## **Research Article**

# Stability-indicating UV-Spectrophotometric Determination of Glipizide in Pharmaceuticals

## Basavaiah K1\* and N. Rajendraprasad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Mysore, Mysuru, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, JSS College of Arts, Commerce & Science, B N Road, Mysuru, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding author: Kanakapura Basavaiah, Department of Chemistry, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India; Email: kanakapurabasavaiah@gmail. com

**Received:** June 26, 2017; **Accepted:** July 19, 2017; **Published:** July 26, 2017

#### Abstract

Glipizide (GPZ) is an antidiabeteic drug indicated for type-2 diabetes. Tow uv-spectrophotometric methods, which are simple, sensitive and stabilityindicating are presented for the determination of GPZ in pharmaceuticals. The methods are based on the measurement of absorbance of drug solution either in 0.1M NaOH at 260nm (NaOH method) or in 0.1M HCl at 255nm (HCl method). Under the optimum condition, absorbance-concentration plots were linear over 4-72µg mL<sup>-1</sup> range in both methods. The calculated molar absorptivity values were 6.06  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> and 6.13  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> lmol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, with corresponding Sandell sensitivities of 0.0752 and 0.0743µg cm<sup>-2</sup>. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ), calculated as per the ICH guidelines, were 1.02 and 3.05µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (NaOH method) and 0.85 and 2.55µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (HCl method). The methods were validated for intra-day and inter-day accuracy and precision, and the percent relative error values (measure of accuracy) were ≤2, and percent relative standard deviation (measure of precision) were <1.5. The methods were also validated for robustness, ruggedness and selectivity. When applied to tablets, the methods yielded results, which agreed with the label claim and those of a reference method. As part of forced degradation study, drug was subjected to acid-, base-, peroxide-, heat-, and light-induced stress conditions following the ICH guidelines, and the results showed that GPZ is prone to oxidative degradation, and remained intact under other stress conditions.

**Keywords:** Glipizide; Assay; UV-spectrophotometry; Pharmaceuticals; Stability-indicating.

# Introduction

Glipizide (GPZ), chemically known as N-[2[4[[[(Cyclohexylamino)carbonyl]amino] sulfonyl] phenyl] ethyl]-5- methylpyrazinecarboxamide (Figure 1) is one of the sulphonyl urea derivatives that are widely used as oral anti-hyper glycaemic drugs for the treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [1]. It functions by stimulating pancreatic beta-cell insulin production, which results in the reduction of glucose levels in blood.

The drug has an official monograph in European Pharmacopeia [2] which describes a titrimetric assay for GPZ, in which drug solution in dimethylformamide is titrated with lithium methoxide using quinaldine red as indicator. Several other methods based on techniques such as high-performance liquid chromatography [3-17], ultra-performance liquid chromatography [18], thin layer chromatography [19,20] and high-performance thin layer chromatography [21] have been reported for the determination of GPZ in bulk and dosage forms. Though these techniques [3-18] often provide sensitive and selective means for the assay of GPZ, the required instrumental facilities are not available in many laboratories, and the other two techniques [19-21] are semi-quantitative.

Assay methods for routine analysis should be simple, rapid, easy to perform, sensitive and the instrument used should be easily available in most laboratories. UV-spectrophotometry meets these requirements, but little attention has been paid to the determination of GPZ in pharmaceuticals, using this facile technique. There is only



one direct method described by Mantri and Shanmukhappa [4], when the drug is present alone in the dosage form. Aruna and Nancey [22] have described the simultaneous determination of metformin (MET) and GPZ in solid dosage forms by two methods: solving simultaneous equations and second derivative mode. The methods are less sensitive with narrow linear ranges. Two more methods were developed for the simultaneous determination of GPZ and MET in tablet dosage forms by Chungath et al. [23]. Method A involved solving simultaneous equations, where two wavelengths: 238nm (for MET) and 275nm (for GPZ) were selected for the formation of simultaneous equations. Method B involved the formation of Q- absorbance equation at isobestic point (259.5nm). Linearity was observed in the range 1.2-6.0µgmL<sup>-1</sup> for GPZ in both the methods. GPZ and MET in combined tablet formulation were assayed by Sarangi et al. [24] also. The authors used multi component mode at 276nm (for GPZ) and 237nm (for MET) for measurement in methanolic solution. Beer's law is obeyed in the concentration range 2-20µgmL<sup>-1</sup> for GPZ. Adhikari



et al [25] used two methods for the simultaneous determination of pioglitazone (PGT), MET and GPZ in multi component formulation. The three- wavelength method used acetonitrile- methanol- water in the ratio (3: 4: 1) with  $\lambda$ max at 236.5, 226.4 and 227.3 nm, for PGT, MET and GPZ, respectively. The isobestic point was found to be at 254nm. Method II was based multi wavelength spectroscopy. The Beer's law was obeyed over 5-55µgmL<sup>-1</sup> range for GPZ. Except one [22], all other uv-spectrophotometric methods [22-25] are applicable to combined dosage forms. Since GPZ is found in many brands of single-component tablets, the need for a simple, rapid, convenient and inexpensive method is obvious. The aim of the present study was, therefore, to use uv-spectrophotometry-based methods to the determination of GPZ. The two developed methods are based on the measurement of absorbance of GPZ solution either in 0.1M NaOH at 260nm (NaOH method) or in 0.1M HCl at 255nm (HCl method). Stress testing of a drug substance is mandatory [26], but none of the methods reported for GPZ so far is stability-indicating. To determine the stability-indicating ability of the developed methods, GPZ was subjected to various stress conditions followed by assay after forced degradation. The methods were found to be both rapid and reliable.

## **Experimental**

# Apparatus

Shimadzu Pharmaspec 1700UV/Visible double beam spectrophotometer provided with matched 1-cm quartz cells (Hyderabad, India) was used for all absorbance measurements.

#### **Reagents and materials**

All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical reagent grade. Hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) were purchased from S.D Fine Chem Ltd., Mumbai, India. HCl (2M) was prepared by diluting 17.6ml of concentrated acid (Sp. gr. 1.18) to 100ml with water and used in stress study. This was diluted to 0.1M concentration with water and standardised. NaOH (2M) was prepared by dissolving 8g of chemical in 100mL of water for use in stress study, and the same was diluted to 0.1M with water and standardised. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (5% v/v) was obtained by appropriate dilution of the commercial sample.

#### Preparation of standard GPZ solution

Pure sample of GPZ was kindly supplied by Bal Pharma, Bangalore, India, as gift. Stock standard GPZ solutions ( $400\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>) were prepared by dissolving 40mg of pure GPZ in 0.1M NaOH or 0.1M HCl separately, and diluted to 100mL with the respective solvent, in calibrated flasks. The solutions were diluted to obtain  $80\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup> each GPZ and used for assay. GPZ- containing tablets: Dibizide-5 (5mg) (Micro Labs Limited, Bangalore, India), Glynase-5 (5mg) (USV Limited, Aurangabad, India) were purchased from the local market.

#### Analytical procedures

## Procedure for bulk drug

**NaOH Method:** Into a series of 10mL volumetric flasks, aliquots of standard solution equivalent to  $40-720\mu g$  GPZ were accurately transferred and volume made upto the mark with 0.1M NaOH. The absorbance of each solution was measured at 260nm *vs* 0.1M NaOH.

HCl method: Varying aliquots  $(0.5, 1.0, \dots, 9.0 \text{ mL})$  of  $80 \mu \text{gmL}^{-1}$  standard GPZ solutions were taken in a series of 10mL volumetric flasks and made upto the mark with 0.1M HCl. The absorbance of each solution was measured at 255nm *vs* 0.1M HCl.



#### Table 1: Sensitivity and regression parameters.

| Parameter   | NaOH method            | HCI method             |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| λ <sub>max</sub> , nm                                       | 260                    | 255                    |  |  |  |  |
| Linear range, µg mL <sup>-1</sup>                           | 4.0 - 72.0             | 4.0 - 72.0             |  |  |  |  |
| Molar absorptivity(ε), L mol <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> | 6.06 × 10 <sup>3</sup> | 6.13 × 10 <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Sandell sensitivity, µg cm <sup>-2</sup>                    | 0.0752                 | 0.0743                 |  |  |  |  |
| Limit of detection (LOD), $\mu g \ m L^{-1}$                | 1.02                   | 0.85                   |  |  |  |  |
| Limit of quantification (LOQ), µg mL <sup>-1</sup>          | 3.05                   | 2.55                   |  |  |  |  |
| Regression equation, y                                      |                        |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept (b)   | 0.0079                 | 0.0142                 |  |  |  |  |
| Slope (m)   | 0.0129                 | 0.0127                 |  |  |  |  |
| Standard deviation of intercept ( $S_{b}$ )                 | 0.0003                 | 0.0012                 |  |  |  |  |
| Standard deviation of slope $(S_m)$                         | 0.0002                 | 0.0002                 |  |  |  |  |
| Regression coefficient (r)                                  | 0.9996                 | 0.9994                 |  |  |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |  |  |  |  |

'y= mx+b, Where y is the absorbance, x concentration in  $\mu gmL^{\cdot 1}, b$  intercept and m slope.

Calibration curves were prepared by plotting absorbance *versus* the concentration. Regression equation, derived using Beer's law data, was used to compute the concentration of unknown.

#### Procedure for tablets

Weighed amount of tablet powder equivalent to 40mg of GPZ was transferred into a 100mL volumetric flask. The content was shaken well with about 60mL of 0.1M NaOH or 0.1M HCl for 20min. The mixture was diluted to the mark with the respective solvent. It was filtered using Whatman No 42 filter paper. First 10mL portion of the filtrate was discarded and a subsequent portion was diluted to  $40\mu gmL^{-1}$  and assayed in five replicates.

#### Procedure for placebo blank and synthetic mixture

A placebo blank of the composition: acacia (15mg), hydroxyl cellulose (10mg), magnesium Stearate (15mg), starch (10mg), sodium citrate (15mg), talc (15mg) and sodium alginate (10mg) was made and its solution was prepared by taking 20mg as described under 'procedure for tablets' and then subjected to analysis. A synthetic mixture was prepared by homogeneous mixing of 20mg of pure drug with placebo. Its solution was prepared as described under "procedure for tablets". The extract was subjected to assay following the general procedures and the percentage recovery of GPZ was calculated.

#### Procedure for forced degradation study

In both methods, a 1mL aliquot of 400µgmL<sup>-1</sup> GPZ was taken in three separate 10mL volumetric flasks and mixed with 2mL of 2M HCl (acid hydrolysis), 2M NaOH (base hydrolysis) or 5%  $H_2O_2$ (oxidative degradation) and boiled for 2h at 80°C in a hot water bath. Each solution was cooled to room temperature and diluted to the mark with 0.1M NaOH or HCl after neutralization with base/acid. For thermal and photo degradation, solid sample was kept in a Petri dish in oven at 100°C for 24h (thermal degradation) or exposed to UV radiation of wavelength 254nm and of 1200K lux intensity for 48h in a UV chamber (photo degradation). After cooling to room temperature, 40µgmL<sup>-1</sup> GPZ solution in0.1M HCl/NaOH was prepared separately and absorption spectrum recorded.

# **Results and Discussion**

The absorption spectra of  $40\mu gmL^{-1}$  GPZ solution in 0.1M NaOH and 0.1M HCl were recorded separately between 200 and 400nm, which showed maxima at 260 and 255nm, respectively. At these wavelengths, 0.1M NaOH and 0.1M HCl had insignificant absorbance as shown in Figure 2.

## **Method Validation**

## **Analytical parameters**

The regression parameters calculated from the calibration graphs (Figure 3), are presented in Table 1. Beer's law was obeyed upto  $72\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup> in both methods. Linearity of calibration graphs (Figure 3) was demonstrated by the high values of the correlation coefficient (r) and the small values of the y-intercepts of the regression equations. The molar absorptivity, Sandell sensitivity values of both methods are also shown in Table 1. The limits of detection and quantification were calculated as per the current *ICH* guidelines [26] and are presented in Table 1 and speak of moderately high sensitivity of the methods.

## **Precision and accuracy**

Precision and accuracy of the methods were evaluated in terms of intermediate precision and error (intra-day and inter-day). Three different concentration of GPZ (within the working limits) were analyzed in seven replicates during the same day (intra-day) and five consecutive days (inter-day). Percent RSD and RE values, which are the measures of precision and accuracy, are  $\leq 2$  and <1.5 (Table 2), respectively, and indicate the excellent repeatability, reproducibility and accuracy of the proposed methods.

|        |                               | ,  |            | ·    | 2 1  |       | ,    |
|--------|-------------------------------|--|------------|------|--|-------|------|
| GP     | GPZ                           | Intr   | a-day (n=5 | 5)   | Inter-day (n=5)                                    |       |      |
| Method | taken,<br>µg mL <sup>-1</sup> | GPZ<br>Found <sup>a</sup> ,<br>µg mL <sup>-1</sup> | %RSD⁵      | %RE° | GPZ<br>Found <sup>a</sup> ,<br>µg mL <sup>-1</sup> | %RSD⁵ | %RE° |
| NaOH   | 20                            | 20.3   | 1.07       | 1.50 | 20.4   | 0.95  | 2.00 |
| method | 40                            | 39.5   | 0.92       | 1.25 | 39.3   | 1.63  | 1.75 |
| method | 60                            | 58.9   | 0.63       | 1.83 | 61.0   | 1.12  | 1.67 |
| HCI    | 20                            | 19.8   | 1.01       | 1.50 | 19.7   | 1.04  | 1.36 |
| method | 40                            | 39.5   | 1.09       | 1.25 | 40.7   | 1.25  | 1.75 |
| method | 60                            | 60.8   | 1.33       | 1.17 | 59.1   | 0.97  | 1.50 |

#### Table 2: Results of intra-day and inter-day accuracy and precision study.

A Mean value of five determinations; <sup>b</sup>Relative standard deviation; <sup>c</sup>Relative error.

| Table 3: Results | of | robustness | and | ruggedness, | expressed | as | intermediate |
|------------------|----|------------|-----|-------------|-----------|----|--------------|
| precision.       |    |            |     |             |           |    |              |

|        |            |                    | Method ruggedness |                |  |  |
|--------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Method | GPZ taken, | Method robustness* | Inter-analysts    | Inter-cuvettes |  |  |
|        | µg mL-1    | %RSD               | %RSD,             | %RSD,          |  |  |
|        |            |                    | (n=3)             | (n=3)          |  |  |
| NaOH   | 20         | 1.05               | 1.05              | 1.03           |  |  |
| method | 40         | 0.92               | 1.36              | 1.51           |  |  |
|        | 60         | 1.47               | 0.91              | 0.81           |  |  |
| HCI    | 20         | 0.69               | 1.54              | 1.09           |  |  |
| method | 40         | 1.15               | 0.75              | 1.54           |  |  |
| method | 60         | 0.63               | 0.89              | 1.21           |  |  |

\*The wavelengths were 259, 260 and 261nm (NaOH method) and 254, 255 and 256nm (HCl method).

# **Robustness and ruggedness**

Robustness was determined by the analysis of standard solution at three concentration levels at three wavelengths (*viz*  $\lambda$ max and  $\lambda$ max  $\pm$  1nm). To determine the method ruggedness, assays were performed by three analysts with the same cuvette and also by a single analyst with three different cuvettes in the same laboratory. Small deliberate alterations in the optimized and operational conditions did

|                      | Label<br>claim   | Found (Percent of label claim ±SD) <sup>a</sup> |                  |            |  |  |
|----------------------|------------------|---|------------------|------------|--|--|
| Tablet brand<br>name |                  | Official  | Proposed methods |            |  |  |
|                      | mg/tablet method |   | NaOH method      | HCI method |  |  |
|                      | 5.00             |   | 101.7±1.39       | 101.9±1.45 |  |  |
| Dibizide-5           |                  | 101.5±1.98                                      | t = 0.18         | t = 0.36   |  |  |
|                      |                  |   | F= 2.03          | F = 1.86   |  |  |
|                      |                  |   | 101.2±1.63       | 102.7±1.21 |  |  |
| Glynase-5            | 5.00             | 102.1±1.29                                      | t = 0.97         | t = 0.76   |  |  |
|                      |                  |   | F= 1.60          | F = 1.14   |  |  |

 Table 4: Results obtained by the analysis of tablets by the proposed methods and statistical comparison of results with the reference method.

<sup>a</sup>Mean value of five determinations.

not significantly influence the results as indicated by small values of %RSD (Table 3).

## Selectivity

The placebo blank when subjected to assays did not absorb at the wavelength of analysis. When the synthetic mixture solution was subjected to analyses at  $40\mu gm L^{-1}$  concentration level by each method, the percent recoveries were 97.42 and 98.25 respectively, with %RSD being less than 1.9%, implying that the assays were not affected by inactive ingredients.

### Application to tablets

The proposed methods were applied for the quantification of GPZ in two brands of commercial tablets. Same tablets were analysed by the official method [2] for comparison. The official method consisted of titration of drug in dimethylformamide with 0.1M lithium methoxide using quinaldine red indicator. Statistical analysis of the results did not detect any significant difference between the proposed methods





| Table 5: Results of recovery | / etudy | / using | standard | addition | method  |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| able 5. Results of recovery  | / Sluuy | / using | Stanuaru | auuuuuu  | methou. |

|                | NaOH method                              |  |  |  | HCI method                               |  |                                |  |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Tablet studied | GPZ in<br>tablet,<br>μg mL <sup>-1</sup> | Pure<br>GPZ<br>added,<br>µg mL <sup>-1</sup> | Total GPZ<br>found,<br>μg mL <sup>-1</sup> | Pure GPZ<br>recovered<br>(Percent±SD*) | GPZ in<br>tablet,<br>μg mL <sup>-1</sup> | Pure<br>GPZ<br>added,<br>µg mL <sup>-1</sup> | Total GPZ<br>found,<br>µg mL⁻¹ | Pure GPZ<br>recovered<br>(Percent±SD*) |
|                | 20.34                                    | 10.0   | 30.01                                      | 98.92±1.05                             | 20.38                                    | 10.0   | 30.87                          | 101.6± 1.29                            |
| Dibizide-5     | 20.34                                    | 20.0   | 40.98                                      | 101.6±1.43                             | 20.38                                    | 20.0   | 40.23                          | 99.63± 1.63                            |
|                | 20.34                                    | 30.0   | 50.89                                      | 101.1±1.67                             | 20.38                                    | 30.0   | 50.98                          | 101.2± 1.89                            |
|                | 20.24                                    | 10.0   | 30.90                                      | 102.2±1.36                             | 20.54                                    | 10.0   | 31.27                          | 102.4± 0.54                            |
| Glynase-5      | 20.24                                    | 20.0   | 41.01                                      | 101.9±0.98                             | 20.54                                    | 20.0   | 41.72                          | 102.9± 1.81                            |
|                | 20.24                                    | 30.0   | 49.16                                      | 97.86±0.83                             | 20.54                                    | 30.0   | 49.97                          | 98.87± 1.45                            |

'Mean value of three determinations.

and reference method with respect to accuracy and precision as revealed by the Student's t-value and variance ratio F-value [27]. The results of this study are presented in Table 4.

### Accuracy by recovery test

The test was done by spiking pre-analyzed tablet powder with pure GPZ at three different levels (50, 100 and 150% of the content

| Stress condition         % Degradation*           NaOH method         HCI method           Acid hydrolysis         No degradation         No degradation           Alkali hydrolysis         No degradation         No degradation           Oxidation         57.2         59.8           Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)         No degradation         No degradation           Photolytic (1.2 million lux hours)         No degradation         No degradation |                                    |                |                |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| NaOH methodHCl methodAcid hydrolysisNo degradationNo degradationAlkali hydrolysisNo degradationNo degradationOxidation57.259.8Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)No degradationNo degradation   | Otropp condition                   | % Degradation* |                |  |  |
| Alkali hydrolysis     No degradation       Oxidation     57.2       Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)     No degradation  | Stress condition                   | NaOH method    | HCI method     |  |  |
| Oxidation     57.2     59.8       Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)     No degradation     No degradation   | Acid hydrolysis                    | No degradation | No degradation |  |  |
| Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)     No degradation     No degradation   | Alkali hydrolysis                  | No degradation | No degradation |  |  |
|  | Oxidation                          | 57.2           | 59.8           |  |  |
| Photolytic (1.2 million lux hours) No degradation No degradation   | Thermal (105°C, 3 hours)           | No degradation | No degradation |  |  |
|  | Photolytic (1.2 million lux hours) | No degradation | No degradation |  |  |

Table 6: Results of stability indicating study by forced degradation study.

\*Mean value of three determinations.

present in the tablet powder (taken) and the total was determined by the proposed methods. Each test was repeated three times. In both the cases, the recovery percentage values ranged between 97.86 and 102.9% with standard deviation in the range, 0.85-1.89%. Closeness of the results to 100% indicates good accuracy as well as selectivity of the methods, as shown in Table 5.

#### Results of forced degradation study

The UV-spectra of  $40\mu gmL^{-1}$  GPZ each in 0.1M NaOH and 0.1M HCl after forced degradation are shown in Figure 4A to Figure 4E. The drug was found to undergo substantial degradation under oxidative stress condition and remained intact under other conditions (Table 6).

# **Conclusions**

This is the first report dealing with stability-indicating method for glipizide. The present uv-spectrophotometric methods allow determination of glipizide over a wide concentration range (4- $72\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>) unlike many reported methods. Each method employs a single aqueous base or acid medium compared to organic solvent or mixed organic solvent media used in the reported methods. The results of validation are satisfactory and the methods can be used in routine analysis.

# Acknowledgement

Authors thank Bal Pharma, Bangalore, India, for gifting glipizide pure sample. Prof. K. Basavaiah gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance by UGC, New Delhi, India, in the form of BSR Faculty fellowship.

#### References

- Sweetman SC. Martindale. The Complete Drug Reference, 34<sup>th</sup> Ed., Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2005; 5083.
- 2. European Pharmacopoea 6.0, Official Monograph 01/2008:0906, 1977.
- Vijaya SS, Satya KV, Hima BV and Devala RG. High Pressure Liquid Chromatography Estimation of Glipizide in Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms. Asian Journal of Chemistry. 2006; 18: 1309-1312.
- Mantri MA and Shanmukhappa S. A Validated RP-HPLC Method for Estimation of Glipizide in Its Pure and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form (Tablets). Material Science Research India. 2009; 6: 223.
- Rahila S and Asif K. Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Method for the Analysis of Glipizide in Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms. International Journal of Research in Ayurveda and Pharmacy. 2010; 1: 455-458.
- Rayanam VI, Rao LA and Ramana MV. Development and Validation of LC Method for the Estimation of Glipizide in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form and Serum. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Chemistry.

2011; 1: 50-54.

- Liping H, Yan X, Jiaxiu H, Jingping S, Jiali Z, Jin Z, et al. Determination of Glipizide in Sustained Release Tablets by RP-HPLC. Zhonghua Yixue Yanjiu Zazhi. 2011; 11: 337-339.
- Najma S, Arayne SM, Nadir AS and Hashim ZM. Simultaneous Determination of Glipizide and Glimepride by RP-HPLC in Dosage Formulations and in Human Serum. Medicinal Chemistry Research. 2012; 21: 2443-2448.
- Jing F, Jia L, Dan S, Hua DR, Shun BK and Hui CX. Determination of Two Components in Metformin and Glipizide Tablets by Reversed-Phase Ion-Pair HPLC. Chinese Journal of New Drugs and Clinical Remedies. 2010; 29: 445-447.
- Anurag D and Shukla IC. Simultaneous Determination of Glipizide and Metformin Hydrochloride in Pharmaceutical Preparation by HPLC. Journal of Indian Chemical Society. 2004; 81: 84-86.
- 11. Rao BU and Nikalje AP. Determination of Glipizide, Glibenlamide and Glimeperide in a Tablet Dosage Form in the Presence of Metformin Hydrochloride by Ion Pair –Reversed Phase Liquid Chromatographic Technique. Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Techniques. 2010; 1: 1-5.
- Bhavana K and Srinivas M. RP-HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Estimation of Glipizide and Simvastatin in Bulk and in a Synthetic Mixture. Inventi Impact - Pharm Analysis & Quality Assurance. 2015; 2015: 19-25.
- Anna G, Anna B and Lukasz K. Stability-indicating Validated HPLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Oral Antidiabetic Drugs from Thiazolidinedione and Sulfonylurea Groups in Combined Dosage Forms. Journal of AOAC International. 2010; 93: 1086-1092.
- 14. Lakshmi KS and Rajesh T. Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Glipizide, Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone, Glibenclamide and Glimepiride in Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Human Plasma. Journal of Iranian Chemical Society. 2011; 8: 31-37.
- Reddy MU, Reddy PV, Somasekhar P and Varaprasad B. Single and High Resolution RP-HPLC Method for the Determination of Six anti Diabetic Drug Products. Journal of Pharmacy Research. 2011; 4: 1209-1212.
- Meng L and Subi L. Ion-pair HPLC Determation of Anti-Diabetic Agents in Traditional Chinese Medicines and Health Care Products. Chinese Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis. 2010; 30: 1038-1041.
- Basavaiah K and Rajendraprasad N. Rapid and Reliable Determination of Glipizide in Pharmaceutical Samples by HPLC and Its Degradation Study. Austin Journal of Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry. 2017; 4: 1080.
- Trivedi HK, Kshtri N, Patel V and Roa V. Development and Validation of an UPLC Method for In-Vitro Study of Glipizide Extended Release Tablets. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2012; 3: 3317-3322.
- El Kousy NM. Stability-indicating Densitometric Determination of Some Antidiabetic Drugs in Dosage Forms, using TLC. Microchimica Acta. 1998; 128: 65-68.
- Gumienicze A and Berecka A. Quantitative Analysis of Gliclazide and Glipizide in Tablets by a New Validated and Stability-indicating RPTLC Method. Journal of Planar Chromatography-Modern TLC. 2010; 23: 129-133.
- 21. Modi DK and Patel BH. Simultaneous Determination of Metformin Hydrochloride and Glipizide in Tablet Formulation by HPTLC. Journal of Liquid Chromatography and Related Technologies. 2012; 35: 28-39.
- Aruna A and Nancey K. Simultaneous Estimation of Metformin HCl and Glipizide in Solid Dosage Forms by Ultraviolet Spectrophotometry. Indian Drugs. 2000; 37: 533-536.
- Chungath TT, Reddy YP and Devanna N. Simultaneous Spectrophotometric Estimation of Metformin Hydrochloride and Glipizide in Tablet Dosage Forms. International Journal of Pharm Tech Research. 2011; 3: 2064-2067.
- 24. Sarangi RR, Panda SN, Panda SK and Sahu KC. Simultaneous UV-Spectrophotometric Estimation of Glipizide and Metformin in Bulk and Its Dosage Form. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archive. 2011; 2: 1137-1145.

- 25. Adhikari L, Jagadev S, Sahoo S, Murthy PN and Mishra US. Devlopement and Validation of UV-Visible Spectrophotometric Method for Simultaneous Determination of Pioglitazone Hydrochloride, Metformin Hydrochloride and Glipizide in its Bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form. International Journal of Chem Tech Research. 2012; 4: 625-630.
- 26. International Conference on Hormonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline, Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2

(R1), Complementary Guideline on Methodology dated 06 November 1996, incorporated in November 2005, London. UK.

 Inczedy J, Lengyel T and, Ure AM. IUPAC Compendium of Analytical Nomenclature: Definitive Rules, Blackwell Science Inc., Boston, MA, USA. 1998; 964.

Austin J Anal Pharm Chem - Volume 4 Issue 3 - 2017 ISSN : 2381-8913 | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Basavaiah et al. © All rights are reserved Citation: Basavaiah K and Rajendraprasad N. Stability-indicating UV-Spectrophotometric Determination of Glipizide in Pharmaceuticals. Austin J Anal Pharm Chem. 2017; 4(3): 1088.