(Austin Publishing Group

Review Article

What Should Psychiatrists Know About HPA Axis Dysfunction and Altered Cortisol Levels in Major Depression?

Gonul AS^{1,2*}, Aksoy B^{1,3,4}, Eker C^{1,5,6} and Coburn KL²

¹SoCAT Lab Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine Ege University, Turkey
²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Macon, Mercer University School of Medicine, USA
³Department of Neuroscience, Institute of Health Sciences, Ege University, Turkey
⁴Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey
⁵Affective Disorders Unit, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Ege University, Turkey

⁶CUBIT Lab & Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Stony Brook University, USA

*Corresponding author: Ali Saffet Gonul, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, Mercer University School of Medicine, SoCAT Lab, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey and USA

Received: October 17, 2016; **Accepted:** March 28, 2017; **Published:** April 04, 2017

Abstract

An innate or acquired dysfunctional (mainly hyperactive) Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis and altered cortisol levels are the mainstays of the proposed hypothesis for the pathophysiology of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). The hypothesis has a strong theoretical basis and is supported by the animal studies, but it encounters difficulties when applied to humans. The current hypothesis explains structural and functional brain pathologies and symptoms of the disease via high cortisol levels. However, only about half of MDD patients have high cortisol levels, which are mostly observed in specific subgroups. Depressive patients with melancholic and psychotic features have higher cortisol levels than other depressive patients, but patients with atypical features have normal or even lower cortisol levels. HPA axis and cortisol abnormalities in a high-risk population for depression (e.g., healthy daughters of depressed mothers) suggest that genetic factors might underlie the HPA axis dysfunction. In clinical trials antiglucocorticoid treatments have not been promising, and there is no currently available antiglucocorticoid treatment for depression. In this paper we briefly summarize the current status of the evidence and discuss whether the hypothesis of a dysfunctional HPA axis and an abnormal cortisol level is well-founded for depression.

Keywords: Major depressive disorder; Cortisol; Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal (HPA) axis; Pathophysiology

Abbreviations

MDD: Major Depressive Disorder; HPA: Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal; DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; CRH: Corticotrophin-Releasing Hormone; VP: Vasopressin; ACTH: Adrenocorticotrophic Hormone; GC: Glucocorticoids; MR: Mineralocorticoid (Type I) Receptors; GR: Glucocorticoid (Type II) Receptors; DEX: Dexamethasone; DST: Dexamethasone Suppression Test; TCA: Tricyclic Antidepressant; SSRI: Selective Serotonine Reuptake Inhibitor; ANS: Autonomic Nervous System; APA: American Psychiatric Association; PTSD: Post-traumatic Stress Disorder; CA: Cornu Ammonis; DG: Dentate Gyrus; CSF: Cerebrospinal Fluid; DHEA: Dehydroepiandrosterone

Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is one of the most common psychiatric diseases. The World Health Organization estimates that it will be the second most prevalent disabling disease by 2020 [1]. Half a century of significant efforts at understanding the etiology of MDD has led to few evidence-based hypotheses and models to explain the pathophysiology of the disease. One of the best known is that depressed patients have a dysfunctional HPA axis resulting in abnormal plasma cortisol levels, which in turn are associated with depressive symptoms. In this review, we will briefly summarize the current state of the evidence and consider whether this hypothesis is sufficiently well-founded to explain the pathophysiology of the disease. We note that many books and articles written for a general non-medical readership present the hypothesis as proven theory and many clinicians accept the hypothesis without realizing its weaknesses. This review seeks to clarify the strong and weak points of the hypothesis for clinicians who are not experts in the field.

First, an explanation of the terminology used in this review. As discussed below, some researchers have found evidence for a hyperactive HPA axis and high cortisol levels in MDD, while others have reported reduced HPA axis activity and normal or reduced plasma cortisol levels [2]. Many authors assume that abnormal cortisol levels are sufficient evidence of HPA axis dysfunction, but the HPA axis is not the only regulatory system determining plasma cortisol levels. Many other systems such as the sympathoadrenal and immune systems, which are important in MDD etiology, also influence plasma cortisol levels significantly. Past researchers may have underestimated these other systems in their attribution of cortisol levels solely to the HPA axis [3]. Therefore, we prefer the term "cortisol abnormality" if there is no specific observation of HPA axis pathology. On the other hand, we use the term "HPA dysfunction" if there is specific evidence of HPA pathology.

Depression and Cortisol

Since its first definition by Hippocrates as melancholia, both physicians and patients have described stress-provoking events before the onset of clinical depression. Up to 70% of depressed patients report at least one stressful event in the previous year [4]. In a large epidemiological study, subjects carrying susceptibility

Austin Psychiatry - Volume 2 Issue 1 - 2017 **Submit your Manuscript** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Gonul et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Gonul AS, Aksoy B, Eker C and Coburn KL. What Should Psychiatrists Know About HPA Axis Dysfunction and Altered Cortisol Levels in Major Depression?. Austin Psychiatry. 2017; 2(1): 1005.





Box 1

The prolonged stress response varies according to the type of stress. For example chronic exposure to repeated stress such as restraint is associated with increased HPA activity in the first few days but cortisol and ACTH levels gradually normalize with down-regulation of limbic GC receptors. On the other hand, ongoing chronic stress induces amygdala hypertrophy, dendritic remodeling and reduction in hippocampal cell production. Another form of chronic stress such as chronic inflammation is associated with high ACTH and cortisol levels, which lasts as long as inflammation persists. The reduction of parvocellular CRF expression and release whereas the increment of AVP expression and release suggests that AVP plays the primary role for the high ACTH and cortisol during chronic stress.

Box 1:

genes had higher odds for depression if they had a high number of past stressful events compared to those who had a low number [5]. Although the commonly used Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) does not require adverse life events as a criterion preceding the onset of a depressive episode, it recognizes stressful experiences and childhood trauma as risk factors by stating that "Stressful life events are well recognized as precipitants of major depressive episodes, but the presence or absence of adverse life events near the onset of episodes does not appear to provide a useful guide to prognosis or treatment selection" [6]. We strongly suspect that the stress-depression association is an important research area for a more complete understanding of the pathophysiology of depression.

The basic function of the stress response is preserving homoeostasis. The homeostatic threat may range from small everyday annoyances to serious trauma. The brain and body have a reciprocal relationship during the stress response *via* chemical and hormonal feedback loops. The best known stress system consists of the HPA axis, which is activated by neurons located in the dorsomedial parvocellular subdivision of the hypothalamus [7] (Figure 1). These neurons synthesize Corticotrophin-Releasing Hormone (CRH) as well as Vasopressin (VP), which are released into portal circulation. CRH reaches the anterior pituitary gland where it stimulates the secretion of Adrenocorticotrophic Hormone (ACTH) into peripheral circulation. The primary target of ACTH is the zona fasciculata of the adrenal gland, where it stimulates the production and secretion of Glucocorticoids (GC) (cortisol in humans, corticosterone in rats). Glucocorticoids influence metabolic and immune systems, especially during stress. Cortisol adaptively enhances gluconeogenesis and increased vascular tone during the acute stress response, but a prolonged stress response increases the risk of disease states like diabetes or hypertension [8,9]. In the case of prolonged stress, adaptations (e.g. target organ desensitization) take place to reduce deleterious long-term cortisol effects (Box 1).

The brain's stress response is similar to that of other organs in that a temporary cortisol surge is helpful for metabolic adaptation and augments cognitive functions like memory and alertness during the acute stress response [10]. On the other hand, prolonged high cortisol levels in chronic stress apparently cause neuronal atrophy and decreased neurogenesis in the hippocampal formation and other brain regions, resulting in impaired cognitive functions. Therefore, the fine-tuning of cortisol levels is not only essential for optimum functioning under stress but also for prevention of neuronal damage in the long-term. The fine-tuning of cortisol secretion depends on free (unbound) cortisol, which has a regulatory effect on HPA axis, as well as the axis itself. Stimulation of cortisol receptors in the pituitary, hypothalamus and many areas of the limbic system (i.e. hippocampal

Box 2

There are two kinds of GC receptors. c have a high affinity for endogenous corticosteroids compared to Glucocorticoid (Type II) Receptors (GR). The high-affinity MR are typically saturated under resting conditions, and the pulsatile cortisol secretions mainly stimulate GR. Therefore, it is believed that GR receptors are more important for regulation of HPA axis. GR receptors also show high affinity for dexamethasone whereas MR receptors do not.

Box 2:



formation, amygdala) can reduce cortisol levels following the reduction of ACTH levels (Box 2).

Although cortisol and its secretion are generally studied under challenging conditions, cortisol is an essential hormone that is needed to meet everyday life challenges. Cortisol follows a circadian rhythm reaching its peak around sunrise and its lowest value around midnight [7] under the control of pulsatile secretion of CRH.

Based on the idea that depression is associated with stress, pioneer researchers measured the cortisol levels of depressed patients. Initial studies found increased cortisol secretion or loss of daily rhythm (i.e. normal cortisol levels in the morning with higher cortisol values in the evening) [11-13]. However, those studies most commonly recruited small samples of patients with severe depression such as hospitalized melancholic patients and patients with psychotic features. Subsequent studies with larger but heterogeneous sample groups confirmed the higher cortisol values in depressed patients, with a medium effect size (Cohen's d=0.6) [14]. It is important to consider this number carefully because it implies that up to 76% of the cortisol values of depressed patients and healthy subjects overlap but 73% of depressed subjects' values are above the mean of the healthy subjects (Figure 2). There is a 66% chance that a person picked at random from the depressed group will have higher cortisol levels than a person picked at random from the healthy group (probability of superiority).

Long-term high cortisol secretion in depressed patients suggests that the regulatory HPA feedback mechanism involving cortisol is not functioning, as it should. One way to test the cortisol feedback system is to stimulate GC receptors at different levels of the HPA axis. Under normal conditions, stimulation of cortisol receptors would

reduce HPA axis activity. Dexamethasone is a synthetic cortisol, which has a capability of inhibiting the pituitary-adrenal segment of the HPA axis but cannot reach the hypothalamus or other brain areas because of the presence of the P-glycoprotein blood brain barrier. During a Dexamethasone Suppression Test (DST) [15], low dose dexamethasone (i.e. 1 mg) administration in the evening stimulates only the GC receptors at the pituitary and decreases cortisol secretion via reducing ACTH levels. It is generally assumed that nonsuppression of cortisol represents GC receptor resistance [16]. Up to 45% of depressed patients have higher post-dexamethasone cortisol levels than healthy controls [14]. The reduced sensitivity of GC receptors to dexamethasone is generally accepted as evidence for GC resistance to cortisol during depression, and depressed patients with high daily cortisol level also show DST non-suppression [17]. Further support for the idea of reduced GC receptor sensitivity in depression comes from treatment studies finding that Tricyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) increase the sensitivity of GC receptors leading to cortisol reduction [18]. (There are mixed results for Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs)). Reduced cortisol sensitivity of GC receptors leads to reduced physiological activity of cortisol in the body generally. Thus, high plasma cortisol levels might not produce the expected effects in specific target organs [19]. This might be a reason why we do not observe Cushing-like symptoms (increasing abdominal fat, round face, dermal stretch marks) among the primary depressive symptoms.

One problem with hyperactive HPA axis theory is that ACTH levels, which regulate cortisol levels at the adrenal cortex, do not show a parallel increase in depression: only 20% (Cohen's d=0.28) of depressed patients have increased ACTH levels compared to controls

[14]. This finding suggests that other factors affecting the adrenal gland might influence cortisol secretion. The sensitivity of ACTH receptors, sex hormones, immune system activation, and direct stimulation of the sympathetic system *via* the splenic nerve (sympathetic-adrenal-medullary axis) are examples of factors that modulate cortisol levels [20]. Altered functioning of the immune system (increase in TNF- α and IL-6) and the Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) are well-known findings in depression [21,22]. Removal of splenic nerve input to the adrenal glands increases cortisol secretion by augmenting adrenal responsiveness to ACTH [23]. During metabolic challenges, cortisol hyper secretion is dependent on ACTH sensitization [24]. Thus, cortisol levels of depressed patients do not depend solely on pituitary-adrenal axis function, and other factors that have a direct effect on cortisol secretion should be considered.

Depression subgroups

Although it is widely accepted that cortisol levels are high in depression, the literature suggests that only about 2/3 of depressed patients have higher cortisol levels than the average healthy subject. Why do some patients have abnormally high cortisol levels while the others do not? Can symptom heterogeneity and diagnostic problems underlie the low validity of cortisol findings?

For the last century clinicians have tried to define subgroups of depression with better symptom clustering. Based on those efforts, American Psychiatric Association (APA) recognized several subtypes and included them in the DSM system. Different subtypes of depression might be associated with different cortisol levels. Depressive patients with melancholic or psychotic features have higher cortisol levels than other depressive patients [14,25]. Although melancholic or psychotic features are generally associated with the severity of depression, the severity and the features of a depressive episode have independent associations with cortisol levels [14]. Furthermore dexamethasone non-suppression rates are higher in patients manifesting psychotic depression (64%) compared to those of non-psychotic patients (45%) [26]. In contrast, depressed patients with atypical features have normal or even low cortisol levels [27]. However, sub typing only partially explains the large variation in cortisol levels of depressed patients because more than 50% of depressed patients cannot be classified as one of those subtypes. Furthermore, in the long-term, patients often show differing symptom profiles in successive depressive episodes. Almost half of depressed patients have varying degrees of melancholia with atypical symptoms [28] and young patients with psychotic features have a higher incidence of mania in the forthcoming years [29]. Bipolar patients also show HPA dysfunction and recent metaanalyses suggested that HPA dysfunction rates are higher than those of unipolar depressed patients [30,31].

In recent years the generality of high cortisol levels in depression has been challenged further by findings of reduced cortisol levels in outpatient populations, not necessarily associated with atypical features [32]. These unexpected low cortisol findings were initially linked to comorbidity [33] because patients with comorbid anxiety disorders such as PTSD were reported to have lower cortisol levels than those suffering from depression alone [34]. However, more recent studies have found anxiety levels in depression to be associated with higher rather than lower cortisol levels [35]. But the finding of low rather than high cortisol levels in depressed patients without significant comorbidity has persisted [32,36].

Is HPA Dysfunction a State or Trait Factor?

It has long been questioned whether cortisol alteration is a state or a trait factor for depression. Trait factors should be present before the onset of the disease and also should be present between episodes. Moreover, trait factors may be present in the relatives of patients as endophenotypes. Endophenotypes are genetically transmitted and may be closely related to the etiopathogeneis of the disease. On the other hand, state factors are present only during a specific time window when the disease symptoms are present. The answer to the question of whether cortisol alterations in depressed patients are a trait or state factor is crucial for understanding the role of the HPA axis in the etiopathogeneis of the disease. So far there is no clear answer. Depression patients in remission show large variations in cortisol values. With some studies reporting state-like normalization of cortisol values while others show continued trait-like altered values. Recent studies further suggest that a hypoactive HPA axis is not an exceptional finding among remitted patients [32,36]. It is interesting that cortisol levels during the disease state also predict the cortisol levels in after treatment and more than half of the patients (56%) have similar cortisol levels before and after treatment regardless of symptomatic improvement [37].

Some confounding factor such as comorbidity discussed above might be responsible for the inconsistencies [38-40]. Another important confounding factor may be the proximity of future relapse. Both high [41,42] and low cortisol levels have been found to predict relapses [43-46]. Yet another confounding factor is being on antidepressant treatment. Antidepressants may have direct and indirect effects on the HPA axis *via* cortisol receptors or other mechanisms (e.g. antidepressants may decrease the amygdala's response to negative stimuli, reducing HPA activity). Antidepressants may exert a bidirectional normalizing effect on HPA axis activity and cortisol secretion in depressed patients [47]. Perhaps all one can say at this point is that HPA axis dysfunction and cortisol level alteration are present during remission in a majority of patients, though the details are unclear.

Supporting the view of altered cortisol levels or HPA axis dysfunction as a trait factor, investigators have reported abnormal (increased or decreased) morning cortisol levels in the healthy highrisk children of depressed patients [48,49]. Cortisol patterns during the day or after a Dex/CRH test are highly similar between such highrisk children and their depressive parents [36,50]. Cortisol values are similar and stable among discordant monozygotic twins, although the individuals with a history of MDD showed slightly higher cortisol than their discordant siblings [51]. These findings are important evidence that cortisol alterations in depression might be traits related to genetic mechanisms and present before the symptom onset.

Because cortisol secretion is a dynamic process and levels change in response to everyday stressors, researchers investigated the interaction between multiple cortisol measurement and daily events (Box 3). Depressed patients show blunted HPA axis responses to negative daily events and mood changes [52], and LeMoult et al. found further evidence for cortisol alterations in subjects before the disease onset [50]. Traumatic life events predicted depression in girls

Box 3

One of the important developments in the methodology is salivary cortisol measurement. Itenables the sampling of large numbers of subjects in ecologically valid conditions. It appears that salivary cortisol has time sensitivity and the gap between patients and controls is more prominent after 30 minutes of awakening whereas at 60 minutes the gap diminishes. Despite the fact that salivary measurement represents free (unbound) cortisol levels, while plasma measurements represent total cortisol levels (both bound and unbound); there is a strong correlation between salivary cortisol and post-dexamethasone cortisol [15]. One meta-analysis showed that morning salivary cortisol levels are higher in depressed patients, but there are high intra-assay coefficients of variations in cortisol kits [88]. Therefore, we need standardization of kits for measuring salivary cortisol.

Box 3:

with high cumulative cortisol secretion through the day but not in girls with low cortisol secretion.

Beyond the genes, epigenetic mechanisms might also influence the HPA axis and cortisol secretions. The childhood trauma is one of the best-known environmental factor affecting epigenetic mechanisms. Animal and human data suggest that early adversities increase HPA axis sensitivity to stress, producing abnormal cortisol levels during the stress response [53]. It seems that this effect is independent of having depressed parents.

One other well-known pre-disease vulnerability factor for depression is neuroticism. This trait-like vulnerability factor increases the odds of depression when it is associated with high morning cortisol levels in youths [54].

In conclusion, the present data suggest that trait-like cortisol level abnormalities (high or low) are present in high-risk subjects, both those with depressed parents and those with traumatic childhoods. During inter-episode periods, abnormal cortisol levels also constitute a risk factor for relapse. The presence of altered cortisol levels before the disease onset in high-risk groups and during the inter-episode periods of patients suggest that altered cortisol levels should be accepted as trait factor for depression for some groups of patients. However, we are still well short of defining the precise characteristics of such patient groups.

Does Cortisol Alter Brain Structures in the Depressed Patients?

A substantial literature exists examining the effects of cortisol on neural structures and functions. Acutely increased cortisol improves attention and memory functions, but chronically high cortisol has detrimental effects on both cognitive functions and neuronal structures [55]. Cortisol changes the energy metabolism of neurons and in the long-term leads to neuronal atrophy and cell death [56]. The reduction of new cell formation from stem cells is associated with depressive symptoms [57]. The hippocampal formation, which is intimately involved in memory, spatial orientation, and HPA regulation, comprises the neurons most sensitive to hypoxia and metabolic alteration. Whether cellular changes secondary to increased cortisol result in frank hippocampal formation atrophy is unclear, but 8-12% smaller hippocampi have been reported among depressed patients relative to healthy controls [58]. Furthermore, hippocampal formation volume is negatively correlated with the

duration of depression, supporting the idea that high cortisol levels might impair new cell formation and lead to atrophy of the existing cells [59]. However, other studies did not show a clear relationship between duration of illness and hippocampal formation volume [60]. This may be because hippocampal atrophy as a function of illness duration appears to be influenced by the chromosome 11 codon 66 genotype, being present in Val66Val homozygotes but absent among Val66Met heterozygotes [61]. The val66met allele codes for brain derived neurotrophic factor which exerts a protective effect on hippocampal neurons [62]. Long-term follow-up studies (6 months to 11 years) do not show a further reduction in total hippocampal formation volume, but subjects with a smaller hippocampal formation have higher odds for relapse [63-67]. Age might have significant moderating effect. Elderly but not adolescent depressed patients had hippocampal formation volume loss in a long-term follow-up [66,67] and depression is a risk factor for dementia among the elderly [68].

In recent years, with the help of advanced imaging techniques, subfields of the hippocampal formation have been measured *in vivo*, and regional changes (rather than total volume) have been reported during long follow-up periods [65]. The hippocampal formation consists of three histologically identified subfields: the hippocampus proper or Cornu Ammonis (CA) with its areas CA1-4; the Dentate Gyrus (DG) with its superficial layer the hilus; and the subiculum. Among those regions, the DG is where stem cells replicate and differentiate into neurons. Preclinical studies have proposed that neurogenesis in this region is helpful for clinical recovery [69]. However, rather than the DG, the subiculum has been the most commonly reported region for structural alteration in depressed patients [65,70]. This is perhaps not surprising as the subiculum is the formation's major output structure projecting to frontal, parieto-occipital, and temporal cortex.

A few studies have shown a relationship between cortisol levels and hippocampal formation volume [71-73], and the correlations have been mostly negative, but concern remains that single cortisol levels might not be sufficient to assess HPA axis dysfunction. With new brain imaging and cortisol rhythm measurement techniques, future studies are awaited to show the relationship between cortisol levels and hippocampal formation structure, especially in specific regions.

Beyond the hippocampal formation, meta-analyses have suggested that other limbic regions (cingulate cortex, insula) and frontal cortex

are smaller in depressed patients [74]. Post-mortem investigations indicate that a loss of glial cells and neuronal atrophy might underlie the smaller brain regions [75]. The effects of cortisol on those regions are speculative and still under investigation [Arnsten, 2009].

Antiglucocorticoid Drugs for Treating Depression

Currently available antidepressants produce their efficacy via monoamine transmitters. Most of them inhibit serotonin and/or noradrenaline reuptake and increase monoamine concentration in the synaptic cleft. Those drugs, particularly the tricyclics, also increase the sensitivity of cortisol receptors and decrease HPA activity. On the other hand, there are only a few available drugs that inhibit cortisol synthesis and none has been approved for depression treatment alone or as an adjunct to ongoing antidepressant treatment. Among those drugs, metyrapone, which decreases cortisol synthesis by inhibiting the final step enzyme (11 beta-hydroxylase), was tested as an adjunct or augmentation treatment in depressed patients. In the first placebo-controlled study with 63 patients, metyrapone was superior to placebo as an adjunct therapy to SSRIs, accelerating the onset of antidepressant action and producing a better treatment outcome (more patients responded to treatment) [76]. But a second placebocontrolled study which enrolled 165 treatment resistant patients, fond no difference [77]. In both studies measured cortisol levels were unchanged with metyrapone treatment, perhaps reflecting HPA axis dysfunction in depression.

Ketoconazole, which similarly decreases cortisol synthesis by inhibiting a number of enzymes in the production chain, also acts as a GC receptor blocker. It is used primarily as a fungicidal drug at lower doses and may cause significant side effects at the higher doses required for antiglucocorticoid activity. Initial case reports suggested that ketoconazole might be a promising drug. However, two blind studies did not yield the expected results. In one, improvements of depressive symptoms were observed only in hypercortisolemic patients [78], and in the other no improvement was seen [79].

Although *in vivo* human studies have yielded conflicting results on Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) or blood CRH levels in depressed patients, animal studies have shown that high CRH secretion or exogenous CRH injection causes depressive and anxiety symptoms [7]. Furthermore, antidepressant or anxiolytic effects of CRH antagonists were found in animal models. However, clinical trials have not been promising [80,81].

Vasopressin is a peptide, which is released with CRH from the hypothalamus. It potentiates the action of CRH on ACTH. Vasopressin levels are increased in depressed patients and decreased with antidepressant treatment [82]. Vasopressin alone has a capacity for maintaining the basal ACTH secretion and HPA system activity in mice deficient for the CRH receptor. However, clinical trials with the vasopressin receptor antagonist SS149415 showed no superiority over placebo in depressed patients.

As a high cortisol level is accepted by many investigators as evidence of HPA dysfunction in depression, administrating glucocorticoid receptor blockers might directly reduce the detrimental effects of high cortisol and indirectly lead to upregulation of the glucocorticoid receptor numbers, further enhancing cortisol's negative feedback on the HPA axis after removal of the blockers. Mifepristone is an antagonist with a high affinity for both progesterone and glucocorticoid receptors, increasing ACTH and cortisol in both patients and healthy subjects. Two controlled studies suggest that mifepristone is effective in decreasing psychotic symptoms in depression but not core depressive symptoms [83,84].

Among the antiglucocorticoid treatments, the most promising results have come from Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) trials. Like cortisol, DHEA is secreted by the adrenal cortex and may interfere with cortisol *via* multiple mechanisms including decreasing cortisol activity at the receptor level. In open-label and double-blind studies, an antidepressant effect of DHEA has been reported [85-87].

Conclusions

After 50 years of investigating the HPA axis and cortisol in depressed patients it is hard to say we have reached strong conclusions. On the other hand, with each study, we increase our knowledge about the stress response, factors affecting the HPA axis and the neurobiology of depression.

1. During the depressed state abnormal cortisol levels are seen in nearly 50% of patients, and this proportion is higher in specific subgroups such as those with psychotic and melancholic features. With recent developments in cortisol measurements (e.g. repeated salivary cortisol measurement during the day in real life situations) [88], it will be possible to study acute cortisol abnormalities that we cannot presently detect, and the percentage of depressed patients showing cortisol abnormalities is likely to increase.

2. Most of the patients who manifest cortisol abnormalities during depression show similar abnormalities between the episodes. Furthermore, high-risk groups such as the patients' children and other relatives also show evidence of cortisol abnormality and/or HPA dysfunction. Thus, cortisol alteration and/or HPA dysfunction are strong candidates for trait-like endophenotypic features of depression susceptibility.

3. During remission the low cortisol levels found in some highrisk patient groups may be related to a pituitary CRH receptor downregulation following a long period of stress-induced hypothalamic CRH secretion during depressive episodes [89]. This also may be a trait marker for a specific group of patients.

4. Cortisol is an end product of HPA axis activity, but the HPA axis is not the only system that controls cortisol secretion. Other factors (immune system, sex hormones, ANS) that are also involved in the pathophysiology depression might influence cortisol levels and have not been well studied in that context.

5. There is no currently available antiglucocorticoid treatment for depression. However, there is some evidence that antiglucocorticoids might be effective in ameliorating psychotic symptoms in psychotic depression.

6. It is well known that chronically high cortisol has deleterious effects on neurons and glial cells. However, it is unclear whether observable structural changes in the hippocampal formation and other brain regions in depression are caused by high cortisol levels. Advanced neuroimaging studies are needed to show the details of cortisol's effect on the brain.

References

- Blazer DG. Controversies in community-based psychiatric epidemiology: let the data speak for themselves. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000; 57: 227-228.
- Herbert J. Cortisol and depression: three questions for psychiatry. Psychol Med. 2013; 43: 449-469.
- Quax RA, Manenschijn L, Koper JW, Hazes JM, Lamberts SWJ, Rossum EFC Van, et al. Glucocorticoid sensitivity in health and disease. Nature Publishing Group. 2013; 9: 670-686.
- Kendler KS, Kuhn JW, Prescott CA. Childhood sexual abuse, stressful life events and risk for major depression in women. Psychol Med. 2004; 34: 1475-1482.
- Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, et al. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science. 2003; 301: 386-389.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5. Washington, D.C. 2013; 166.
- Joëls M, Baram TZ. The neuro-symphony of stress. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009; 10: 459-466.
- Hamer M, Steptoe A. Cortisol Responses to Mental Stress and Incident Hypertension in Healthy Men and Women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012; 97: 29-34.
- Joseph JJ, Golden SH. Cortisol dysregulation : the bidirectional link between stress, depression, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2017; 1391: 20-34.
- Malykhin NV, Coupland NJ. Hippocampal neuroplasticity in major depressive disorder. Neuroscience. 2015; 309: 200-213.
- Board F, Persky H, Hamburg DA. Psychological Stress and Endocrine Functions. Psychosom Med. 1956; 18: 324-333.
- Coppen AJ. The chemical pathology of the affective disorders. Sci Basis Med Annu Rev. 1970; 179-210.
- Sachar EJ, Hellman L, Fukushima DK, Gallagher TF. Cortisol Production in Depressive Illness A Clinical and Biochemical Clarification. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1970; 23: 289-298.
- Stetler C, Miller GE. Depression and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation: a quantitative summary of four decades of research. Psychosom Med. 2011; 73: 114-126.
- Harris B, Watkins S, Cook N, Walker RF, Read GF, Riad-Fahmy D. Comparisons of plasma and salivary cortisol determinations for the diagnostic efficacy of the dexamethasone supression test. Biol Psychiatry. 1990; 27: 897-904.
- Modell S, Yassouridis A, Huber J, Holsboer F. Corticosteroid receptor function is decreased in depressed patients. Neuroendocrinology. 1997; 65: 216-222.
- Maes M, Jacobs M, Suy E, Minner B, Raus J. Prediction of the DST Results in Depressives by Means of Urinary-Free Cortisol Excretion, Dexamethasone Levels, and Age. Biol Psychiatry. 1990; 28: 349-357.
- Holsboer F. Stress, hypercortisolism and corticosteroid receptors in depression: Implicatons for therapy. J Affect Disord. 2001; 62: 77-91.
- Pariante CM. Risk Factors for Development of Depression and Psychosis: Glucocorticoid receptors and pituitary implications for treatment with antidepressant and glucocorticoids. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2009; 1779: 144-152.
- Bornstein SR, Engeland WC, Ehrhart-Bornstein M, Herman JP. Dissociation of ACTH and glucocorticoids. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2008; 19: 175-180.
- Gold PW, Chrousos GP. Organization of the stress system and its dysregulation in melancholic and atypical depression: high vs low CRH / NE states. Mol Psychiatry. 2002; 7: 254-275.
- Hayley S, Poulter MO, Merali Z, Anisman H. The Pathogenesis of Clinical Depression: Stressor- and Cytokine-Induced Alterations of Neuroplasticity. Neuroscience. 2005; 135: 659-678.

- Jasper MS, Engeland WC. Splanchnicotomy increases adrenal sensitivity to ACTH in nonstressed rats. Am J Physiol Endocr Metab. 1997; 273: 363-368.
- Revsin Y, Wijk D Van, Saravia FE, Oitzl MS, Nicola AF De, Kloet ER De. Adrenal Hypersensitivity Precedes Chronic Hypercorticism in Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetes Mice. Endocrinology. 2008; 149: 3531-3539.
- Schatzberg AF. Anna-Monika Award Lecture, DGPPN Kongress, 2013: The role of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis in the pathogenesis of psychotic major depression. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2015; 16: 2-11.
- Nelson JC, Davis JM. DST Studies in Psychotic Depression : A Meta-Analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 1997; 154: 1497-1503.
- Lamers F, Vogelzangs N, Merikangas KR, de Jonge P, Beekman AT, Penninx BW. Evidence for a differential role of HPA-axis function, inflammation and metabolic syndrome in melancholic versus atypical depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2013; 18: 692-699.
- Angst J, Gamma A, Benazzi F, Ajdacic V, Rössler W. Melancholia and atypical depression in the Zurich study: epidemiology, clinical characteristics, course, comorbidity and personality. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 2007; 433: 72-84.
- James A, Wotton CJ, Duffy A, Hoang U, Goldacre M. Conversion from depression to bipolar disorder in a cohort of young people in England, 1999-2011: A national record linkage study. J Affect Disord. 2015; 185: 123-128.
- Belvederi Murri M, Prestia D, Mondelli V, Pariante C, Patti S, Olivieri B, et al. The HPA axis in bipolar disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016; 63: 327-342.
- Daban C, Vieta E, Mackin P, Young AH. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and bipolar disorder. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2005; 28: 469-480.
- Ahrens T, Deuschle M, Krumm B, van der Pompe G, den Boer JA, Lederbogen F. Pituitary-adrenal and sympathetic nervous system responses to stress in women remitted from recurrent major depression. Psychosom Med. 2008; 70: 461-467.
- Veen G, Derijk RH, Giltay EJ, van Vliet IM, van Pelt J, Zitman FG. The influence of psychiatric comorbidity on the dexamethasone/CRH test in major depression. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009; 19: 409-415.
- Oquendo MA, Echavarria G, Galfalvy HC, Grunebaum MF, Burke A, Barrera A, et al. Lower cortisol levels in depressed patients with comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2003; 28: 591-598.
- 35. Vreeburg SA, Hoogendijk WJG, van Pelt J, Derijk RH, Verhagen JCM, van Dyck R, et al. Major depressive disorder and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity: results from a large cohort study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009; 66: 617-626.
- 36. Gonul AS, Cetinkalp S, Sebnem T, Nazlı Polat I, Simsek F, Aksoy B, et al. Cortisol Response Patterns in Depressed Women and Their Healthy Daughters at Risk: Comparison with Healthy Women and Their Daughters. J Psychiatr Res. 2017; 85: 66-74.
- Mckay MS, Zakzanis KK. The impact of treatment on HPA axis activity in unipolar major depression. J Psychiatr Res. 2010; 44: 183-192.
- Aubry J, Jermann F, Gex-fabry M, Bockhorn L, Linden M Van Der, Gervasoni N, et al. The cortisol awakening response in patients remitted from depression. J Psychiatr Res. 2010; 44: 1199-1204.
- Gex-Fabry M, Jermann F, Kosel M, Rossier MF, Van der Linden M, Bertschy G, et al. Salivary cortisol profiles in patients remitted from recurrent depression: one-year follow-up of a mindfulness-based cognitive therapy trial. J Psychiatr Res. 2012; 46: 80-86.
- Lok A, Mocking RJT, Ruhé HG, Visser I, Koeter MWJ, Assies J, et al. Longitudinal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis trait and state effects in recurrent depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012; 37: 892-902.
- 41. Aubry J-M, Gervasoni N, Osiek C, Perret G, Rossier MF, Bertschy G, et al. The DEX/CRH neuroendocrine test and the prediction of depressive relapse in remitted depressed outpatients. J Psychiatr Res. 2007; 41: 290-294.
- Pintor L, Torres X, Bailles E, Navarro V, de Osaba Martínez MJ, Belmonte A, et al. CRF test in melancholic depressive patients with partial versus complete relapses : A 2-year follow-up study. Nord J Psychiatry. 2013; 67: 177-184.

- Bockting CLH, Lok A, Visser I, Assies J, Koeter MW, Schene AH. Lower cortisol levels predict recurrence in remitted patients with recurrent depression: A 5.5 year prospective study. Psychiatry Res. 2012; 200: 281-287.
- O'Toole SM, Sekula LK, Rubin RT. Pituitary-Adrenal Cortical Axis Measures as Predictors of Sustained Remission in Major Depression. Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 42: 85-89.
- Vreeburg SA, Hoogendijk WJG, Derijk RH, Dyck R Van, Smit JH, Zitman FG, et al. Salivary cortisol levels and the 2-year course of depressive and anxiety disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013; 38: 1494-1502.
- Zobel AW, Yassouridis A, Frieboes RM, Holsboer F. Prediction of mediumterm outcome by cortisol response to the combined dexamethasone-CRH test in patients with remitted depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1999; 156: 949-951.
- Ruhé HG, Khoenkhoen SJ, Ottenhof KW, Koeter MW, Mocking RJT, Schene AH. Longitudinal effects of the SSRI paroxetine on salivary cortisol in Major Depressive Disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 52: 261-271.
- Dedovic K, Engert V, Duchesne A, Lue SD, Andrews J, Efanov SI, et al. Cortisol awakening response and hippocampal volume: vulnerability for major depressive disorder? Biol Psychiatry. 2010; 68: 847-853.
- Mannie ZN, Harmer CJ, Cowen PJ. Increased waking salivary cortisol levels in young people at familial risk of depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2007; 164: 617-621.
- LeMoult J, Chen MC, Foland-Ross LC, Burley HW, Gotlib IH. Concordance of Mother- Daughter Diurnal Cortisol Production: Understanding the Intergenerational Transmission of Risk for Depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2015; 108: 98-104.
- Young EA, Aggen SH, Prescott CA, Kendler KS. Similarity in Saliva Cortisol Measures in Monozygotic Twins and the Influence of Past Major Depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2000; 48: 70-74.
- Peeters F, Nicolson NA, Berkhof J. Levels and variability of daily life cortisol secretion in major depression. Psychiatry Res. 2004; 126: 1-13.
- Suzuki A, Poon L, Papadopoulos AS, Kumari V, Cleare AJ. Long term effects of childhood trauma on cortisol stress reactivity in adulthood and relationship to the occurrence of depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2014; 50: 289-299.
- Adam EK, Doane LD, Zinbarg RE, Mineka S, Craske MG, Griffith JW. Prospective prediction of major depressive disorder from cortisol awakening responses in adolescence. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2010; 35: 921-931.
- Wingenfeld K, Wolf OT. Effects of cortisol on cognition in major depressive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder and borderline personality disorder - 2014 Curt Richter Award Winner. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 51: 282-295.
- McEwen BS. Sex, stress and the hippocampus: allostasis, allostatic load and the aging process. Neurobiol Aging. 2002; 23: 921-939.
- Banasr M, Dwyer JM, Duman RS. Cell atrophy and loss in depression: reversal by antidepressant treatment. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2011; 23: 730-737.
- Eker C, Gonul AS. Volumetric MRI studies of the hippocampus in major depressive disorder: Meanings of inconsistency and directions for future research. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2010; 11: 19-35.
- Sheline YI, Sanghavi M, Mintun MA, Gado MH. Depression Duration But Not Age Predicts Hippocampal Volume Loss in Medically Healthy Women with Recurrent Major Depression. J Neurosci. 1999; 19: 5034-5043.
- McKinnon MC, Yucel K, Nazarov A, MacQueen GM. A meta-analysis examining clinical predictors of hippocampal volume in patients with major depressive disorder. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2009; 34: 41-54.
- Gonul AS, Kitis O, Eker MC, Eker OD, Ozan E, Coburn K. Association of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor Val66Met polymorphism with hippocampus volumes in drug-free depressed patients. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2011; 12: 110-118.
- 62. Eker C, Kitis O, Taneli F, Eker OD, Ozan E, Yucel K, et al. Correlation of serum BDNF levels with hippocampal volumes in first episode, medication-

free depressed patients. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2010; 260: 527-533.

- Ahdidan J, Hviid LB, Chakravarty MM, Ravnkilde B, Rosenberg R, Rodell A, et al. Longitudinal MR study of brain structure and hippocampus volume in major depressive disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2011; 123: 211-219.
- 64. Frodl T, Jäger M, Smajstrlova I, Born C, Bottlender R, Palladino T, et al. Effect of hippocampal and amygdala volumes on clinical outcomes in major depression: a 3-year prospective magnetic resonance imaging study. J psychiatry Neurosci. 2008; 33: 423-430.
- Isıklı S, Ugurlu O, Durmusoglu E, Kizilates G, Kitis O, Ozan E, et al. Altered hippocampal formation shape in first-episode depressed patients at 5-year follow-up. J Psychiatr Res. 2013; 47: 50-55.
- 66. Elbejjani M, Fuhrer R, Abrahamowicz M, Mazoyer B, Crivello F, Tzourio C, et al. Hippocampal atrophy and subsequent depressive symptoms in older men and women: results from a 10-year prospective cohort. Am J Epidemiol. 2014; 180: 385-393.
- 67. Steffens DC, McQuoid DR, Payne ME, Potter GG. Change in hippocampal volume on magnetic resonance imaging and cognitive decline among older depressed and nondepressed subjects in the neurocognitive outcomes of depression in the elderly study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2011; 19: 4-12.
- Chung JK, Plitman E, Nakajima S, Chakravarty MM, Caravaggio F, Takeuchi H, et al. Depressive Symptoms and Small Hippocampal Volume Accelerate the Progression to Dementia from Mild Cognitive Impairment. J Alzheimers Dis. 2015; 49: 743-754.
- 69. Racagni G, Popoli M. Cellular and molecular mechanisms in the long-term action of antidepressants. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2008; 10: 385-400.
- Wisse LEM, Biessels GJ, Stegenga BT, Kooistra M, van der Veen PH, Zwanenburg JJM, et al. Major depressive episodes over the course of 7 years and hippocampal subfield volumes at 7 tesla MRI: the PREDICT-MR study. J Affect Disord. 2015; 175: 1-7.
- 71. Jin RO, Mason S, Mellon SH, Epel ES, Reus VI, Mahan L, et al. Cortisol/ DHEA ratio and hippocampal volume: A pilot study in major depression and healthy controls. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016; 72: 139-146.
- O'Brien JT, Lloyd A, McKeith I, Gholkar A, Ferrier N. A longitudinal study of hippocampal volume, cortisol levels, and cognition in older depressed subjects. Am J Psychiatry. 2004; 161: 2081-2090.
- Travis SG, Coupland NJ, Hegadoren K, Silverstone PH, Huang Y, Carter R, et al. Effects of cortisol on hippocampal subfields volumes and memory performance in healthy control subjects and patients with major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord. 2016; 201: 34-41.
- Bora E, Harrison BJ, Davey CG, Yücel M, Pantelis C. Meta-analysis of volumetric abnormalities in cortico-striatal-pallidal-thalamic circuits in major depressive disorder. Psychol Med. 2012; 42: 671-681.
- Miguel- Hidalgo JJ, Rajkowska G. Comparison of prefrontal cell pathology between depression and alcohol dependence. J Psychiatr Res. 2003; 37:411-420.
- Jahn H, Schick M, Kiefer F, Kellner M, Yassouridis A, Wiedemann K. Metyrapone as additive treatment in major depression: a double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2004; 61: 1235-1244.
- 77. McAllister-Williams RH, Anderson IM, Finkelmeyer A, Gallagher P, Grunze HCR, Haddad PM, et al. Antidepressant augmentation with metyrapone for treatment-resistant depression (the ADD study): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2016; 3: 117-127.
- Wolkowitz OM, Reus VI, Chan T, Manfredi F, Raum W, Johnson R, et al. Antiglucocorticoid treatment of depression: Double blind ketoconazole. Biol Psychiatry. 1999; 45: 1070-1074.
- Malison RT, Anand A, Pelton GH, Kirwin P, Carpenter L, McDougle CJ, et al. Limited efficacy of ketoconazole in treatment-refractory major depression. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 1999; 19: 466-470.
- Schüle C, Baghai TC, Eser D, Rupprecht R. Hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenocortical system dysregulation and new treatment strategies in depression. Expert Rev Neurother. 2009; 9: 1005-1019.

- Binneman B, Feltner D, Kolluri S, Shi Y, Qiu R, Stiger T. A 6-week randomized, placebo-controlled trial of CP-316,311 (a selective CRH1 antagonist) in the treatment of major depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2008; 165: 617-620.
- Poretti MB, Sawant RS, Rask-Andersen M, de Cuneo MF, Schiöth HB, Perez MF, et al. Reduced vasopressin receptors activation mediates the anti-depressant effects of fluoxetine and venlafaxine in bulbectomy model of depression. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2016; 233: 1077-1086.
- DeBattista C, Belanoff J, Glass S, Khan A, Horne RL, Blasey C, et al. Mifepristone versus Placebo in the Treatment of Psychosis in Patients with Psychotic Major Depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2006; 60: 1343-1349.
- Flores BH, Kenna H, Keller J, Solvason HB, Schatzberg AF. Clinical and biological effects of mifepristone treatment for psychotic depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31: 628-636.
- 85. Bloch M, Schmidt PJ, Danaceau MA, Adams LF, Rubinow DR.

Dehydroepiandrosterone treatment of midlife dysthymia. Biol Psychiatry. 1999; 45: 1533-1541.

- Wolkowitz OM, Reus VI, Keebler A, Nelson N, Friedland M, Brizendine L, et al. Double-Blind treatment of major depression with DHEA. Am J Psychiatry. 1999; 156: 646-649.
- Schmidt PJ, Daly RC, Bloch M, Smith MJ, Danaceau MA, St Clair LS, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone monotherapy in midlife-onset major and minor depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005; 62: 154-162.
- Knorr U, Vinberg M, Kessing LV, Wetterslev J. Salivary cortisol in depressed patients versus control persons: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2010; 35: 1275-1286.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Heit S, Graham YP, Wilcox M, Bonsall R, et al. Pituitary-Adrenal and Autonomic Responses to Stress in Women After Sexual. JAMA. 2000; 284: 592-597.

Austin Psychiatry - Volume 2 Issue 1 - 2017 **Submit your Manuscript** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Gonul et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Gonul AS, Aksoy B, Eker C and Coburn KL. What Should Psychiatrists Know About HPA Axis Dysfunction and Altered Cortisol Levels in Major Depression?. Austin Psychiatry. 2017; 2(1): 1005.