence, urethral structure) are shown in both of groups. Therefore modified Clavien classification system and ASA score is not independently for each other. Using the two systems will be more accurate together. However, the failure to develop a standardized system for the classification of undesirable side effects, the Clavien system is still the most appropriate method despite all the short comings in urological surgery.

Introduction

Prostate Cancer (PC) represents a global health problem and the most frequently diagnosed cancer in men with 233000 new cases and 29480 cancer-specific deaths estimated for year 2014 in United States [1]. There are different treatment methods of prostate cancer. Radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy are considered as comparable treatments for localized PC [2]. Radical prostatectomy defined by Walsh and Donker, remains the most effective and widely used surgical intervention for localized prostate cancer and is considered the gold stand art treatment [3]. Radical prostatectomy administered by open (retropubic or perineal), laparoscopic or robotic approach.

Although improvements in surgical technique, peri and postoperative management have reduced the complications of surgery, we can see complications because of the complexity of surgery. The Clavien classification system has been proposed as a mean of quantifying the complication of surgery, developed by Clavien and colleagues in 1992 and updated in 2004 [4]. It has been recently modified and named Modified Clavien Classification System (CCS) (Table 1) [5].

We evaluated risk of surgery, the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) has established the ASA score, depending on patients’ comorbidity and physical status [6]. An ASA class of 4 carries an odds risk ratio of 4.2 for perioperative complications, while an ASA class 3 an odds risk of 2.2, respectively (Table 2) [7]. Aim of study to investigate the effect of ASA scores on RP and classify the complications with used to CCS.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A retrospective study of medical records from 1999 to 2015 was performed to identify all patients who underwent radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer. After reviewing medical records, we identified a total of 225 patients with detailed admission and clinical information. Patient age, duration of hospitalization, ASA score, pathological tumor stage and complications were evaluated. The ASA scores were determined by anesthesiologists, independently of surgeon. Tumor was staged according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) in 2002 [8].

Surgical preparation and procedures

Mechanical bowel preparation was performed with XM solutions and patients drugs except anticoagulants continued until the day of surgery. All patients used routinely compression leg stockings. Postoperatively, antibiotic prophylaxis with a third generation cephalosporin was intravenously administered to all patients for 3 days.

In order to reduce potential bias, radical prostatectomy was...
Table 1: Modified Clavien classification system for surgical complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Side effects requiring pharmacological treatment such as Parenteral Total Nutrition (TPN), blood transfusions or other antihypertensive medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Grade 1 side effects requiring pharmacological treatment such as Parenteral Total Nutrition (TPN), blood transfusions or other antihypertensive medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Complications needing surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Life-threatening complications needing ICU (Intensive Care Unit) intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Death of the patient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) scoring system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A completely healthy patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>A slight disturbance such as diabetes and hypertension, but this patient lives function does not effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Failure of vital functions such as heart failure, breathing failure and that is not incapacitating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Severe systemic disease like heart, liver, kidney and respiratory failure and that is a constant threat to life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>The patient will die for 24 hours with or without surgery. Example: ruptured abdominal aneurysm, massive pulmonary embolism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grouping

All complications were classified according to modified CCS (Table 1) [4,5]. In the practice of anesthesia is routinely used the ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) classification to predict perioperative risk to categorize the surgery candidates according to their physical condition. In this study patients were divided into two groups according to ASA risk scoring: ASA 1-2 was the low risk, ASA 3-4 was the high-risk group. Low risk groups patients with mild systemic disease and high risk groups disease effect vital functions.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS (version 19.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for statistically analysis. The data was evaluated with Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric comparison tests. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The demographical and clinical data are shown in (Table 3). Two hundred and twenty five patients with a median age of 60.8 years (range: 48-78) were enrolled. In total, operative time ranged from 95 to 205 minutes (median: 129 min.) and postoperative hospital stay day ranged from 3 to 13 days (median: 5.7). Average of PSA levels 7.2 ng/ml (2.4-21.3 ranges). The patients were divided into two groups, low risk and high risk according to ASA scores. Seventy one patients had ASA1 and 98 patients had ASA2 in the low risk group, in the high risk group had 56 patients in ASA3. We have no ASA 4 patients.

Patients who have low-risk group had younger (56.4 years versus 63.2 years, p<0.05) and fit (body mass indexes were 27.9 and 28.6 respectively). The average PSA levels and Gleason scores in the low-risk group was 9.4ng/ml, Gleason 7 and it was 8.6ng/ml, Gleason 7 in the high risk group. Pathological examination showed T2a in 71 patients, pT2b in 66 patients, pT3a in 60 patients, pT3b in 12 patients, pT3c in 13 patients and pT4 in the remaining 3 patients. The number of lymph nodes removed ranged from 4 to 12 (median 7), compared with two groups had no relation. Preoperative blood transfusions were needed in 3 patients with hemoglobin levels of < 10g/dl. Hemoglobin values in the low and high risk groups were 13.6 versus 12.3 g/dl before surgery and 11.5 versus 10.7 after surgery, respectively.

The operation time of high risk group was 17 minutes longer than low-risk group (149 minutes versus 132 minutes p=0.05) Discharge time of low risk group is earlier than the high risk group (4.8 days versus 7.8 days, p< 0.05).

No statistical significance in patient age, operation time, PSA levels, clinical and pathological stage, Gleason scores were observed among the patients receiving low and hish risk group.

According to the revised Clavien classification, there were 73 complications in 225 patients, with an overall complication rate of 32.4%. Table 3 shows the complication rates for each groups. Statistically significant difference was observed between the
Clavien classification system was used previously by general surgery operations and some urologic operations such as laparoscopic surgery, percutaneous nephrolithotomy and transurethral prostate resection [12,13]. Some of the studies about complications in radical prostatectomy, authors compare the surgical technique with regard to complications.

According to Ryu major complication rates for RRP and its Laparoscopic surrogate (LRP) are similar (7.6% vs. 3.4%, p=0.006 respectively) [14]. Laparoscopic technique is associated with a lower complication rate than RRP but there is no significant difference. Touijer reported 3.6% complication rate in RRP and 34% in LRP [15]. Artibani [16] and Touijer concluded LRP does not provide significantly advantages in terms of morbidity over the RRP, whereas Remzi reported the opposite [17]. A study in Greece, authors evaluated 995 RRP patients and complication rates were estimated as 26.9% [12]. In our study there were 73 complications in 225 patients, with an overall complication rate of 32.4%.

Some authors present their complication rates according to the grades in RRP. Constantinides et al. in a study with 995 patients, recorded 7.3% and 12.8% of Grade 1 and 2 complications, respectively [12]. Guilloneau and Gonzalez were also reported similar results for Grade 2 (16.19%, 8.1% respectively) [18,19]. In our study, grade 1 and grade 2 complication rate of 9.8% and 16.1% respectively. When we compared according to the group, a significant difference wasn’t observed.

Menon et al reported that 17% cases had blood transfusion that underwent RRP in study with comparing open RRP and robotic approach [20]. In a similar study, Ficerr and colleagues compared open RRP and laparoscopic prostatectomy, showed that transfusion requirement was 14% of patients who underwent open surgery [21]. In our study the most complication following RRP was blood transfusion and this rate was 13.7% like literatures.

Wound infection was seen rate of 1.9% patients in our study. Ryan et al. was observed 10% who underwent RRP. The rate was 0.3% in the US and 3.2% in Europe and Japan is grown upto 6% [22,23].

Clavien 3 and 4 complications were observed 6.5% of our patients and similar results were reported in the literature [12,14].

Some authors were observed significant association with ASA score and complications [24]. Patients with high ASA scores, they need to higher transfusion requirements because of low preoperative Hematocrit values due to concomitant chronic diseases [9]. In addition, these patients are more vulnerable to infection because they have broken their general condition and elderly. Postoperative hospital stay is prolonged by the complications, especially in this case appears to be more pronounced in intra-abdominal organ injury or vascular damage. In our study no significant difference in complication rates was observed between low and high risk group just urinary tract infections, wound infections and deep venous thrombosis were seen much more in high risk group.

Indeed, surgeon experience is a predictor of the severity of complications as suggested by Hu et al [25,26].

There are several limitations of this study to be considered. This study was retrospective, the patients were not operated by same surgeons and patients were not operated by same hospitals.Indeed, surgeon experience is a predictor of the severity of complications as suggested by Hu et al [25,26].

There are several limitations of this study to be considered. This study was retrospective, the patients were not operated by same

| Table 3: Results of the study according to groups. |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                                        | All patients  | Low risk group | High risk group | p             |
| Patients                                | 225           | 169            | 56            |               |
| Age (years)                             | 60.8 (48-78)  | 64.8±2.3       | 63.2±5.7      | 0.005         |
| BMI                                     | 28.1 (22-33)  | 27.9           | 28.6          | 0.23          |
| PSA (ng/ml)                             | 7.2 (2.4-21.3)| 9.4            | 8.6           | 0.4           |
| Gleason scores                          | 7.3 (5-9)     | 7.4            | 6.9           | 0.2           |
| Operation time (min)                    | 129 (95-205)  | 132            | 149           | 0.03          |
| Hospitalization days                    | 5.7 (3-13)    | 4.8            | 7.8           | 0.001         |
| Hb levels                               |               |                |               |               |
| Preoperative levels (g/dl)              | 13.2          | 13.6           | 12.3          | 0.7           |
| Postoperative levels (g/dl)             | 11.3          | 11.5           | 10.7          | 0.1           |

No significant difference in surgical complication rates was observed between low and high risk group but metabolic complications have significant difference each group. Patients with high risk group have more metabolic complications such as infections and DVT (p<0.05).

Discussion

Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy (RRP) was first reported by Millin [10] in 1947 and in the early 1980s Walsh laid the foundations of anatomic RRPC with better understanding of anatomy and neurovascular bundle [11]. The RRP is the standard treatment of localized prostate cancer. These operations have some complications due to patients with elderly age and comorbidities so these are difficult operations. Age, comorbidities and high ASA scores often render these patients very poor candidates for radical surgery. In this study, we compare the complications in radical prostatectomy according to the ASA scores.

Complications are perceived differently by each surgeon. For this reason a standardization is needed while evaluating complications and informing patients. A classification system that tries to standardize and compare complications are that reported by Clavien et al. in 1992 and it was first described in cholecystectomy [4]. Then it has been updated by Dindo et al. in 2004 and is named Modified Clavien Classification System (CCS). It was applied in 6336 patients, resulting in the validation of its reproducibility and credibility [5].
surgeon and same techniques. And high risk group was relatively small. Our study focused on the post-prostatectomy complications in the early stage.

Conclusion

The Clavien classification systems have some limitations. Firstly, it can’t determine the long term aspects of the trisect (e.g. continence, potency and oncological features) and secondly it doesn’t include the comorbidity of the patient. Complications are affected comorbidities, patient features such as prostate size, body mass index, interval between prostate biopsy and RRP and ASA scores. But Clavien doesn’t include anything so this system shows insignificant events after surgery like vomit, fewer. A future modification of the Clavien system should include these issues and correct these possible drawbacks. In our study we investigated these issues and determined the association between patients and complications. To our knowledge, this is the first study to use the Clavien classification system to compare the ASA scores of RRP.

In conclusion, no significant difference in surgical complication rates was observed between low and high risk group but metabolic complications have significant difference each group.
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