**Austin Publishing Group** 

### **Review Article**

# **Review on the Role of Gene Editing Technology for the Targeted Animal Diseases**

Ermias Menbere Workeneh<sup>1\*</sup>; Abdi Ahmed Umer<sup>2</sup>; **Wubishet Zewdie Wakene3 ; Sisay Getachew Deressa<sup>3</sup>** 1 Department of Applied Biology, School of Applied Natural Science, Adama Science and Technology University, Ethiopia 2 Animal Health Institute, Microbiology Research Laboratory, Ethiopia 3 Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Health and Veterinary

Public Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

#### **\*Corresponding author: Ermias Menbere Workeneh**

Department of Applied Biology, School of Applied Natural Science, Adama Science and Technology University, Ethiopia. Email: bulehorabiotech@gmail.com

**Received:** September 23, 2024 **Accepted:** October 11, 2024 **Published:** October 18, 2024

#### **Abstract**

Gene editing is a technique of improving the genetic make of organisms by deletion of infected alleles, the wild type of sequence modified or integration of exogenous DNA to obtain new gene function. Gene editing is achieved by zinc finger nucleases, transcriptional activating like effector nucleases and recently with newly modified genetic tools known as CRISPR/Cas9 is used to edit the nonfunctional genes into functional genes. The natural defence mechanisms of prokaryotes against phage invasion coordinated in three stages, adaptation, crRNA synthesis and targeted interference. The mechanisms of CRISPR/Cas9 actions completed three parts that are recognition, cleavage and repairing process. The engineered gRNA components derived from the natural CRISPR RNA, the guiding part and loop forming tracrRNA (scaffold) artificially combined to engineered sgRNA. The nuclease and recognition sites make Cas9 protein. Currently scientific communities focused on CRISPR/Cas9 based gene editing due to its high effectiveness than other gene editing tools. Since recent times, gene editing tools were widely applicable in plants for yield increment, disease resistant also drought resistant and in human diseases like cancers, haemophilia, and cystic fibrosis. The gene editing technology is also becoming widely applied for the targeted treatment of animal diseases such as porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome, porcine epidemic diarrhoea (PED) and Transmissible gastroenteritis, bovine tuberculosis and mastitis. Therefore this review was aimed to understand the recent updates on genome editing technology and its' role for the targeted animal diseases.

**Keywords:** Gene editing tools; CRISPR/Cas9; Applications; Tar-**Introduction Introduction Introduction** 

using either cell systems or animal models in order to study dis-

In the  $21<sup>st</sup>$  century gene editing technology is the main concern and globally acceptable technique that highly revolutionized the production of agriculture, environment protection, control and prevention of human and livestock diseases and other type of disease aiming to improve global food security and reduce food waste throughout the production chain [1]. Gene editing is a new modern engineering applied mainly for curing health abnormalities even minimizing the expense of medication where users enables to make alterations of DNA sequence of an organism's genetic make-up either in in vivo or ex vivo [2]. Alteration of DNA or gene editing can be done by disruption, restoration, insertion or deletion systems. The development of platforms for gene editing simplifies the understanding of disease pathogenesis, autoimmune and inflammatory response

eases of monogenic disorders (disease caused by single genetic defect) like cystic fibrosis, haemophilia and sickle cell anaemia and cancer [3]. As the patient genomes become sequenced, vast number of mutations associated with various diseases becomes clearly determined and identified. Genome editing manipulates specific gene loci in order to gain genome modifications in the form of insertions, deletions or point mutations essential for identification of functional targeted genes and regulatory factors [4]. Designer nucleases like FOKI and Cas9 are used as gene editors by cleaving DNA strands of the entire nucleus cells in a specific cleavage site both in natural and engineered forms. DNA repairing considered as introducing a precise genetic change at a target locus of targeted region of gene

**Citation:** Workeneh EM, Umer AA, Wakene WZ, Deressa SG. Review on the Role of Gene Editing Technology for the Targeted Animal Diseases. Austin J Clin Med. 2024; 11(2): 1135. interest. Zinc Finger Nuclease (ZFN) and Transcription Activator Like Effector Nuclease (TALEN) are classified under first category class genome edition technology tools. Both tools were modular proteins containing an adaptable DNA binding domain fused to the nuclease domain of FokI proteins. In ZFNs each zinc finger binds to three DNA bases whereas each TALE repeat binds a single base. ZFNs and TALENs were employed as pairs which recognize opposing DNA strands and orient their fused FokI monomers to bring together on the intervening sequence to form active enzyme dimer that cleaves both strands [5].

The second category class gene editing tool is the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeat (CRISPR) which is associated with Cas enzyme gene (CRISPR/ Cas), presently used as the most popular designer nucleases cleaving non-specific target sites to generate desired or error alteration sequences [6]. CRISPR was discovered from innate immune mechanisms of various prokaryotes defending the invading viruses and nucleic acids performing during their own DNA cleavage roles [7]. Gene editing treatment made for patients is effective for extended period in individual life time. Fatal diseases of the  $21<sup>st</sup>$  century like cancer, autoimmune diseases and allergies caused by individual abnormal immune responses in hosts. As advancement of human knowledge, gene editing is becoming the first options to be developed for combating global pandemic and genetic diseases such as degenerative nerve cells, weak immune status, metabolic disorders and various forms of cancers are some of considerable in treatment trials where latest successful achievements obtained by introducing the corrected gene into the cells using various techniques of gene delivery tools.

#### **Gene Editing Technology**

Gene editing technologies is the drivers of sequence specific alteration of genetic material by precisely modified insertion, deletion, repair of the defective gene or replacement of gene responsible for diseases at a specific site along with genomic sequence or in patient's cells by knocking out unnecessary traits. Repaired traits introrse into genomic sequence of a patient by molecular gene editing tools that take an advantage of site directed DNA repair after strand breakage primarily by engineered endonucleases. At the targeted sites of DNA sequence were DSB formed. The role of DSB at the desired DNA sequence or genomic loci was to activate two competing branching DNA repair systems, the Non-Homologous End-Joining (NHEJ) or Homology-Directed Repair (HDR) [8]. The oligonucleotide templates used for building single nucleotide changes in the targeted genome that allows directly transforming wild and novel DNA sequence variants into individuals and stimulating HDR to eliminate the need for transgene-dependent selection [9]. The similarities and differences of the three programmable nucleases were discussed in (Table 1).

**Tools used for gene editing:** Based on natural gene editing systems, synthetic gene editing nucleases such as homing endonuclease, ZFN, TALENs and at the latest time CRISPR techniques were developed due to single traits of diseases as well as the emerged pandemic diseases [10].

**Homing endonucleases (Meganucleases):** Meganucleases is a low frequency cutter having a recognition sequence of 20- 30 bp in yeast. Homing is the process of gene conversion presenting in the three life domains. HE is a DNA recognizing and

cleaving enzyme known with very rare recognition sites in a portions of long target DNA or homing sites encoded by Open Reading Frame (ORF) in eukaryotes and prokaryotes genome. In HE the ORF were attached into homing sites to transfer into a sequence that lacks homologous alleles of introns and interns. The mobile intervening sequences, (group I intron and inteins) together by ORF were transferred into the spliced target allele then repaired with DSB [11]. Introns are a segment of DNA sequences with variable length within a gene but not part of gene expression, spliced during RNA transcript. There are two groups of self-splicing introns. In group I introns self-splicing occurred due to the presence of guanosine acid as a cofactor existed as essential genes. Group II introns self-splicing reaction was initiated by adenine forming a lasso assembly in rRNA, tRNA and protein coding genes [12]. Four conserved protein motifs presented in meganuclease.

#### **a) LAGLIDADG Family**

A populous protein family, existed in all biological life domain encoded by ORF of mobile self-splicing introns [13]. LAGLIDADG recognizes at 13-40 bp intervals for cleavage. The homing sites of the DNA produce 3' cohesive ends with 4 bp overhangs encoded in group II introns. HE serves as a dimer which contains only one catalytic domain and as a monomer consists of two catalytic domains. Recognition and excision of homing sites mediated by I-CreI contains a 22 bp length altering introns and inteins into intronless alleles. The palindromic and non-palindromic sequence reads relies on the scaffolds of homo-monomer and homo-dimer. LAGLIDADG sequence motifs used for protein folding, catalytic activity and enable to classify meganuclease into I-CreI and I-CeuI families based on the presence of motifs number. I-DmoI and PI-SceI families contain two motif sequences which act as monomers [2].

#### **b) GIY-YIG Family**

GIY and YIG are the two short motifs found at the N-terminus, in the middle next to Arginine and Glutamine residue at C-terminus. This nuclease only found in group I intron. HE can recognize and cleaves only the intact DNA target not their mobile DNA. I-TevI is involved as DNA binding domains occurs in a freely standing ORF. The GIY-YIG sequence motifs occurred in a poorly conserved form with invariant residues. I-TevI was encoded in a mobile intron and interacts with its target DNA as a monomer. Inteins necessarily encodes the ORF that was ready to insert into a protein coding sequence. The flexible linker in I-TevI joins the two catalytic domain and binding domains. Catalytic domain has cleavage site on both strands. The binding domain have groove for intron insertion site at the two strands [14].

#### **c) His-Cys box family**

In this protein family, histidine and cysteine residues found in a conserved motif at Nterminus encoded into group I intron to alter the mobile intron into intronless alleles of their host genes. I-PpoI is a small protein that binds its homing site as a homodimer to induce the DNA to have a curve shape and catalyses a DSB across the target DNA minor groove to 3′ ends.

The dimers can make a curve form in the DNA closely to cleavage site in order to opens the minor groove for DSB. Moulds, algae, fungi and amoebae can utilize this type of protein family during their life cycles. In yeast ORF confined into group I intron in a nuclear ribosomal DNA [15].

#### **d) HNH family**

This protein family have a double histidine and a single aspargine flanking the conserved motifs found as 'ββα' which consists a diverse nuclease related protein. H-N-H motif actually existed in colicins, transposases, restriction endonucleases, DNA packaging factors, group I and group II intron maturases (Stoddard, 2014). HNH subfamilies are embedded into ORF to determine the structure and function of protein domains, reverse transcriptase, DNA repair enzyme and in various DNAbinding motifs [16].

I-HmuI recognizes longer asymmetric DNA sites around 24 bp found close to the N-terminus. The HE of I-HmuI binds its target DNA as a monomer using two successive  $\alpha$  helices and helixturn-helix motif to link into a DNA. The structures of I-HmuI consists an active site of double β-strands and α-helix used to interacts with phosphate backbone at the minor groove closely to DNA cleavage site. The HNH cleavage activities depends on their catalytic diversity digested the dsDNA targets induced by two options either by DSB or single-strand nicks in the duplex DNA targets [17].

**ZFN:** Zinc is trace metal elements that have ability to appropriate regular functions in large number of proteins similarly in enzymes. In primary, secondary and tertiary structure of proteins their entire shape maintained by the presence of zinc like α and β sheet. For the first time a zinc cluster protein studied was the Gal4p contributed as a transcriptional activator of genes carried out in the catabolism of galactose [18].

ZFN was protein guided genome editing tool synthetically modified in the form of hybrid protein serially arranged a ZFN domain that originated from naturally occurring prokaryote. ZFNs existed as fusions of non-specific DNA cleavage domain from the FokI restriction endonuclease combined with ZFP which used for its ability to make precise genomic modifications by inactivating defective gene and transcription factor (Tang et al., 2015). FOKI is an endonuclease enzyme found between DNA recognition domain and a catalytic domain which is grouped under type IIs restriction enzymes [19]. The name was derived from Flavobacterium okeanokoites after FOKI genes was sequenced. It was used to recognize in irregular sequence and cleaves the double strand DNA outside of the recognition region. The two DNA binding domain recognizes a unique hexamer (6 bp) sequence of DNA. ZFP formed from two finger modules stitched together. A DNA-cleaving domain is the nuclease domain of FokI. The DNA binding and DNA-cleaving domains after fused together a highly-specific pair of genomic scissors is formed [20] as it was depicted in (Figure 1).

**ZFNs structure and mechanism of actions:** In eukaryotes zinc finger domain of Cys2-His2 (C2H2) has a hundred of ZFPs found to serve for DNA-binding motif. This domain entirely contains multiple cysteine and histidine residues which are main ligands for zinc ion in proteins in order to stabilize their own folds. DNA binding activity of ZF domains has an advantage in recognizing the desired DNA sequence while the DNA nuclease cuts the DNA of a gene to provide useful information such as gene regulation and gene editing [21]. ZFP domains are existed as conserved amino acids of C2H2, C4 and C6 [18]. Every ZFP made from 30 amino acids with a DNA interaction of single α-helix motif stabilized by zinc ligation of two β-strands. ZFNs was engineered to recognize 3-4 bp sequences and 3 to 4 ZFPs re-joined in tandem to target specific genome sequences of 9-18 bp [22]. ZFPs are most abundant DNA binding motifs in eukaryotic genome able to recognize the 64 possible nucleotide triplets [23].

ZFN editing done by fusing a transcription factor found in eukaryotic proteins responsible for alter cell types and with the cleavage domain of FokI restriction enzyme. The FokI enzyme binds to the DNA recognition site to activate its cleavage domain site and remove the part of DNA strand. The two active ZFN monomers bind to complementary adjacent regions of DNA separated by the proper spacing to enable the formation of FokI endonuclease dimer creates site specific DSB in the target DNA of a sequence. Zebra fish [24] and murine [25] animal models were generated by ZFNs directly by injecting into their zygote. Therapeutic applications of ZFNs in CCR5 gene were expressed in human CD34+ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells was disrupted using NHEJ. Similarly, genetically modified cells provide a permanent supply of HIV resistant cells by preserving the immune cells to cures HIV infection [26].

**TALENs:** TALEN is one of gene-editing strategy containing an artificial restriction enzyme in order to cleave site specific sequence of DNA. As a TALENs compared with ZFNs, TALENs more preferred because of its design and lower cost [27].

The engineered Transcription Activator-Like Effectors (TALEs) fused at the desired DNA segment having the ability to display an array of TALE subunits. The non-specific endonuclease protein, FokI used for specific sequences to recognize the target genomic sites [28].

**TALENs structure and mechanism of actions:** The genomic DNA of DSBs repaired via HDR or NHEJ attained with a necessary editing of genomic information such as gene deletion, gene insertion and gene correction [29]. TALEN DNA binding domains can have 33-35 amino acids repeats while each of them recognizes single base pairs. TALENs can have around 20 active repeating units enable to limit the vectors capacity to deliver into target cells [30].

TALENs editing can be done by fusing the proteins of FokI cleavage domain with the bacterial binding domain of a TALE effector protein. The binding domain binds to a specific DNA sequence cleaved by the pair of FokI nuclease domain. The presence of long DNA recognition sequences within the TALE DNA binding domains makes the TALENs to be targeted is the custom selected loci precisely with minimal off-target effects or cytotoxicity [31]. The structure of TALEN is composed from a TALE with central repeat domain used for DNA binding, a truncated N-Terminal Segment (NTS), a truncated C-Terminal Segment (CTS) and a non-specific DNA cleavage domain from a type IIS restriction enzyme called FokI. The ideal spacer length depends on the TALE scaffold construction. FokI nuclease domains dimerize the DNA cleavage activity. The classical TALEN tool desires two compartments of TALENs in order to bind with existing of two properly matching DNA target sites flanking an unspecific central spacer. A polypeptide is linker that connects two FokI nuclease domains[32].

**CRISPRs:** Naturally humans and animals' internal body have their own first and second line defence of immune system for pathogens invading. In the natural host of bacteria adaptive CRISPR defence mechanisms from invading viruses passes 3 stages.

1. Adaptation - the invading phage viral DNA is processed into short DNA segments that incorporate into a bacterial new spacer (CRISPR sequence) between the repeats.

The new bacterial RNA sequences that copied from viral DNA used to memorize past infection based on a unique PAM phage and degrade the active site of phage that matches the spacer sequence of bacteria to protect from a new viral attack.

2. CRISPR RNA production - palindromic segments the Cas genes and spacers in the host DNA undergo transcription together with Cas9. In transcription, bacterial DNA requires a single chain RNA. The RNA sequence is known as CRISPR RNAs (crRNA).

Targeting - the crRNAs guide the whole bacterial machinery using Cas9 memorize and damaged the incoming phage DNA once after earlier infection [33].

**The structures and components of CRISPR/ Cas9:** The genomic sequence of CRISPR comprises; CRISPR-related genes (Cas9 nuclease), non-coding RNA (crRNA) and a unique array of positive repetitive elements parts transcribed into precrRNA[34] as indicated in (Figure 2). Guide RNA (gRNA) is constructed from scaffold sequence suited for Cas9 nuclease binding and a spacer sequence having a length of 20 nucleotides responsible to cleave the double-stranded DNA in the presence of PAM [35]. The length of CRISPR sequence is nearly 21-48 b is a unique short sequence palindrome repeat separated by one another. Every short repetitive sequence is identified by aligning in line with an exogenous DNA target, a protospacer. The protospacer used for recognition of the target locus binding site for Cas9 using by its own signal. Cas9 have Nuclease (NUC) and recognition site in its surface [3].The target DNA of each typical spacer region located to adjacent PAM [36].

**Mechanism of gene editing in CRISPR/Cas9:** Mechanism of gene editing entails three parts.

#### **1. Recognition**

Recognition was a key to the action of cleavage. REC domain plays a vital role during the interactions between sgRNA and Cas9 [4]. After a complex process sgRNA and Cas9 nuclease combined together to recognizes and binds at the target sequence. PAM is a short-conserved DNA sequence useful for locating sgRNA- Cas9 binding to the target gene downstream to the cleaved site. The base pairing reactions reads and captures the interest DNA to protect unexpected self-mutilation and flanks cDNA to the seed sequence of sgRNA to produce a sgRNA-target DNA heterodupex and finally trigger R-loop formation (Sternberg et al., 2014). The unwinding target DNA also relies on PAM [4].

#### **2. Cleavage**

RNA-DNA heteroduplex bonded together to form a dsDNA to stabilizes the PAM motif [37]. The HNH and RuvC are the functional nickase domains activated by Cas9 guided with duplex RNA. The HNH and RuvC domains are one of the nuclease lobe located in Cas9 protein used to cut a site of single strand of target DNA in respective to one another. During cleavage, Cas9 cut the target DNA with HNH nuclease domain nicking the DNA sequence strand complementary to the gRNA. The RuvC domain cut the displaced strand to yield a site-specific DSB upstream to the PAM sequence. Helicase opens the double strands DNA [6].

Single-stranded cleavage is prone to mutations due to the presence of HNH and RuvC [38]. Engineered gRNA organized in two forms (crRNA, tracrRNA and Cas9) and (crRNA and Cas9) [39]. The target site was identified by the process of probing a PAM sequence and the interactions of matching gRNA with target DNA. In the presence of mismatched, the Cas9 immediately dissociated from the DNA. Cas9 triggers the DSB after the complementarity between sgRNA and target DNA have been adjusted themselves then generate energy enzyme enables to break dsDNA as illustrated (Figure 3).

The Cas1 and Cas2 endonucleases recognize the viral genome to break DNA into small fragments and insert them into bacterial genome as repeat-spacer units. The second phase is the immunity phase a subsequent viral invasion of bacteria to produce precursor-CRISPR RNA (pre-crRNA) based on recalling previously captured repeat-spacer units. The pre-crRNA fused with Cas9 endonuclease and the tracrRNA together to forms the crRNA-Cas9 tracrRNA complex [40].

#### **3. Repairing systems**

CRISPR/Cas9 generated DSB. DSB repaired by the instructions of NHEJ and HDR. In NHEJ, the two freely separated ends of DNA fragments are re-joined together by enzymatic error prone mechanism by introducing random deletions or insertions of nucleotides. Thus, this mediation creates mutations at the desired target sites by the disruption of mutated/defective gene. Indel mutations occurred within the coding region of the gene, the frame shift mutation and premature stop codon produced due to gene disruption or knockout. HDR mediated DNA repair requires specific exogenous DNA derived from dsDNA, sister chromatid, chromosome and ssDNA oligonucleotide as a repair template encoding the broken area to edit with homologous sequence in the flanking regions [41].

## **Application of Gene Editing in Selected Animal Diseases**

#### **Bovine Tuberculosis**

Using the TALENs technology, the SP110 mouse gene was transferred to the intergenic regions of macrophages, which are the sites of infection expression [42]. The nine exogenous natural resistance-associated macrophage protein-1 (NRAMP1) gene's target locations are orthologous and conserved genes lacking linkage disequilibrium. This is because of this NRAMP1 were chosen and inserted using CRISPR/Cas9n into cow foetal fibroblasts that previously derived from naturally resistant intracellular pathogens to *M. bovis* infection. Cas9 nickase (Cas9n)-mediated single strand breaks were chosen as a superior strategy to prevent issues during NHEJ repair.Transgenic colonies were formed into host NRAMP1 gene because of its well-expressed and *M. bovis* resistant infection was developed. The genes located at the intergenic region between the actin beta gene (ACTB) and the fascin actin-bundling protein 1 gene (FSCN1) which affects the length of gene locations on chromosome [43]. The housekeeping genes are consistently located in the FSCN1-ACTB (FA) locus. Exogenous gene silencing by chromatin inactivation was immediately removed to prevent the interference relative ratio difference between hspCas9 protein and sgRNA, the SpCas9 (BB)-2A-GFP plasmid was employed for all experiments [44].

The primers intended for each sgRNA cloning. Using PCR and TA (thymine and adenine) cloning, the target loci from pSp-Cas9-sgRNA22 and pSpCas9-sgRNA45 transfected in BFFs were amplified. Using Sanger sequencing, randomly selected transformed E. coli colonies with indels rates of 21.43% and 41.90%, respectively, were used to demonstrate the existence of Cas9 nuclease-induced indels at the targeted locus. Two nearby BbsI restriction enzyme sites were used as target sites for the cloning

of multiple sgRNAs. The binding of sgRNA-dCas9 in BFFs, four target sites are present bovine genome with typical cleavage efficiencies and the corresponding sgRNAs were cloned into 3 × FLAG-tagged dCas9 expression vector. After the transfection of plasmids into the BFFs until 48 hrs, the clear reads were aligned with Bos taurus genome sequence using burrows wheeler aligner software [45. From 200-500 bp strong peaks were intended as target sites of each sgRNA in line with their large numbers of common peaks [46].

The on-target and potential off target sites primarily take place in the centres of the binding peaks identified by at 20 bp along with recognition sequences ended with PAM and aligned with sgRNA sequence. The highest density of Cas9 binding was located at the on-target site in all four sgRNA groups. The binding of dCas9 to chromatin structure was identified by off-target sites. Large number of common peaks and the GG/CC-rich motifs were considered as strong enrichment of CpG islands [47]. The NRAMP1 gene renamed as solute carrier family 11A member 1 gene (SLC11A1) is associated with innate resistance to intracellular pathogens [48].

#### **Mastitis**

Transgenic cows secreting antimicrobial peptide was proven as mastitis resistance. Somatic cell gene targeting and nuclear transfer jointly enable to produce transgenic animals. ZFN used to induce the exogenous gene of hLYZ into β-casein gene locus 2(CSN2) of Bovine Fetal Fibroblasts (BFF) for integration. The targeted cell clones used as donor cells for Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer (SCNT). The PCR designed for the targeting vector of β-casein, pCSN2-hLYZ-Neo-GFP was introduced into BFFs together with expression plasmids encoded by ZFNs to create a DSB in intron 2 of CSN2. ZFN cut at unique site at the centre of binding site was converted into a NotI site to design pTCSN2 vector.

The exogenous human lysozyme gene and marker genes were injected into the NotI site of pTCSN2 using recombinant DNA techniques. The vector pEGFP-C-hLYZ was designed by injecting human lysozyme gene sequence into multiple cloning site of pEGFP-N1. Matching sequences, β-casein ATG first exon-partial intron 2 and β-casein ATG first exon-partial intron 2-splice acceptor human lysozyme gene sequence were produced by PCR from plasmid pCSN2-hLYZ-Neo-GFP and subcloned into the vector pEGFP-N1 to construct pEGFP-S-hLYZ. Following transfection procedures into BMECs, the transfected cells were all tagged with EGFP fluorescence within 48 hours. The mature pEGFP-ChLYZ human lysozyme gene now has a Kozak site added to it. The EGFP fusion protein in transfected cells was made possible via covert protein secretion. Another construct, pEGFP-ShLYZ, was subcloned from the vector pCSN2-hLYZ-Neo-GFP and a CSN2 signal peptide-coding region included as a synthetic intron sequence. Exons of CSN2, translational initiation signal (ATG) of β-casein, genomic site cleaved by ZFN, primers used for junction PCR and probe used for southern blotting. The predicted size of southern hybridization bands with BglII digestion was shown for endogenous and targeted locus of CSN2. The donor plasmid was linked to cleavage location of ZFN pairs and carried 700 bp region of homology to the CSN2 sequence around the cleavage site. ZFNs bind at a specific genomic site leads for the dimerization of FokI nuclease domains [49].

### **Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome (PRRS)**

PRRS virus is infectious viral disease that causes reproductive

disorders like premature births, late abortions and dystocia in pigs [50]. CD163 was infectious surface receptor sites that allow entry of PRRS virus into porcine alveolar macrophages. CD163 is a member of Scavenger Receptor Cysteine-Rich (SRCR) domain 5 (SRCR5) that expressed at the surface of macrophage which for virus recognition and binding sites. Mutations occurred at this region leads amino acid deletion in 41, 43 and 44 within 5 domains of CD163 [51]. In gene edited porcine at exon 7, desirable traits were produced compared with non-edited infected gene piglets. When porcine zygote was edited by CRISPR-Cas9, CD163 and pAPN genes were deleted to generate protein lacking at SRCR5 without any adverse side effects.

A wild-type control group compared with pigs those take gene editing or CD163 protein lacking pigs not manifested clinical signs like viremia or antibody response that indicating modification made at SRCR5 were resistant against PRRSV reinfection [1]. In the absence of CD163 and pAPN in porcine also were not infected by delta coronavirus [12].

#### **Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea (PED) and Transmissible Gastroenteritis**

PED virus is the causative agent of PED characterized by infecting all group ages of pigs. Villous enterocytes of small intestine is the predilection site for infection of PED. Gene knockout or gene replacement was applied in genetic modification of specific viral receptor gene of pigs that conferred resistance similar to CD163 viral receptor gene for PRRS [52]. Aminopeptidase N (APN) which is a premature stop codon, a vital for PEDV infection was seen under Knock Out (KO) pigs using CRISPR/ Cas9 mediated by gene editing and somatic cell nuclear transfer. Gene editing was performed by selecting two candidate RNAs close to downstream region to the APN start codon. The first and second candidate gRNA was placed in exon 2 and 3 respectively.

The fetal fibroblasts of pig were transfected with a targeting vector of pX330 containing a hU6-driven gRNA and a humanized CAG-driven Cas9. Single cell colonies were separately cultured and easily identified by genotyped the positive results. The live cloned piglet genotyped was resulted to realize that all of them as biallelic or homozygous KO as free from the frame indels in exon 2 of APN [53].

 The null APN pigs were not seen as susceptible for transmissible gastroenteritis virus infection while maintained for PEDV infection. The immunohistochemistry techniques were confirmed the presence of PEDV reactivity and absence of TGEV in APN null pigs. Genome edited pigs was generated lacking APN which confirms, pigs were resistant to TGEV infection. Nonetheless, gene edited animals remained susceptible to PEDV infections [52].

#### **Conclusion**

The use of gene editing is a modern technology of genetic engineering involving in curing deliberate complicated monogenic diseases and more advantageous by minimizing the expense of medication cost. The presence of gene editing platforms simplifies the understanding of disease pathogenesis for newly emerging and endemic disease in a population. Specific alterations of endogenous base pairs resulted due to homology templates or the integration of engineered donor template providing treatment for complicated forms of disease occurred due to mutations. CRISPR/Cas9 is a

recent technique in a simple way of using and cheap cost makes preferred from other techniques. Engineered sgRNA organized from crRNA and a tracrRNA replaces the natural gRNA responsible for directing the Cas9 nuclease of the targeted site to cleave dsDNA in the presence of PAM sequence. The tracrRNA tail, the loop forming scaffold on tracrRNA structure which is useful to enhanced the expression of Cas9 nuclease. CRISPR-Cas9 based zygote edited was lacking CD163 protein did not manifested typical clinical signs like viremia indicated that, modified SRCR5 region were resistant against PRRSV re-infection. Genome edited pigs was generated lacking APN which confirms pigs were resistant to TGEV infection. Nonetheless, gene edited animals for PEDV infections remained susceptible.

#### **Recommendations**

CRISPR gene editing was effective in an unexpected some targeted animal diseases like the null APN genome edited pigs confirmed as a resistant to TGEV infection but maintained for PEDV infection, therefore to obtain a better result for single genome edited locus simultaneously testing various diseases makes a prolific result.

 $\checkmark$  From starting in earlier gene editing, experimental animals used for preclinical trials causing them by reducing the quality of life, pain, stress and death. But gene edited disease figure as compared to humans very less.

So for, the future monogenetic diseases like protoporphyria, achondroplasia, hypotrichosis and cryptorchidism take into consideration for gene editing.

#### **References**

- 1. [Proudfoot C, Lillico S, Tait-burkard C. Genome editing for disease](https://academic.oup.com/af/article/9/3/6/5522878)  [resistance in pigs and chickens. Animal Frontiers. 2019; 9: 6-12.](https://academic.oup.com/af/article/9/3/6/5522878)
- 2. [Monteilhet C, Dziadkowiec D, Szczepanek T, Lazowska J. Purifica](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC102596/)[tion and characterization of the DNA cleavage and recognition](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC102596/)  [site of I-ScaI mitochondrial group I intron encoded endonucle](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC102596/)[ase produced in Escherichia coli. 2021; 28: 1245-1251.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC102596/)
- 3. [Doudna JA. The promise and challenge of therapeutic genome](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32051598/)  [editing. Nature. 2020; 578: 229-236.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32051598/)
- 4. [Shao M, Xu T, Chen CS. The big bang of genome editing technol](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4980067/)[ogy: development and application of the CRISPR / Cas9 system](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4980067/)  [in disease animal models. 2016; 37: 191-204.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4980067/)
- 5. [Doyon Y, Vo TD, Mendel MC, Greenberg SG, Wang J, Xia DF, et al.](https://europepmc.org/article/med/21131970)  [Enhancing zinc-finger-nuclease acobligate heterodimerictivity](https://europepmc.org/article/med/21131970)  [with improved architectures. Nature Methods. 2010; 8: 74-79.](https://europepmc.org/article/med/21131970)
- 6. [Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna J, Charpenti](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1225829)[er E. Programmable Dual-RNA − Guided DNA Endonuclease in](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1225829)  [Adaptive Bacterial Immunity. 2014; 337: 6096.](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1225829)
- 7. [Tan W, Proudfoot C, Lillico SG, Whitelaw CBA. Gene targeting,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4882362/)  [genome editing : from Dolly to editors. Transgenic Research.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4882362/)  [2016; 25: 273–287.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4882362/)
- 8. [Lander ES. Brave New Genome: Gene Editing in New Zealand](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26039524/)  [Healthcare Regulating the Revolution. 2015; 373: 5-8.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26039524/)
- 9. [Dasgupta I, Flotte TR, Keeler AM. CRISPR / Cas-Dependent and](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7987363/)  [Nuclease-Free In Vivo Therapeutic Gene Editing. Hum Gene](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7987363/)  [Ther. 2021; 32: 275–293.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7987363/)
- 10. Yu J, Zhao Y, Feng H, Yu. Current status and thinking of gene editing technology. 2021: 1742–6596.
- 11. Xu H, Liu B, Pardinas. Biological and Technological Implications of Meganucleases. 2015; 1: 41–46.
- 12. [Xu K, Zhou Y, Mu Y, Liu Z, Hou S, Xiong Y, et al. CD163 and pAPN](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32876563/) [double-knockout pigs are resistant to PRRSV and TGEV and ex](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32876563/)[hibit decreased susceptibility to PDCoV while maintaining nor](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32876563/)[mal production performance. 2020; 9: e57132.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32876563/)
- 13. [Dalgaard JZ, Klar AJ, Moser MJ, Holley WR, Chatterjee A, Mian](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9358175/) [IS. Statistical modeling and analysis of the LAGLIDADG family of](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9358175/) [site-specific endonucleases and identification of an intern that](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9358175/) [encodes a site-specific endonuclease of the HNH family. 1997;](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9358175/) [25: 4626-38.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9358175/)
- 14. [Dunin-horkawicz S, Feder M, Bujnicki JM. Phylogenomic analysis](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16646971/) [of the GIY-YIG nuclease superfamily. BMC Genomics. 2006; 7:](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16646971/) [98.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16646971/)
- 15. [Muscarella DE, Ellison EL, Ruoff BM, Vogt VM. Characterization](https://europepmc.org/article/med/2355911) [of I-Ppo, an Intron Encoded Endonuclease That Mediates Hom](https://europepmc.org/article/med/2355911)[ing of a Group I Intron in the Ribosomal DNA of Physarum poly](https://europepmc.org/article/med/2355911)[cephalum. 1990; 10: 3386-3396.](https://europepmc.org/article/med/2355911)
- 16. [Mehta P, Katta K, Krishnaswamy S. HNH family subclassification](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2286527/#:~:text=Structure%2Dbased sequence analysis of,the His%2DMe endonuclease superfamily.) [leads to identification of commonality in the His-Me endonucle](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2286527/#:~:text=Structure%2Dbased sequence analysis of,the His%2DMe endonuclease superfamily.)[ase superfamily. Protein Science. 2004; 13: 295–300.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2286527/#:~:text=Structure%2Dbased sequence analysis of,the His%2DMe endonuclease superfamily.)
- 17. [Stoddard BL. Homing endonucleases from mobile group I in](v)[trons: discovery to genome engineering Homing endonucleases](v) [from mobile group I introns: discovery to genome engineering.](v) [2014; 5: 7.](v)
- 18. [Macpherson S, Larochelle M, Turcotte B, A Fungal Family of](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16959962/) [Transcriptional Regulators: the Zinc Cluster Proteins. 2006; 70:](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16959962/) [583–604.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16959962/)
- 19. [Bitinaite J, Wah A, Aggarwal K, Schildkraut I. Fok I dimerization is](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/13560398_FokI_dimerization_is_required_for_DNA_cleavage_Proc_Natl_Acad_Sci_U_S_A) [required for DNA cleavage. 1998; 95: 10570-10575.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/13560398_FokI_dimerization_is_required_for_DNA_cleavage_Proc_Natl_Acad_Sci_U_S_A)
- 20. [Hibbs SM, Jahangiri M, Keys K, Wemhoff G, Davis G. An Appli](https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/knockout_cancer_lines_poster.pdf?srsltid=AfmBOorHat6aE29dX1ClcSjhjsD_byA5iLt6Rqal45NeDBz_MD4euhIj)[cation of Zinc Finger Nuclease Technology to Create Multiple\](https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/knockout_cancer_lines_poster.pdf?srsltid=AfmBOorHat6aE29dX1ClcSjhjsD_byA5iLt6Rqal45NeDBz_MD4euhIj) [rComplete Gene Knockouts in Polyploid Cancer Lines. National](https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/knockout_cancer_lines_poster.pdf?srsltid=AfmBOorHat6aE29dX1ClcSjhjsD_byA5iLt6Rqal45NeDBz_MD4euhIj) [Cancer Research Institute. 2010; 63110: 410161.](https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/knockout_cancer_lines_poster.pdf?srsltid=AfmBOorHat6aE29dX1ClcSjhjsD_byA5iLt6Rqal45NeDBz_MD4euhIj)
- 21. Tang LM, Zhou CL, Guo ZF, Xiao L. Advances in Zinc Finger Nuclease and Its Applications. Gene and Gene Editing. 2015; 1: 3–15.
- 22. [Qiang Liu, David J Segal, Jayant B Ghiara, Carlos F Barbas. Design](https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.94.11.5525) [of polydactyl zinc-finger proteins for unique addressing. 1997;](https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.94.11.5525) [94: 5525-5530.](https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.94.11.5525)
- 23. Maeder ML, Thibodeau-beganny S, Osiak A, Wright DA, Anthony RM, Eichtinger. Rapid 'open-source' engineering of customized zinc-finger nucleases for highly efficient gene modification. 2008; 31: 12.
- 24. Meng X, Noyes MB, Zhu LJ, Lawson ND, Wolfe. Degarelix versus Goserelin plus Bicalutamide Therapy for Lower Urinary Tract Symptom Relief, Prostate Volume Reduction and Quality of Life Improvement in Men with Prostate Cancer. 2008; 26: 695–701.
- 25. [Cui X, Ji D, Fisher DA, Wu Y, Briner DM, Weinstein EJ. Targeted in](https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.1731)[tegration in rat and mouse embryos with zinc-finger nucleases.](https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.1731) [2011; 29: 64–68.](https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.1731)
- 26. Pellagatti A, Dolatshad H, Yip BH, Valletta. Application of genome editing technologies to the study and treatment of hematological disease. Advances in Biological Regulation. 2015.
- 27. Boglioli E, Richard. A New Era in Precision Gene Editing. Rewriting B Life. 2015.
- 28. [Reyon D, Tsai SQ, Khayter C, Foden JA, Jeffry D, Joung. FLASH](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3558947/) [Assembly of TALENs Enables High-Throughput Genome Editing.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3558947/) [2013; 30: 460–465.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3558947/)
- 29. [Sun N, Liang J, Abil Z, Zhao H. Optimized TAL effector nucleases](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22301904/) [\(TALENs\) for use in treatment of sickle cell disease. Molecular](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22301904/) [BioSystems. 2012; 8: 1255–1263.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22301904/)
- 30. Muñoz Bodnar A, Bernal A, Szurek B, López. Molecular Biotechnology. Tell me a tale TALEs. 2013; 53: 228–235.
- 31. Ding Q, Lee Y, Schaefer EaK, Peters DT, Veres A, et al. Based Disease Models. 2014; 12: 238–251.
- 32. [Sun N, Zhao H. Single-chain TALEN architecture for genome en](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2014/mb/c3mb70412b)[gineering. Molecular BioSystems. 2014; 10: 446–453.](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2014/mb/c3mb70412b)
- 33. Dutta A, Ahlmark B, Majer. Improving treatment of genetic diseases with CRISPR-Cas9 RNA-guided genome editing. 2014.
- 34. [Hsu PD, Lander ES, Zhang F. Development and Applications of](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4343198/)  [CRISPR-Cas9 for Genome Engineering. Cell. 2015; 157: 1262–](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4343198/) [1278.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4343198/)
- 35. Pelletier S, Gingras S, Green. Immune function. 2016; 42: 18–27.
- 36. Xiao A, Cheng Z, Kong L, Zhu Z, Lin S, Gao G, et al. A genomewide Cas9 / gRNA off-target searching tool. 2014; 3: 3–5.
- 37. [Sternberg SH, Redding S, Jinek M, Greene EC, Jennifer A, Bio](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24476820/)[physics M, et al. DNA interrogation by the CRISPR RNA-guided](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24476820/)  [endonuclease Cas9. Nature. 2014; 507: 62–67.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24476820/)
- 38. [Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, et al. Multiplex](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23287718/)  [Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems\). 2013; 339:](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23287718/)  [819-23.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23287718/)
- 39. [Yang X. Applications of CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome engi](https://mmrjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40779-015-0038-1)[neering. BMC. 2015; 2: 11.](https://mmrjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40779-015-0038-1)
- 40. [Qomi SB, Asghari A, Mojarrad M. An Overview of the CRISPR](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6712897/)  [- Based Genomic - and Epigenome - Editing System: Function,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6712897/)  [Applications, and Challenges. Adv Biomed Res. 2019; 8: 49.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6712897/)
- 41. [Wang D, Zhang F, Gao G. CRISPR-Based Therapeutic Genome Ed](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32243786/)[iting: Strategies and In Vivo Delivery by AAV Vectors. Cell. 2020;](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32243786/)  [181: 136–150.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32243786/)
- 42. [Wu H, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Yang M, Lv J, Liu J, et al. TALE nickase-me](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25733846/)[diated SP110 knockin endows cattle with increased resistance to](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25733846/)  [tuberculosis. 2015; 112: E1530-9.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25733846/)
- 43. Gao Y, Wu H, Wang Y, Liu X, Chen L, Li Q, et al. Single Cas9 nickase induced generation of NRAMP1 knockin cattle with reduced offtarget effects. Genome Biology. 2017; 9: 1-15.
- 44. Ran F, Hsu P, Lin C, Gootenberg J, Konermann S, Trevino A, et al. Double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR Cas9 for enhanced genome editing specificity. Physiology & Behavior. 2013; 176: 139–148.
- 45. [Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Bur](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19451168/)[rows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25: 1754–1760.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19451168/)
- 46. [Nuñez JK, Chen J, Pommier GC, Cogan JZ, Replogle JM, Adriaens](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33838111/) [C, et al. Genome-wide programmable transcriptional memory](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33838111/) [by CRISPR-based epigenome editing. Cell. 2021; 184: 2503-](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33838111/) [2519.e17.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33838111/)
- 47. [Kuscu C, Arslan S, Singh R, Thorpe J, Adli M. Genome-wide analy](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24837660/)[sis reveals characteristics of off-target sites bound by the Cas9](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24837660/) [endonuclease. Nature Biotechnology. 2014; 32: 677–683.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24837660/)
- 48. [Zaharik ML, Cullen VL, Fung AM, Libby SJ, Choy SLK, Coburn B, et](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC517450/#:~:text=In summary%2C S.,are expressed by intracellular S.) [al. The Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium divalent cation](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC517450/#:~:text=In summary%2C S.,are expressed by intracellular S.) [transport systems MntH and SitABCD are essential for virulence](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC517450/#:~:text=In summary%2C S.,are expressed by intracellular S.) [in an Nramp1G169 murine typhoid model. Infection and Immu](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC517450/#:~:text=In summary%2C S.,are expressed by intracellular S.)[nity. 2004; 72: 5522-5525.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC517450/#:~:text=In summary%2C S.,are expressed by intracellular S.)
- 49. Hu G, Su F, Pan S, Luo Y, Guo. Lysozyme gene to β -casein locus using zinc-finger nucleases Generation of mastitis resistance in cows by targeting human lysozyme gene to b -casein locus using zinc-finger nucleases. 2014.
- 50. [Wensvoort G, Terpstra C, Pol JM, ter Laak EA, Bloemraad M, de](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1835211/) [Kluyve EP, et al. Mystery swine disease in the Netherlands: The](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1835211/) [isolation of Lelystad virus. Vet Q. 2013; 121-130.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1835211/)
- 51. [Calvert JG, Slade DE, Shields SL, Jolie R, Mannan RM, Ankenbau](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1933360/)[er RG, et al. CD163 Expression Confers Susceptibility to Porcine](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1933360/) [Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Viruses. Journal of Vi](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1933360/)[rology. 2007; 81: 7371-7379.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1933360/)
- 52. [Whitworth KM, Rowland RRR, Petrovan V, Sheahan M, Cino-](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30315482/)[Ozuna AG, Fang Y, et al. Resistance to coronavirus infection in](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30315482/) [amino peptidase N-deficient pigs. Transgenic Research. 2019;](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30315482/) [28: 21–32.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30315482/)
- 53. [Zhang J, Wu Z, Yang. Aminopeptidase N Knockout Pigs Are Not](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31134585/) [Resistant to Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus Infection. Virologi](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31134585/)[ca Sinica. 2019; 34: 592–595.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31134585/)