Research Article

The Role of Temperament and Parental Style in Predicting Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination

Miolì Chiung^{1,2}, Francesca Fiore², Anna Ogliari^{3,4}, Sandra Sassaroli², Giovanni Rainero¹ and Simona Scaini^{3,4,5*}

 $^{\rm l}{\rm Department}$ of Child Neuropsychiatry, Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Italy

²Post-graduate Cognitive Psychotherapy School and Research Center, Italy

³Developmental Psychopathology Unit, 'Vita-Salute' San Raffaele University, Italy

⁴The Department of Clinical Neurosciences, San Raffaele Hospital, Italy

⁵University Centre of Statistics in the Biomedical Sciences (CUSSB), Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Italy

*Corresponding author: Simona Scaini,

Developmental Psychopathology Unit, 'Vita-Salute' San Raffaele University, via Stamira d'Ancona 20, Milan, Italy, Tel: 0039 0226436294; Email: scaini.simona@hsr. it

Received: March 09, 2015; **Accepted:** April 14, 2015; **Published:** April 17, 2015

Abstract

The objective of this study was to investigate the relative contribution of temperament and parental style in predicting Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination. 200 Italian adolescents (mean age: 15.79±1.35, range 14-19) were administered self-report questionnaires to assess anxiety, depression, temperament, parental style, Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination.

Hierarchical regression analyses and GLM have been implemented to investigate predictor's effects. Results showed that Desire Thinking was predicted by low levels of Maternal Overprotection and Harm Avoidance, high levels of Novelty Seeking, whereas Anger Rumination was predicted by low levels of Maternal Overprotection, high levels of Novelty Seeking and Reward Dependence.

GLM found significant interaction effects between the two variables Maternal Overprotection/Harm Avoidance for Desire Thinking. Differently, in the model on Anger Rumination we found significant interaction effects between the two variables Maternal Overprotection/Reward Dependence and Novelty Seeking/Reward Dependence. Results present important clinical implications. In particular, the knowledge of environmental and temperamental risk factors can help to identify subjects at high risk for Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination, in order to prevent psychopathology connected with these rigid thinking processes.

Keywords: Temperament; Parental style; Desire thinking; Anger rumination; Adolescents

Introduction

Several studies have confirmed in developmental age the relationship between dysfunctional thinking and several psychopathological disorders such as depression [1], anxiety [2], binge eating [3], use of substances [3], self-injurious behaviours [4].

Desire Thinking (DT) and Anger Rumination (AR) are two recurring, dysfunctional and rigid thinking processes often associated with different forms of psychopathology in childhood and adulthood [5-7].

DT can be described as a voluntary thinking elaboration of a desired target at a verbal level (repetitive self-talk about the need to achieve the desired target and self-motivated statements; [8,9]) and at an imaginable level (construction of mental images of the desired target or of its context of consumption; [10]). The target could be represented by an activity, an object, or a state [11]. DT is focused on the elaboration of a desired target and is characterized by positive target-related experience and self-motivational statements [9]. Several studies have identified high level of DT as a risk factor for different kind of psychopathologies, first of all substance use and abuse [9,12].

Differently, Anger Rumination (AR) is the tendency to think over and over anger by inducing past events and may be employed in a conscious attempt to resolve negative feelings or may intrude despite the intentions of the individual to avoid such thoughts [13-17]. AR may be a risk factor for depressive disorder [18,19], borderline

personality features [20], suicidal ideation [5], ineffective coping [6], aggressive behaviour [21], alcohol abuse [22] and psychophysiological high activations, such as blood pressure [23,24].

Although the relationship between dysfunctional thinking and psychopathology is well established, very little is known about the aetiology.

One of the great contributions to the understanding of psychiatric disorders is Cloninger's biosocial personality theory [25-29]. Cloninger's theory of personality includes 4 temperament dimensions and 3 character dimensions. Temperament represents automatic emotional responses to experiences that are moderately heritable and stable throughout life, whereas character refers to self-concepts and individual differences in goals and values which influence choice, intention and meaning. In particular temperament includes [25,30]: i) novelty-seeking: the propensity for approach and exploration; ii) harm avoidance: the propensity to withdraw and worry; iii) reward dependence: the propensity for attachment and dependence; and iv) persistence: the propensity for diligence and perseverance.

Previous studies have indicated that specific temperamental profiles are associated to psychiatric disorders strongly connected to dysfunctional thinking control strategy, such as depression [31-33], anxiety [34,35], and personality disorders [30]. Hankin and Abramson [36] postulated that preexisting vulnerabilities, such as specific temperament profiles, increase the likelihood of experiencing

negative events and forming cognitive vulnerabilities. The authors also hypothesized that, once formed; cognitive vulnerabilities would interact with stressors, which may be generated as a result of particular temperamental traits, to predict later symptoms.

A further risk factor for the onset of dysfunctional thinking could be represented by parental style [37,38]. Several studies have showed how parental style, characterized by the children's perception of parental rejection, anxious rearing or control, is associated with internalizing disorders [39-42]. Finally, a positive association has been found between low protective parental style and poor care and risk behaviors in adolescence, such as substance abuse [43,44], smoke [45] and risky sexual behaviors [46]. In addition, recent studies analyzed the relationship between reported "affectionless control" parenting and other types of cognitive vulnerability [47]. Several studies [48-54] found significant relationships between low parental care and/or high parental control/overprotection and dysfunctional attitudes, negative cognitions, or negative attribution style. In particular, researches of Nolen-Hoeksema [55] demonstrated that parents may play a role in the development of children's ruminative style and that overcontrolling parenting contributed to children's negative cognitions [55,56].

Thus, both temperamental traits and parental styles seem to constitute predictors of cognitive vulnerability.

A previous study realized by Manfredi et al. [37] investigated the role of temperament and perceived parental style as predictors in Recurrent Negative Thinking in an adult sample. Results showed that a temperament characterized by high levels of harm avoidance or high levels of reward dependence may facilitate the tendency to use thinking process like worry or brooding. Moreover a parental style characterized by high control and protectiveness over children seems to be a risk factor for the development of both the types of recurrent negative thinking.

To our knowledge, no study has investigated the contribution of temperament and perceived parental style to DT and AR. Understanding which are the most relevant developmental predictors could have important implications in the creation of specific preventive programs for reducing emotional susceptibility. A precocious individuation of the subjects at high risk for DT and AR could facilitate the treatment and limit the development of pathological dysfunctional and rigid thinking process. Our study, for the first time in literature, investigated which dimensions of temperament, in addition to the perceived parental style influence, are predictors in the development of DT and AR in a sample of Italian adolescents.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The sample consisted of 200 adolescents (49% females and 51% males) who were referred for psychological diseases to an outpatient treatment centre of Child Psychiatry in Milan (Department of Child Neuropsychiatry, Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Piazza Castello 20/22, Pessano con Bornago, Italy). The mean age of the sample was 15.79 (SD = 1.35, range 14-19). All the subjects were Caucasian. Demographic characteristics of study completers are reported in Table 1.

No child was affected by severe neurological/psychiatric disorders, such as mental retardation, infantile cerebral palsy, autism, severe behavioural disorders. Clinical assessment revealed that the 41% of the sample manifested as the main problem Affective symptoms, 32% Anxiety symptoms, 16% Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity symptoms, 11% Oppositional Defiant symptoms.

Parents signed an informed consent for all participants and the Health Direction of the treatment centre approved the study design.

Materials

Several self-report measures were used to collect data on the variables of interest.

State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI (Form Y); [57]). The STAI is a psychological inventory based on a 4-point Likert scale. This questionnaire assesses two types of anxiety: state anxiety or anxiety about an event (STAI-X1), and trait anxiety (STAI-X2). Higher scores are positively correlated with higher levels of anxiety. Considerable evidences attest to the construct and concurrent validity and the psychometric properties of the scale [57,58].

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; [59]). This is a 21-item self-report questionnaire assessing the existence and severity of symptoms of depression as listed in the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth Edition [60]. There is a four-point scale for each item ranging from 0 to 3. Total score of 0-13 is considered minimal range, 14-19 is mild, 20-28 is moderate, and 29-63 is severe. This measure has been used in several studies and has shown to have good psychometric properties [61].

Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI; [25]). The TCI measures individual differences in the ways that people feel, act, or behave. These differences are expressed through different

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of study completers.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	200	14	19	15.79	1.347
Desire Thinking	200	8	50	30.59	9.494
Anger Rumination	200	13	56	36.02	10.384
Maternal Care	200	1	33	13.96	8.285
Maternal Overprotection	200	1	32	13.12	7.277
Paternal Care	200	0	33	12.13	7.137
Paternal Overprotection	200	0	24	9.44	5.116
Persistence	200	34	120	64.75	19.467
Reward Dependence	200	14	148	86.23	26.826
Harm Avoidance	200	33	96	59.24	15.044
Novelty Seeking	200	36	169	88.92	28.791
State Anxiety	200	20	64	43.09	10.764
Trait Anxiety	200	5	66	41.32	12.062
Depression Symptoms	200	0	31	9.14	5.459
Gender Males Females	200 102 (51%) 98 (49%)				

Simona Scaini

Austin Publishing Group

Table 2: Correlations among variables of interest.

Table 2. Coneia					D						0		
	Desire	Anger	Maternal	Maternal	Paternal	Paternal	Persistence	Reward	Harm	Novelty	State	Trait	Depression
	Thinking	Rumination	Care	Overprotection	Care	Overprotection	. 0.0.0.0.00	Dependence	Avoidance	Seeking	Anxiety	Anxiety	Symptoms
Desire Thinking	1	.341°	303*	451*	318*	020	.087	.289*	247*	.401*	.135	.251°	045
Anger Rumination	.341 [*]	1	490°	517 [*]	305*	209*	074	.534°	235*	.587*	.242*	.331°	027
Maternal Care	303*	490°	1	.552*	.603*	.219*	.036	471*	.229°	561°	106	252°	071
Maternal Overprotection	451 [*]	517*	.552*	1	.314*	.262*	057	472*	.163	501*	189	370°	.070
Paternal Care	318*	305*	.603*	.314*	1	.141	102	400*	.116	600*	069	121	039
Paternal Overprotection	020	209°	.219*	.262*	.141	1	.132	089	.205	143	.009	070	.111
Persistence	.087	074	.036	057	102	.132	1	.111	.094	.149	012	.081	.073
Reward Dependence	.289*	.534*	471°	472*	400°	089	.111	1	144	.531*	.389°	.387*	.070
Harm Avoidance	247*	235°	.229*	.163	.116	.205	.094	144	1	186	074	011	.053
Novelty Seeking	.401*	.587*	561 [*]	501 [*]	600°	143	.149	.531 [*]	186	1	.305*	.325*	.030
State Anxiety	.135	.242*	106	189	069	.009	012	.389*	074	.305*	1	.693*	.037
Trait Anxiety	.251*	.331*	252 [*]	370°	121	070	.81	.387*	011	.325*	.693*	1	.006
Depression Symptoms	045	027	071	.070	039	.111	.073	.070	.053	.030	.037	.006	1

^{*}Significant correlation after Bonferroni correction.

individual scores on 7 personality dimensions based on Cloninger's 'psychobiological theory of personality. The TCI encompasses 240 questions answered with "CORRECT" or "INCORRECT". The questionnaire comprises four temperament scales (Novelty Seeking, Harm Avoidance, Reward Dependence and Persistence) and three character scales (Self-Directedness, Cooperativeness and Self-Transcendence).

Parental Bonding Instrument (PBI; [62]). The PBI is a self-report questionnaire consisting of 25 items on which the father and mother are judged on a 4-point Likert scale (from true to not true). Two factors are derived for each parent (care and overprotection). The questionnaire has good psychometric properties and has been used extensively in several studies on parental rearing style [63,64].

Desire Thinking Questionnaire (DTQ, [9]). The DTQ is a questionnaire developed to assess the Desire Thinking defined as a voluntary thinking process involving verbal and imaginal elaboration of a desired target. It consists of two factors of five items. The first one concerns the perseveration of verbal thoughts about desire-related content and experience (Verbal Perseveration). The second one regards the tendency to prefigure images about desire-related content and experience (Imaginable Prefiguration). Higher scores on both factors and on the total measure indicate higher levels of desire thinking.

Anger Rumination Scale (ARS; [15]). This scale assesses the tendency to focus attention on angry moods, recall past anger experiences, and think about the causes and consequences of anger episodes. The questionnaire includes 19 items, and has four factor structure (factors named "angry afterthoughts", "thoughts of revenge", "angry memories" and "understanding of causes"). The questionnaire showed good psychometric properties [15]. Higher scores on both factors and on the total measure indicate higher levels of anger rumination.

Statistical analyses

Firstly, we conducted data configuration, data description

and computed correlation analyses (with the alpha level adjusted for the Bonferroni correction). In order to test the hypothesis that temperament traits and perceived parental style predicted levels of perseverative thinking independently from negative emotional states, two multiple linear regression analyses (Stepwise Method) have been performed with Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination respectively inserted as dependent variables. Then, we ran two General Linear Models to analyse interaction effects between predictors. No missing data were present. All the statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS for Windows [65].

Results

Preliminary analyses

Histograms, skewness and kurtosis analyses showed that all the dependent variables were normally distributed. Comparisons based on t-tests showed no differences across sex groups (all p > .05); the correlations between age and all the variables of interest were not significant (all p > .05). We then examined multicollinearity using the Tolerance Index (T_i) and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). The range for the T_i (.42 to .95) and for the VIF (1.05 to 2.55) supported the absence of multicollinearity between variables.

Pearson product-moment correlations reported in Table 2 showed that both ruminative brooding and worry were positively correlated with Reward Dependence, Novelty Seeking, and Trait Anxiety and negatively correlated with Maternal Care, Maternal Overprotection, Paternal Care and Harm Avoidance. Moreover Anger Rumination correlated with Paternal Overprotection (negative correlation) and State Anxiety.

Regression analyses

Two stepwise regression analyses were run to explore the relative contribution of Temperament and parental style to predict Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination (Table 3). Only correlated variables were included as predictors. Stepwise regression tries out one independent variable at a time and includes it in the regression model if it is statistically significant.

Table 3: Stepwise linear regressions with Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination as the Outcome Variables and Temperament, and Parental Overprotection as Predictor Variables.

	DESIRE THINKING		andardized efficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
STEP 1 (F=50.518, p<.001)	(Constant)	38.313	1.241		30.874	<.001
R= .451 R ² = .203 Adj R ² =.199	Maternal Overprotection	588	.083	451	-7.108	<.001
Std Error= 8.495 STEP 2 (F=31.853, p<.001)	(Constant)	29.436	2.971		9.909	<.001
R= .494 R ² = .244	Maternal Overprotection	435	.093	333	-4.659	<.001
Adj R ² =.237 Std Error= 8.295	Novelty Seeking	.077	.024	.234	3.273	.001
STEP 3 (F=23.849, p<.001)	(Constant)	35.619	3.846		9.260	<.001
R= .517 R ² = .267	Maternal Overprotection	416	.092	319	-4.500	<.001
Adj R ² =.256 Std Error= 8.188	Novelty Seeking	.070	.023	.213	2.987	.003
	Harm Avoidance	098	.039	155	-2.484	.014
Δ	ANGER RUMINATION		andardized efficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
•		В	Std. Error	Beta		
STEP 1 (F=104.110, p<.001)	(Constant)	17.194	1.939		8.868	<.001
R= .587 R ² = .345 Adj R ² =.341	Novelty Seeking	.212	.021	.587	10.203	<.001
Std Error= 8.428						
STEP 2 (F=69.447, p<.001)	(Constant)	12.129	2.119		5.724	<.001
R= .643 R ² = .414 Adj R ² =.408 Std Error= 7.993 STEP 3 (F=53.547, p<.001)	Novelty Seeking	.152	.023	.423	6.564	<.001
	Reward Dependence	.120	.025	.310	4.811	<.001
	(Constant)	21.261	3.250		6.542	<.001
R = .671 $R^2 = .450$	Novelty Seeking	.123	.024	.342	5.154	<.001
Adj R ² =.442 Std Error= 7.757	Reward Dependence	.094	.025	.243	3.741	<.001
Sid LITUI= 1.701	Maternal Overprotection	330	.091	231	-3.629	<.001

In the first regression analysis Desire Thinking was entered as dependent variable. In step 1 Maternal Overprotection was entered as predictor, Novelty Seeking was entered in step 2 and Harm Avoidance was entered in step 3. In the second regression analysis Anger Rumination was entered as dependent variable, and Novelty Seeking entered in step 1, Reward Dependence entered in step 2, and Maternal Overprotection in step 3.

General Linear Model

When we run two General Linear Models in order to analyze interaction effects of predictor variables we found significant interaction between the two variables Maternal Overprotection/Harm Avoidance (Partial Eta²=.023, p=.34) for DT (F= 14.146, p<.001; R²=.225, Adjusted R²=.209). While in the model on AR (F= 37.882, p<.001; R²=.437, Adjusted R²=.426) we found significant interaction effects between the two variables Maternal Overprotection/Reward Dependence (Partial Eta²=.029, p=.17) and Novelty Seeking/Reward Dependence (Partial Eta²=.069; p<.001).

Discussion

Our study investigated the relationship between temperament, parental style and two forms of dysfunctional thinking processes, DT and AR. Results showed that both DT and AR were predicted by low levels of Maternal Overprotection. Data seem to show that

high perceived level of maternal overprotection could prevent the development of DT and AR. High level of DT and AR are associated with psychopathological behaviors such as use of substances [3] and self-injurious behaviours [4]. Thus, the fact that low level of Maternal Overprotection predicted High level of DT and AR is in accordance with previous studies that found a positive association between low protective parental style and poor care and risk behaviors in adolescence, such as substance abuse [43,44], smoke [45] and risky sexual behaviors [46].

Regarding temperament dimensions, high levels of Novelty Seeking significantly predicted high levels of DT and AR while Harm Avoidance predicted low levels of DT and Reward Dependence predicted high levels of AR. In particular, it seems that people with an inclination to respond with intense excitement to novel stimuli, leading to pursuit of rewards and escape from punishment, are more prone to thinking activation such as Desire Thinking and Anger Rumination. Our results showed also that a temperamental profile characterized by a high tendency to respond intensely to previously established signals of aversive stimuli and to learn to passively avoid punishment, novelty and frustrating non-reward, can prevent the development of Desire Thinking. Our data are in line with the literature. In fact, expanding the clinical picture, Desire Thinking is related to disorders, such as substance and alcohol abuse, that are typically characterized by low

Simona Scaini

Austin Publishing Group

levels of damage avoidance and high levels of Novelty Seeking. Harm Avoidance by definition facilitates behavior inhibition and avoidance of punishment, novelty and frustrating omission of expected reward [25,26]. Clearly this temperamental asset implies high levels of worry connected with the anticipation of negative outcomes and the need to feel able to cope with them, in addition to the need to gain emotional self-control in front of intense arousal. Thus, people with low levels of Harm Avoidance are probably less concentrated on worries about the harm that can derive from the "Desired Object". In turn, they can be better orientated on the 'desired target'. Differently to us, Manfredi and colleagues [37] in a previous study on temperament and ruminative brooding and worry, found that high levels of Harm Avoidance might facilitate the use of ruminative brooding and worry. Differences should not surprise. Ruminative brooding and worry are two types of recurrent, dysfunctional and rigid negative thinking respectively associated to depression and anxiety. Both these two types of thinking encompass a component of apprehensive expectation of possible negative outcomes in the future. Thus, a temperamental profile characterized by a high tendency to respond intensely to previously established signals of aversive stimuli probably increases risk for apprehensive expectation typical of ruminative brooding and worry. On the contrary, negative apprehensive expectation does not characterize directly DT. In fact, DT focused on the elaboration of a desired target and is characterized by positive target-related experience and self-motivational statements. Finally, people that are temperamentally predisposed to respond intensely to signals of reward and to maintain behavior previously associated with reward or with relief of punishment seem to be at high risk for AR. Manfredi and colleagues [37] found an association between Reward Dependence and brooding. The authors hypothesized that since Reward Dependence has been associated with persistence in goal pursuing, this persistence may lead to difficulties in the process of goal disengagement in the event of a loss or of a frustrating event. These difficulties could contribute to the enhancement of "recurrent pondering" on own problems or own negative emotional states that is typical of brooding [66] but also of AR, which can be defined as the tendency to think over and over anger inducing past events [13-17].

Significant correlations have been found between trait anxiety and DT/AR, state anxiety and AR, although anxiety variables did not enter as predictors in our regressions. Probably the mental activation derived from these two kind of dysfunctional cognitive processes contributes to increase levels of anxiety in subjects. Dysfunctional thinking could act as triggers for anxiety. Clearly, future studies should better investigate this issue. It should be note that this is a clinical sample and this could have influenced results.

In addition to this, our results indicated interaction effects for the variables Maternal Overprotection and Harm Avoidance in influencing DT and for Maternal Overprotection and Reward Dependence in influencing AR. The presence of interaction effects is in line with recent literature on gene-environment co-action mechanisms, such as gene-environment correlations, showing that there may be genetically-influenced individual variation in exposure to risky/protective environments [67]. Genetic propensities could be correlated with individual differences in experiences. In other words, what seem to be environmental effects can reflect a genetic influence because these experiences are influenced by genetic differences among

individuals [67]. A mechanism of relevance in relation to our findings could be the significant correlation that occurs when individuals, on the basis of their genetic propensities, evoke reactions from other people on the basis of their genetic propensities [68].

The results of this study must be considered in the light of several limitations. First, the measure of perceived parental style was retrospective. The design is cross-sectional and this constitutes an important limitation. A longitudinal study is probably a more adequate design to investigate the causal relationship between temperament/parental style and thinking process, and their association over time. Second, our measures could be influenced by errors due to social desirability and self-report biases. Third, the small sample size together with the high number of variables which were analyzed may represent another shortcoming.

Conclusion

The results of this study had several clinical implications, primarily connected to the implementation of preventive intervention programs to reduce the vulnerability to emotional stress. In particular, the knowledge of environmental and temperamental risk factors can help in identifying subjects at a high risk for DT and AR, in order to prevent psychopathology connected with these thinking processes. An early identification of adolescents at high risk can promote their inclusion in specific programs aimed to increase their competences, adaptive coping strategies, and to restructure the first forms dysfunctional thinking. In particular, specific cognitivebehavioral programs focused on cognitive reconstruction and anger management could help these subjects. For example, Anger Rumination may serve as a warning signal, that an individual may be about to engage in an aggressive act and thus may need an intervention [69]. The ruminative modus operandi can be disrupted through the use of several techniques such as thought-stopping and thought-switching [70]. Thought-stopping involves recognizing an inappropriate thought and (silently) yelling 'STOP' then breathing deeply and exhaling slowly whilst counting backwards or focusing on a neutral image. In addition, cognitive-behavioral techniques may be used to make an individual more aware of the dysfunctional thinking process and then reframe it into more adaptive cognitions. Verbal reattribution of metacognitive beliefs about Desire Thinking and techniques to directly treat desire thinking and attentional shifting could be implemented to reduce the risk of excessive drinking behavior and relapse after successful treatment.

References

- Rood L, Roelofs J, Bogels SM, Nolen-Hoeksema S, Schouten E. The influence of emotion-focused rumination and distraction on depressive symptoms in non-clinical youth: a meta-analytic review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2009; 29: 607-616.
- Verstraeten K, Bijttebier P, Vasey MW, Raes F. Specificity of worry and rumination in the development of anxiety and depressive symptoms in children. Br J Clin Psychol. 2011; 50: 364-378.
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Stice E, Wade E, Bohon C. Reciprocal relations between rumination and bulimic, substance abuse, and depressive symptoms in female adolescents. J Abnorm Psychol. 2007; 116: 198-207.
- Hilt LM, Cha CB, Nolen-Hoeksema S. Nonsuicidal self-injury in young adolescent girls: moderators of the distress-function relationship. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2008; 76: 63-71.
- 5. Miros NJ. Depression, anger, and coping skills as predictors of suicidal

- ideation in young adults: Examination of the diathesis-stress-hopelessness theory. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2000; 61: 3286.
- Stöber J. Self-pity: exploring the links to personality, control beliefs, and anger. J Pers. 2003; 71: 183-220.
- Caselli. Le molte metacognizioni. Modelli teorici e implicazioni cliniche: la Terapia Metacognitiva. XVI Congresso Società Italiana Terapia Comportamentale e Cognitiva, Roma. 2012.
- Caselli G, Spada MM. Metacognitions in desire thinking: a preliminary investigation. Behav Cogn Psychother. 2010; 38: 629-637.
- Caselli G, Spada MM. The Desire Thinking Questionnaire: development and psychometric properties. Addict Behav. 2011; 36: 1061-1067.
- Kavanagh DJ, May J, Andrade J. Tests of the elaborated intrusion theory of craving and desire: Features of alcohol craving during treatment for an alcohol disorder. Br J Clin Psychol. 2009; 48: 241-254.
- 11. Salkovskis PM, Reynolds M. Thought suppression and smoking cessation. Behav Res Ther. 1994; 32: 193-201.
- Caselli G, Ferla M, Mezzaluna C, Rovetto F, Spada MM. Desire thinking across the continuum of drinking behaviour. Eur Addict Res. 2012; 18: 64-69.
- Langlois F, Freeston MH, Ladouceur R. Differences and similarities between obsessive intrusive thoughts and worry in a non-clinical population: Study 1. Behaviour research and therapy. 2000; 38: 157-173.
- Langlois F, Freeston MH, Ladouceur R. Differences and similarities between obsessive intrusive thoughts and worry in a non-clinical population: Study 2. Behaviour research and therapy. 2000; 38: 175-189.
- Sukhodolsky DG, Golub A, Cromwell EN. Development and validation of the anger rumination scale. Personality and Individual Differences. 2001; 31: 689-700.
- Watkins E. Adaptive and maladaptive ruminative self-focus during emotional processing. Behav Res Ther. 2004; 42: 1037-1052.
- Wenzlaff RM, Wegner DM. Thought suppression. Annu Rev Psychol. 2000; 51: 59-91.
- Besharat MA, Nia ME, Farahani H. Anger and major depressive disorder: the mediating role of emotion regulation and anger rumination. Asian J Psychiatr. 2013; 6: 35-41.
- Balsamo M. Anger and depression: evidence of a possible mediating role for rumination. Psychol Rep. 2010; 106: 3-12.
- Baer RA, Sauer SE. Relationships between depressive rumination, anger rumination, and borderline personality features. Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment. 2011; 2: 142-150.
- Maxwell JP. Anger rumination: an antecedent of athlete aggression? Psychology of Sport and Exercise. 2004; 5: 279-289.
- Ciesla JA, Dickson KS, Anderson NL, Neal DJ. Negative repetitive thought and college drinking: Angry rumination, depressive rumination, co-rumination, and worry. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2011; 35: 142-150.
- McClelland AB, Jones KV, Gregg MED. Psychological and cumulative cardiovascular effects of repeated angry rumination and visuospatial suppression. International journal of psychophysiology. 2009; 74: 166-173.
- 24. Hogan BE, Linden W. Anger response styles and blood pressure: at least don't ruminate about it! Ann Behav Med. 2004; 27: 38-49.
- Cloninger CR. A unified biosocial theory of personality and its role in the development of anxiety states. Psychiatr Dev. 1986; 4: 167-226.
- Cloninger CR. A systematic method for clinical description and classification of personality variants. A proposal. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1987; 44: 573-588.
- Hansenne M, Pitchot W, Gonzalez Moreno A, Machurot PY, Ansseau M. The tridimensional personality questionnaire (TPQ) and depression. Eur Psychiatry. 1998; 13: 101-103.
- 28. Nelson E, Cloninger CR. Exploring the TPQ as a possible predictor of

- antidepressant response to nefazodone in a large multi-site study. J Affect Disord. 1997: 44: 197-200.
- Russo J, Katon W, Sullivan M, Clark M, Buchwald D. Severity of somatization and its relationship to psychiatric disorders and personality. Psychosomatics. 1994: 35: 546-556
- Joyce PR, McKenzie JM, Luty SE, Mulder RT, Carter JD, Sullivan PF, et al. Temperament, childhood environment and psychopathology as risk factors for avoidant and borderline personality disorders. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2003; 37: 756-764.
- 31. Celikel FC, Kose S, Cumurcu BE, Erkorkmaz U, Sayar K, Borckardt JJ, et al. Cloninger's temperament and character dimensions of personality in patients with major depressive disorder. Compr Psychiatry. 2009; 50: 556-561.
- Maremmani I, Akiskal HS, Signoretta S, Liguori A, Perugi G, Cloninger R. The relationship of Kraepelian affective temperaments (as measured by TEMPS-I) to the tridimensional personality questionnaire (TPQ). J Affect Disord. 2005: 85: 17-27.
- Elovainio M, Kivimäki M, Puttonen S, Heponiemi T, Pulkki L, Keltikangas-Järvinen L. Temperament and depressive symptoms: a population-based longitudinal study on Cloninger's psychobiological temperament model. J Affect Disord. 2004: 83: 227-232.
- Starcevic V, Uhlenhuth EH, Fallon S, Pathak D. Personality dimensions in panic disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. J Affect Disord. 1996; 37: 75-79.
- Ettelt S, Grabe HJ, Ruhrmann S, Buhtz F, Hochrein A, Kraft S, et al. Harm avoidance in subjects with obsessive-compulsive disorder and their families. J Affect Disord. 2008; 107: 265-269.
- Hankin BL, Abramson LY. Development of gender differences in depression: an elaborated cognitive vulnerability-transactional stress theory. Psychol Bull. 2001: 127: 773-796.
- Manfredi C, Caselli G, Rovetto F, Rebecchi D, Ruggiero GM, Sandra Sassaroli, et al. Temperament and parental styles as predictors of ruminative brooding and worry. Personality and Individual Differences. 2011; 50: 186-191.
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Morrow J. A prospective study of depression and posttraumatic stress symptoms after a natural disaster: the 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1991; 61: 115-121.
- Grüner K, Muris P, Merckelbach H. The relationship between anxious rearing behaviours and anxiety disorders symptomatology in normal children. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry. 1999: 30: 27-35.
- Messer SC, Beidel DC. Psychosocial correlates of childhood anxiety disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994; 33: 975-983.
- Muris P, Meesters C, Merckelbach H, Hülsenbeck P. Worry in children is related to perceived parental rearing and attachment. Behav Res Ther. 2000; 38: 487-497.
- 42. Spasojevic J, Alloy LB. Who becomes a depressive ruminator? Developmental antecedents of ruminative response style. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy. 2002; 16: 405-419.
- van der Vorst H, Engels RC, Meeus W, Dekovic M. Parental attachment, parental control, and early development of alcohol use: a longitudinal study. Psychol Addict Behav. 2006; 20: 107-116.
- 44. Velleman RD, Templeton LJ, Copello AG. The role of the family in preventing and intervening with substance use and misuse: a comprehensive review of family interventions, with a focus on young people. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2005; 24: 93-109.
- Tilson EC, McBride CM, Lipkus IM, Catalano RF. Testing the interaction between parent-child relationship factors and parent smoking to predict youth smoking. J Adolesc Health. 2004; 35: 182-189.
- 46. Miller BC. Family influences on adolescent sexual and contraceptive behavior. J Sex Res. 2002; 39: 22-26.
- 47. Alloy LB, Abramson LY, Smith JM, Gibb BE, Neeren AM. Role of parenting and maltreatment histories in unipolar and bipolar mood disorders: mediation

- by cognitive vulnerability to depression. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2006; 9: 23-64
- 48. Andersson P, Perris C. Perceptions of parental rearing and dysfunctional attitudes: The link between early experiences and individual vulnerability? Nordic Journal of Psychiatry. 2000; 54: 405-409.
- Garber J, Flynn C. Predictors of depressive cognitions in young adolescents. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2001; 25: 353-376.
- Hall LA, Peden AR, Rayens MK, Beebe LH. Parental bonding: a key factor for mental health of college women. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2004; 25: 277-291
- 51. Ingram RE, Overbey T, Fortier M. Individual differences in dysfunctional automatic thinking and parental bonding: Specificity of maternal care. Personality and Individual Differences. 2001; 30: 401-412.
- Ingram RE, Ritter J. Vulnerability to depression: cognitive reactivity and parental bonding in high-risk individuals. J Abnorm Psychol. 2000; 109: 588-596
- Parker G. Parental rearing style: examining for links with personality vulnerability factors for depression. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1993; 28: 97-100.
- 54. Tiggemann M, Winefield HR, Goldney RD, Winefield AH. Attributional style and parental rearing as predictors of psychological distress. Personality and Individual Differences. 1992; 13: 835-841.
- Nolen-Hoeksema S. Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. J Abnorm Psychol. 1991; 100: 569-582.
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Wolfson A, Mumme D, Guskin K. Helplessness in children of depressed and nondepressed mothers. Developmental Psychology. 1995; 31: 377-387.
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene R, Vagg PR, Jacobs GA. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press. 1983.
- 58. Spielberger C. Inventario per l'ansia di stato e di tratto. Organizzazioni Speciali, Firenze. 1989.

- Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1961; 4: 561-571.
- 60. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed., text rev.). Washington, DC. 2000.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. Clinical psychology review. 1988; 8: 77-100.
- Parker G, Tupling H, Brown LB. A Parental Bonding Instrument. Br J Med Psychol.1979; 52: 1-10.
- 63. Parker GB, Barrett EA, Hickie IB. From nurture to network: examining links between perceptions of parenting received in childhood and social bonds in adulthood. Am J Psychiatry. 1992; 149: 877-885.
- 64. Brewin CR, Andrews B, Gotlib IH. Psychopathology and early experience: a reappraisal of retrospective reports. Psychol Bull. 1993; 113: 82-98.
- 65. IBM Corp. Released. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. 2013.
- 66. Treynor W, Gonzalez R, Nolen-Hoeksema S. Rumination reconsidered: A psychometric analysis. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2003; 27: 247-259.
- 67. DeFries J, Peter McGuffin P, Gerald E. McClearn GE, Plomin R. Behavioral Genetics, 4th edition, Worth Publisher. 2001.
- Plomin R, DeFries JC, Loehlin JC. Genotype-environment interaction and correlation in the analysis of human behavior. Psychol Bull. 1977; 84: 309-322.
- Anestis MD, Anestis JC, Selby EA, Joiner TE. Anger rumination across forms of aggression. Personality and Individual Differences. 2009; 46: 192–196.
- Maxwell JP. Anger rumination: an antecedent of athlete aggression?
 Psychology of Sport and Exercise. 2004; 5: 279–289.