Research Article

Pethidine in Hand and Forearm Surgeries under Intravenous Regional Anesthesia

Ibrahim AN*

Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ainshams University Hospitals, Ainshams University Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt

*Corresponding author: Ibrahim AN, Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ainshams University Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt

Received: January 21, 2015; Accepted: February 10, 2015; Published: February 11, 2015

Abstract

Background: Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) is used for short duration hand and forearm surgeries with possible acute postoperative pain. This research compares using pethidine with lidocaine to the conventional use of lidocaine only in IVRA as regards postoperative analgesia and the incidence of postoperative side effects.

Methods: In a prospective, randomized, double blind study, 60 patients undergoing hand and forearm surgeries received IVRA with lidocaine (group L, n=30) or lidocaine with pethidine 30mg (group LP, n=30). Fentanyl was used as analgesic if needed intraoperatively. In the first 6h postoperatively, analgesia was provided by fentanyl i.v with recording the time for the first dose, the total doses used and possible side effects. After 6h, the patients were asked to take diclofenac oral tablets 50mg if feeling pain.

Results: The block was adequate for all patients. The time for the first dose of fentanyl postoperatively was significantly more in group LP compared to group L (156.00 \pm 7.37 vs 58.83 \pm 5.04min respectively). The total consumption of fentanyl in the first 6h postoperatively was less in group LP compared to group L (24.67 \pm 6.29mcg vs 59.17 \pm 9.75mcg respectively). The side effects were not significantly different between the two groups. After 6h, all patients in both groups took diclofenac tablets (one tab/8h) for 24h.

Conclusion: Pethidine with lidocaine in IVRA provides postoperative analgesia upto 6h without significant increase in the incidence of side effects when compared with lidocaine alone but doesn't provide significant analgesia after 6h.

Keywords: Intravenous regional anesthesia; Pethidine; Hand and Forearm surgery

Introduction

Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) is safe and effective way to provide anesthesia for the forearm and hand surgeries lasting up to 90 min. Although this technique avoids the potential complications of general anesthesia, it can result in significant tourniquet and postoperative pain [1]. Increased opioid analgesic use, longer hospital stays, poor patient outcomes, and decreased patient satisfaction scores are associated with inadequate pain management modalities during the immediate postoperative period [2]. To overcome these problems many of adjunct drugs have been used along with local anesthetic agents in IVRA.

A systemic review of all the adjuncts used in IVRA, by Choyce and Peng [3] concluded that among the opioids, pethidine has substantial postoperative benefit. Pethidine is a short acting opioid with mild side effects when given systemically.

Aim of this study is to determine the efficacy of pethidine in a dose of 30 mg as an adjunct to lidocaine in IVRA.

Materials and Methods

After taking approval from the ethics committee of Ainshams university hospitals and written informed consents from patients

enrolled in the study, 60 ASA grade I and II patients posted for elective forearm and hand surgeries were selected for a prospective, randomized, double blind study. All procedures were performed at Ainshams university hospitals between January 2014 and July 2014. A power analysis was performed to determine a sufficient sample size required to establish a significant difference between the two groups based on the results of a preliminary study, using a power of 0.9. This determined that a sample size of 27 patients per group was necessary. All forearm and hand surgery patients with expected surgery time less than 90 minutes who accepted intravenous regional anesthesia for the surgery were considered for inclusion for this study. Exclusion criteria included addicts on opioids, patients on chronic use of antidepressants, patients with a neuro pathological disease, patients with allergy to lidocaine or opioids, ASA physical status 3 or 4, pregnancy, inability to give consent and patients with a renal disease.

All patients were preoperatively evaluated and visual analogue score for pain (VAS) was explained to them with 0 indicates no pain and 10 indicates the worst possible pain. Patients were premedicated with intravenous midazolam 2 mg, 10 min before the procedure. Routine monitoring included ECG, NIBP, and SpO_2 . A 20 G cannula was inserted and secured in a distal vein of the arm to be operated, over the dorsum of the hand. A double-cuffed tourniquet was applied

Austin J Anesthesia and Analgesia - Volume 3 Issue 1 - 2015 ISSN : 2381-893X | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Ibrahim. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Ibrahim AN. Pethidine in Hand and Forearm Surgeries under Intravenous Regional Anesthesia. Austin J Anesthesia and Analgesia. 2015;3(1): 1040.

Ibrahim AN

P value Groups Group L Group LP Sex Female 10(33.3%) 12(40.0%) 0.592 Male 20(66.7%) 18(60.0%) Age (years) Range 25-38 25-39 0.936 Mean±SD 33.67±3.38 33.73±3 Weight(Kg) Range 58-75 58-71 0.830 Mean±SD 66.07±4.66 66.30±3.69 Tourniquet time (min) 50-75 45-75 Range 0.232 Mean±SD 62.17±8.58 64.67±7.42 Surgical duration (min) 35-65 Range 40-65 0.272 Mean±SD 52.17±8.58 54.50±7.70 Groups Group LP ASA class Group L Total % Ν % Ν % N 60.0 66.7 38 63.3 I 18 20 Ш 12 40.0 10 33.3 22 36.7 Total 100.0 30 100.0 30 60 100.0 Х2 0.287 Chi-square 0.592 P-value

Table 1: Demographic data and ASA class comparison.

over the arm. The arm was exsanguinated by elevation and application of Esmarch bandage. The proximal cuff of the tourniquet was inflated to the pressure of 300 mm Hg. Isolation of the limb from systemic circulation was confirmed by observing the arm for the absence of distended veins and confirming the absence of radial pulse. The Esmarch bandage was removed and 40 mL of IVRA solution was injected through the venous cannula slowly over a period of 90 s.

The patients were randomly divided into two groups of 30 each, by closed envelope technique. They received either 40 mL of 0.5% lidocaine (group L) or 40 mL of 0.5% lidocaine along with pethidine 30 mg (group LP).

Pulse rate, blood pressure, and SpO₂ were recorded every 5 min. Intraoperative tourniquet pain was assessed every 15 min using the VAS score. During intraoperative period, for tourniquet pain (VAS > 3) 25 mcg of fentanyl was given intravenously. After deflation of cuff, pain was assessed using VAS scale at 0, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240 and 360 min. For early postoperative pain up to 6 h, for VAS > 3, bolus dose of fentanyl 25 mcg was given intravenously. The time for the first analgesic consumption and the total dose of fentanyl consumed were noted.

Postoperative nausea and vomiting, itching, tinnitus, dizziness and respiratory depression (respiratory rate <10/min or SpO $_2$ < 90%) were noted.

After 6 hours, all patients in both groups were asked to take diclofenac tablets (50mg tab) (one tab/8h) for the coming 24 hours if feeling pain.

Statistical presentation and analysis of data was conducted, using the mean, standard deviation, unpaired student t-test, Mann-Whitney and chi-square by SPSS V17.

Austin Publishing Group

ruble 1. rouniquot pair maint the group.										
VAS	Group L			Group LP			Mann-Whitney Test			
	Range	Median	Mean Rank	Range	Median	Mean Rank	Z	P-value		
15 min.	1-2	1	31.5	1-2	1	29.5	0.523	0.601		
30 min.	1-3	2	31.0	1-3	2	30.0	0.246	0.806		
45 min.	2-4	3	34.1	2-4	3	26.9	1.787	0.074		
60 min.	2-5	3	32.2	2-4	3	28.8	0.880	0.379		

Table 2: Tourniquet pain within the group

Unpaired Student T-test was used to compare between the two groups in quantitative data.

The pain scores between the groups were compared using Mann-Whitney Test.

A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were no differences among the groups in demographic data, tourniquet time, surgical duration and ASA class (Table 1). There was no difference between the groups for hemodynamics parameters (heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure).

Tourniquet pain increased significantly between 30 and 45 min in both groups. The VAS scores were comparable between the groups during surgery (Table 2). Fentanyl requirement for treatment of intraoperative tourniquet pain was not significant between the groups.

Postoperatively, the time for the first dose of fentanyl consumption was significantly delayed in group LP compared to group L (156.00 \pm 7.37 vs. 58.83 \pm 5.04 min respectively) (Table 3), (Figure 1).

The total fentanyl consumption in the first 6h of the postoperative period (Table 3), (Figure 2) was lesser in group LP compared to group L (24.67 ± 6.29 mcg vs. 59.17 ± 9.75 mcg respectively).

One patient in group L and 3 patients in group LP had tinnitus and dizziness. One patient in group L and two patients in group LP had postoperative nausea and vomiting. One patient in group LP had mild itching postoperatively but none in group L. None of the patients experienced intra or postoperative respiratory depression.

None of these side effects were statistically significant between the two groups (Table 4).

After 6 hours, all patients in both groups took diclofenac tablets (50mg tablet). There was no significant difference between the 2 groups as every patient took 3 tablets in 24 hours duration (one tablet/8 hours).

 Table 3: Time for the first dose of fentanyl consumption and the amount of fentanyl used in the first 6h postoperatively.

Groups	Time							
Groups	Range			Mean	±	SD	P-value	
Group L	50	-	70	58.83	±	5.04	<0.001*	
Group LP	140	-	170	156.00	±	7.37	<0.001	
Croups	Fentar							
Groups		Range	;	Mean	±	SD	P-value	
Group L	40	-	75	59.17	±	9.75	<0.001*	
Group LP	10	-	35	24.67	±	6.29	<0.001	



Table 4: Side effects profile.

	Groups		Chi aguara			
	Gro	Group L Group LP		Chi-square		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	X2	P-value
Tinnitus and dizziness	1	3.3	3	10.0	1.071	0.301
Postoperative nausea and vomiting	1	3.3	2	6.7	0.351	0.554
Postoperative itching	0	0.0	1	3.3	1.017	0.313

Discussion

Numerous studies have recommended the preemptive treatment of postoperative pain as the modality of choice to decrease the time to the first opioid use, decrease the hospital stays, improve the patients' outcomes, and increase the patients' satisfaction [2, 4, 5]. Postoperative pain control should be started intraoperatively [6].

Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) is a technique involving the administration of a local anesthetic into a region where the venous return is mechanically impeded [7].

It is easily learned and requires minimal personnel. IVRA was introduced in 1908 by the German surgeon August Gustav Bier, hence the more common term "Bier block" for this technique. Although used commonly when it was first introduced, Bier block fell in popularity before being reintroduced by Holmes in 1963 [8].

Most experiences with Bier block have been in the operating rooms, where it is considered a safe and effective alternative to general anesthesia in selected cases involving the upper and lower limbs. Bier block can also be used in the emergency department to provide rapid and complete anesthesia, as well as muscle relaxation and a bloodless operating field [9-12]. Many local anesthetics were used in IVRA but the most common one is lidocaine. Lidocaine, which has been in clinical use for over 60 years, is one of the most widely used local anesthetics and is useful for treating ventricular arrhythmias. The mechanism of action of lidocaine has been intensively investigated, yet there remain important unresolved questions. Although there is evidence that lidocaine can interact with multiple targets, lidocaine's primary clinically relevant target is believed to be voltage-gated sodium channels. Voltage-gated sodium channels play a critical role in the generation and propagation of action potentials in neurons and muscle cells. Lidocaine inhibition of voltage-gated sodium currents involves complex voltage and use dependence that is thought to be crucial for many of the therapeutic effects. Toxicity ranges from mild CNS manifestations like numbness and tingling up to severe



Austin Publishing Group

symptoms like tremors and convulsions [13].

Pethidine as one of the opioids exerts its analgesic effects by acting as an agonist at the μ -opioid receptor [14].

Pethidine has structural similarities to atropine and other tropane alkaloids and may have some of their effects and side effects [15]. In addition to these opioidergic and anticholinergic effects, it has local anesthetic activity related to its interactions with sodium ion channels. Pethidine also has stimulant effects mediated by its inhibition of the dopamine transporter (DAT) and norepinephrine transporter (NET). It has also been associated with cases of serotonin syndrome suggesting some interaction with serotonergic neurons, but the relationship has not been definitively demonstrated. It is more lipid-soluble than morphine, resulting in a faster onset of action. Its duration of clinical effect is 120–150 minutes, although it is typically administered at 4 to 6 hour intervals. The severe side effects unique to pethidine among opioids (serotonin syndrome, seizures, delirium, dysphoria and tremor) are primarily or entirely due to the action of its metabolite, norpethidine which accumulates in renal failure [16].

The peripheral action of opioids could be mediated by either the peripheral opioid receptors or by the local anesthetic action of their own [17]. Many opioids (morphine, pethidine, fentanyl, tramadol, sufentanil and alfentanil) have been used as adjuncts in IVRA previously. Among these opioids, only pethidine in a dose above 30 mg has substantial postoperative benefits but at the expense of post deflation side effects [3]. It was noticed in this study that the addition of pethidine (30 mg dose) as adjunct to lidocaine in (IVRA) did not increase the tourniquet tolerance when compared to the lidocaine group because there was no significant difference in the intraoperative fentanyl consumption and intraoperative VAS scores for the tourniquet pain between the two groups. In both groups the tourniquet pain increased significantly between 30 and 45 min period. This is in correlation with the other studies which suggested that under regional anesthesia, tourniquet pain usually appears about 45 min after inflation and becomes more intense with time [18]. The analgesic consumption for treatment of early postoperative pain was significantly less in group LP compared to group L. The time for the first analgesic requirement was delayed in group LP compared to group L. This signifies that pethidine at a dose 30 mg provides significant postoperative analgesia which goes with a study done by Desai and Santhosh [19].

Ibrahim AN

There were no significant changes in pulse rate, blood pressure and Spo2 between the two groups. The analgesic consumption was not significantly different between 6 and 24 h period after the surgery. Therefore, the addition of pethidine is unlikely to provide significant analgesia beyond 6 hours.

Although in a Scott Reuben's study [20] there was high incidence of side effects in pethidine group, there was no significant difference in the incidence of side effects between the two groups in this study.

All of the 60 patients had successful block. Thus, the success rate was 100%.

In conclusion, the addition of pethidine at a dose 30 mg as adjunct to lidocaine in IVRA provides significantly better postoperative analgesia in the first 6h. However its efficacy in postoperative analgesia is limited beyond 6h. The addition of pethidine does not increase the tourniquet tolerance or the incidence of side effects.

References

- Hartmannsgruber MW, Plessmann S, Atanassoff PG. Bilateral intravenous regional anesthesia: a new method to test additives to local anesthetic solutions. Anesthesiology. 2003; 98: 1427-1430.
- 2. Bridenbaugh PO. Preemptive analgesia--is it clinically relevant? Anesth Analg. 1994; 78: 203-204.
- Choyce A, Peng P. A systematic review of adjuncts for intravenous regional anesthesia for surgical procedures. Can J Anaesth. 2002; 49: 32-45.
- Woolf CJ, Chong MS. Preemptive analgesia--treating postoperative pain by preventing the establishment of central sensitization. Anesth Analg. 1993; 77: 362-379.
- Amjad N, Fazal A. Mini cholecystectomy now a day stay surgery: anaesthetic management with multi modal analgesia. J Pak Med Assoc. 2002; 52: 291-296.
- 6. Rawal N. Analgesia for day-case surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2001; 87: 73-87.
- Roberts JR, Hedges JR, editors. Clinical Procedures in Emergency Medicine. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1991. p. 499-503.

- Casey WF. Intravenous regional anaesthesia (Bier's block). Update Anaesthesia 1992; 1: 1-3.
- Olney BW, Lugg PC, Turner PL, Eyres RL, Cole WG. Outpatient treatment of upper extremity injuries in childhood using intravenous regional anaesthesia. J Pediatr Orthop. 1988; 8: 576-579.
- Bolte RG, Stevens PM, Scott SM, Schunk JE. Mini-dose Bier block intravenous regional anesthesia in the emergency department treatment of pediatric upper-extremity injuries. J Pediatr Orthop. 1994; 14: 534-537.
- Blasier RD, White R. Intravenous regional anesthesia for management of children's extremity fractures in the emergency department. Pediatr Emerg Care. 1996; 12: 404-406.
- Farrell RG, Swanson SL, Walter JR. Safe and effective regional anesthesia for use in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 1985;14: 239-243.
- Sheets MF, Hanck DA. Outward stabilization of the S4 segments in domains III and IV enhances lidocaine block of sodium channels. J Physiol. 2007; 582: 317-334.
- Bronwen Bryant and Kathleen Knights (2010). Pharmacology for Health Professionals, 3rd Edition. Chats wood: Mosby Australia. p. 185ISBN 978-0-7295-3929-6.
- Laurence, Brunton (2010). Goodman & Gilman's pharmacological basis of therapeutics (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill. p. 549. ISBN 0071624422.
- 16. Latta KS, Ginsberg B, Barkin RL. Meperidine: a critical review. Am J Ther. 2002; 9: 53-68.
- 17. Stein C, Yassouridis A. Peripheral morphine analgesia. Pain. 1997; 71: 119-121.
- T. Terse, Horlocker, D.J. Wedel. Anaesthesia for orthopaedic surgery. P.G. Barash, B.F. Cullen, R.K. Stoelting (Eds.), Clinical anaesthesia, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia (2001), p. 1114.
- Sameer N. Desai, M.C.B. Santhosh. A randomized, double blind comparison of pethidine and ketoprofen as adjuvants for lignocaine in intravenous regional anaesthesia. Brazilian J ANAESTH. 2014; 64: p.221-226.
- Reuben SS, Steinberg RB, Lurie SD, Gibson CS. A dose-response study of intravenous regional anesthesia with meperidine. Anesth Analg. 1999; 88: 831-835.

Austin J Anesthesia and Analgesia - Volume 3 Issue 1 - 2015 **ISSN : 2381-893X** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Ibrahim. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Ibrahim AN. Pethidine in Hand and Forearm Surgeries under Intravenous Regional Anesthesia. Austin J Anesthesia and Analgesia. 2015;3(1): 1040.