

## Review Article

# Aortic Stenosis: Predictive Value of Cardiac Biomarkers in Older Patients

Berezin AE\*

Department of Internal Medicine, State Medical University of Zaporozhye, Ukraine

\*Corresponding author: Berezin AE, Consultant of Therapeutic Unit, Internal Medicine Department, State Medical University of Zaporozhye, 26 Mayakovsky av, Zaporozhye, UA-69035, Ukraine

Received: November 22, 2015; Accepted: March 02, 2016; Published: March 10, 2016

**Abstract**

Aortic stenosis is one of the most common forms of acquired valvular heart disease in older adults' population. Clinical implementation of cardiac biomarker measurement for risk stratification in patients with aortic stenosis has been shown to be promising to predict disease progression and outcomes. The aim of the short communication is summary knowledge regarding clinical perspectives in use of biological markers for risk stratification in the older adults with aortic stenosis. The review is confirmed that two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography remains to be key tool for the evaluation and monitoring of aortic stenosis in older patients. Although there is no consensus on the prognostic value of biomarkers to stratify older adults with aortic stenosis at risk, BNP / NT-proBNP, galectin-3, sST2 appear to be promising predictors of C death and clinical outcomes in this population. Whether combined biomarker approach might have an impact on clinical decision-making for risk stratification in aortic stenosis patients is still not understood and requires further investigations.

**Keywords:** Aortic stenosis; Older adults; Biomarkers; Mortality; Prediction

**Introduction**

Aortic stenosis is one of the most common forms of acquired valvular heart disease in older adults [1]. It is well known that clinical signs and symptoms of aortic stenosis, i.e. angina, exertional dyspnea, syncope, and chronic Heart Failure (HF), might strongly predict a high likelihood of mortality in short-term perspective [2,3]. In contrast, asymptomatic patients with severe aortic stenosis have better clinical outcomes, but prognostication among these individuals remains very difficult yet [4,5]. Once a determination is made that cardiac surgery will be considered, the patient should be evaluated for the risk of a Cardiovascular (CV) complications. Obviously, cardiac functional status should be determined. To our knowledge, whether integrated ventricular, vascular and valvular components in asymptomatic patients with moderate to severe aortic stenosis could have utility at risk stratification and in enrollment for aortic valve replacement is still not clear [6]. Theoretically, a single symptom-limited exercise stress test could offer more precise risk stratification of these patients and increased a predictive power of echocardiographic parameters [7,8]. In symptomatic patients with aortic stenosis, however, an assessment of hemodynamic obstruction defined by two- and three-dimensional echocardiographic and Doppler indexes might be suboptimal due to technical difficulties and poor correlation with symptoms [9]. Other important diagnostic tools include cardiac catheterization, treadmill stress testing, and dobutamine stress echocardiography, although their use is limited to specific patient populations [10]. Therefore, aortic valve calcification may be an independent risk factor for adverse clinical outcome in persons with asymptomatic and symptomatic aortic stenosis [11,12]. In this context clinical implementation of cardiac biomarker measurement for risk stratification among patients with aortic stenosis depending lower and higher CV risk would appear to be attractive. Nevertheless, it is not clear how could be combined risk prediction by echocardiography / other cardiac functional status

diagnostic tool with cardiac biomarkers' measurement. The aim of the short communication is summary knowledge regarding clinical perspectives in use of biological markers for risk stratification of the older patients with aortic stenosis.

**Biomarkers and aortic stenosis**

As well known a prominent attribute of aortic stenosis is calcification of valve leaflets / aortic root, accelerated atherothrombosis, endothelial dysfunction, and low-grading inflammation. All these factors might contribute in angina, syncope, HF, and worsening of kidney function. Recent pre-clinical, observation, and clinical studies have shown that several biomarkers might have a powerful predictive utility in patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic aortic stenosis, as well as predictors of clinical outcomes after aortic valve implantation (Table 1). Unfortunately, no any head-to-head studies to compare cardiac biomarkers measurement and echocardiography and yet this is a powerful limitation for scientifically discussion around advantages of cardiac biomarkers in older aortic stenosis individuals, whereas there are perspectives of continuing investigations toward this direction.

**Brain natriuretic peptides**

Natriuretic Peptides (NPs) are recognized as markers of biomechanical cardiac stress that are secreted resulting in stretching cardiac wall / volume overload and they have demonstrated high diagnostic and predictive value for Heart Failure (HF) [13]. Recent clinical studies have shown that Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP) and NT-proBNP levels are elevated in patients with aortic stenosis and decrease acutely after replacement of the stenotic valve including Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) [14]. Moreover, high pre-intervention serum BNP level independently predicted two-year cardiovascular outcomes after TAVI [14]. Indeed, authors reported that patients with high baseline BNP (higher tertile  $\geq 591$  pg/ml) had increased risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted hazard

**Table 1:** Perspective cardiac biomarkers for risk stratification in aortic stenosis patients.

Biomarkers	Source of release	Relation to pathophysiology process	Clinical features
BNPs	Cardiac myocytes	Biomechanical stress, cardiac wall stretching	Prediction of all-cause and CV mortality, sudden death, re-admission in the hospital due to CV reasons, prediction of CV events after TAVI.
Cardiac specific troponins	Cardiac myocytes	Myocardial injury	Prediction of CV events and mortality
miRNAs	Cardiac myocytes	Biomechanical stress, fibrosis, inflammation	Progression of aortic stenosis, vascular remodeling
GDF-15	Activated mononuclears, fibroblasts, cardiomyocytes	Inflammation	Prediction of CV mortality, risk of HF and CV events
sST2	Activated mononuclears, cardiomyocytes	Inflammation	Prediction of CV mortality, risk of HF and CV events
Gal-3	Activated mononuclears, cardiomyocytes	Fibrosis, inflammation	Prediction of all-cause and CV mortality, risk of HF and CV events
FGF-23	Activated mononuclears, fibroblasts	stimulating cardiac hypertrophy, promoting cardiomyocyte growth and release of natriuretic peptides	Prediction of all-cause mortality, CV events, and end-stage of CKD
Matricellular proteins	Activated mononuclears	Ectopic calcification	Prediction of CV events and probably CV mortality

**Abbreviations:** BNP: Brain Natriuretic Peptides; MicroRNA: MiRNA; GDF-15: Growth Differentiation Factor-15; CV: Cardiovascular; Gal-3: Galectin-3; FGF-23: Fibroblast Growth Factor-23; TAVI: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; HF: Heart Failure

ratio 3.16, 95% confidence interval 1.84 to 5.42;  $p < 0.001$ ) and cardiovascular death at 2 years (adjusted hazard ratio 3.37, 95% confidence interval 1.78 to 6.39;  $p < 0.001$ ). Outcomes were most unfavorable in patients with persistently high BNP before and after intervention. Comparing the two biomarkers, NT-pro-BNP levels measured after TAVI showed the highest prognostic discrimination for 2-year mortality (area under the curve 0.75;  $p < 0.01$ ). Therefore, BNP and NT-proBNP levels have shown a correlation with outcomes in studies of aortic valve surgery [15]. Overall, in older patients with aortic stenosis beyond clinical presentation elevated plasma BNP is the strongest independent predictor of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality [16,17].

### Signature of microRNAs

MicroRNA (miRNA) is short non-coding RNAs that play a pivotal role in posttranscriptional regulation of target cell function. It has been found that miRNA-21-5p has elevated in patients with aortic stenosis without presentation of presence of coexisting Coronary Artery Disease (CAD). In contrast, increased serum concentrations of miR-22-3p, miR-24-3p, miR-382-5p, and miR-451a were not detected. Despite miRNA-21-5p appear to be promised as biomarker of aortic stenosis, there are more evidences regarding clinically significance of miRNA signature refinement in prediction of aortic stenosis evolution [18].

### Growth differentiation factor-15

Growth Differentiation Factor-15 (GDF-15) is secreted cytokine belonging to the TGF- $\beta$  super-family that highly expressed in states of inflammatory stress. GDF-15 may play a critical role in the atherosclerotic process in various vascular beds, starting from endothelial dysfunction and counting all stages of plaque development, as well as in ectopic calcification and aortic stenosis [19]. Elevated GDF-15 was found in older patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic moderate-to-severe aortic stenosis. Recent clinical study revealed that GDF-15 was superior to NT-proBNP for TAVI risk stratification and provided additional prognostic information in aortic stenosis [20].

### High-sensitivity soluble toll-like receptor-2

Soluble ST2 (sST2) is receptor for cytokine IL-33 that is up-

regulated in response to myocardial stress and exerts cardio-protective actions in the myocardium by reducing fibrosis, hypertrophy and enhancing survival. Recent clinical trials have shown that sST2 concentrations are strongly predictive of death, regardless of the cause and Left Ventricle (LV) ejection fraction, and contribute relevant information in addition to other prognosticators and biomarkers, as natriuretic peptides or cardiac troponins [21]. Current clinical guidelines have been recommended a measurement of sST2 as useful biomarker for death risk stratification and prognosis prediction in HF patients beyond other CV risk factors, NYHA functional class, LVEF, and renal function [22,23]. Elevated level of sST2 has defined in individuals with aortic stenosis irrespective etiology and coronary artery disease presentation [24]. Although both BNP and sST2 were associated with NYHA class but sST2 ( $> 23$  ng/mL, AUC = 0.68,  $p < 0.01$ ) was more accurate to identify asymptomatic patients or those who developed symptoms during follow-up. Furthermore, sST2 was independently related to left atrial index ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and aortic valve area ( $p = 0.004$ ; model  $R^2 = 0.32$ ). Using multivariable analysis authors have found that peak aortic jet velocity (HR = 2.7,  $p = 0.007$ ) and sST2 level (HR = 1.04,  $p = 0.03$ ) were independent predictors of CV events and that sST2 levels have provided complementary information regarding symptomatic status, new onset HF symptoms and outcome in older aortic stenosis patients [24]. Lindman et al. [25] reported that three biomarkers, i.e. NT-proBNP, GDF15, and sST2, were elevated in older patients with aortic stenosis and closely associated with one-year mortality. Interestingly, the association between a greater number of elevated cardiac biomarkers and increased mortality after valve replacement was similar in the transcatheter and surgical aortic valve replacement populations. Authors concluded that the potential utility of multiple biomarkers to aid in risk stratification of older patients with aortic stenosis. Overall, sST2 is promised biomarker for risk stratification in older adults with aortic stenosis beyond traditional CV risk factors.

### High sensitive cardiac specific troponins

Cardiac troponins are urgent biomarkers of myocardial injury and they are currently recommended to use for both diagnostic and prognostic purposes in acute coronary syndrome. The patterns of temporal change in highly-sensitivity troponin-T (hs-cTnT) may reflect a subclinical myocardial injury that is suitable for

cardiomyopathy, heart failure and stable coronary artery disease. Therefore, mild elevated level of highly-sensitivity circulating cardiac troponins was found in untreated symptomatic aortic stenosis patients independently of traditional CV risk factors unless myocardial infarction. Moreover, high sensitive troponin T can be useful biomarkers to predict the occurrence of heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and death after aortic valve replacement surgery [26]. However, the predictive role of hs-cTnT in older adults with asymptomatic aortic stenosis is not clear yet.

### Fibroblast growth factor-23

Fibroblast Growth Factor-23 (FGF-23) is phosphate-regulating 251-amino-acid protein secreted by osteocytes and regulates mineral metabolism and inflammatory response [27]. FGF-23 increases urinary phosphorus excretion by decreasing phosphorus re-absorption in the proximal tubule of nephron and inhibits 1.25-dihydroxyvitamin D synthesis, resulting in decreased dietary phosphorus absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, biological role of FGF-23 affects a secretion of parathyroid hormone [28,29]. The exactly mechanisms leading to increased FGF-23 are not fully investigated. There are evidences that hypoxia and ischemia through unknown mechanisms may induce FGF-23 over production. It is suggested that FGF-23 may effect on target cells directly and through FGF-23-related effects. In animal models FGF-23 directly stimulated left ventricular hypertrophy by activating hypertrophic gene programs, promoting cardiomyocyte growth, and stimulating the release of natriuretic peptides [30,31]. FGF-23 also inhibits 1.25-dihydroxy vitamin D via effects on CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 enzymes, stimulates the renin-angiotensin system via binding with Klotho, which is a key cofactor for FGF-23 [29]. Although elevated intact FGF-23 was found in aortic stenosis patients with heart failure, the role of this biomarker in risk stratification of the patients is not fully understood. Probably, FGF-23 could help to stratify the older adults with aortic stenosis beyond classical CV risk factors and individualize the medical care.

### Galectin-3

Galectin-3 is a soluble beta galactoside-binding lectin produced by activated macrophages which binds and activates the fibroblasts [32]. The main biological role of galectin-3, as reported, is modulation of biological recognition processes, regulation of fibroblast proliferation and matrix synthesis that lead to fibrosis and extracellular remodeling. Results of recent studies have reported that galectin-3 is a biomarker that mediates an important link between inflammation and fibrosis, which play a pivotal role in CV remodeling [33]. The pathogenetic role of galectin-3 in the several setting of pressure overload, neuro-endocrine activation, hypertension, coronary artery disease / myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, and HF has strongly established [34-36].

Galectin-3 has emerged a predictive value for the onset of HF in apparently healthy patients and has been found being surrogate marker of a worse prognosis, mortality and re-admission in HF [22,23]. The results of the sub-study of COACH (The Coordinating Study Evaluating Outcomes of Advising and Counseling in Heart Failure) trial have shown that only galectin-3 was significantly associated with the absence of CV events at 180 days in patients with HF at low risk for death or HF re-hospitalization [37]. Therefore, authors indicated that this biomarker demonstrated an incremental

value when added to the clinical risk model without NT-proBNP [37]. Overall, galectin-3 is obviously powerful prognosticator of death and re-hospitalization in HF patients at discharge from the hospital and in generally population individuals at higher risk of HF development.

### Matricellular proteins

Matricellular proteins belong to family of multifunctional growth factors that are main components of the extracellular matrix which regulate bone developing, vascular remodeling, and tissue regeneration [38]. Although matricellular proteins (osteopontin, osteoprotegerin, osteonectin, thrombospondin) are surrogate biomarkers of vascular calcification and endothelia dysfunction in coronary artery disease, diabetes, obesity, atherosclerosis, dyslipidemia [39-41], the predictive role of these biomarkers in persons with aortic stenosis are still not understood because evidences are limited [42]. It has suggested that over production of matricellular proteins in aortic stenosis and CV diseases may consider as response to prevent vascular calcification [42]. However, the interrelation between CV mortality and circulating level of matricellular proteins in aortic stenosis in older adults is needed to be established.

### Limitations of previous studies

Recent studies have shown that older adults acutely hospitalized are at risk of disability and mortality [43]. Although presence of significant abnormalities in transthoracic two-D echocardiography is closely associated with mortality in symptomatic aortic stenosis individuals, asymptomatic older adults with aortic stenosis that is represented in 16% of all geriatric individuals might require other approaches including another method of visualization and / biomarker strategy assay [44]. However, there are not evidence exists that gait speed is optimal to test in older adults with aortic stenosis, whereas two-D echocardiography is the primary imaging modality that is used in clinical practice to assess the aortic valve morphology, the severity of aortic valve disease, and its repercussions on left ventricular function and aortic circulation [45]. Three-D echocardiography, dobutamine stress echocardiography and, more recently, aortic valve calcium scoring by multidetector computed tomography have been shown to be useful to confirm stenosis severity in the challenging subsets of patients with low-flow, low-gradient of aortic stenosis, despite interpretation of obtained results in older adults with aortic stenosis and multiple comorbidities might be limited. Conventional pre- and perioperative surgical risk scores lack accuracy in risk stratification of older adults undergoing TAVI. Indeed, advanced age is a leading barrier to surgical intervention in elderly patients. Based on previous evidence, the peri-operative TAVI mortality rate which is found to increase with age from 1.3% in patients  $\leq$  70 years old, to about 5% at age 80-85 years, and 10% in patients  $\geq$  90 years old [46]. Practically, it has been deemed that age is not definitely predictor of poor clinical outcomes of TAVI, and those patients usually enjoy the same survival rates within their age and gender matched population [47]. Indeed, relative survival rates excluding early deaths after 5, 10 and 15 years within aortic valve replacement were 94.6%, 84.7% and 74.9%, respectively [47]. In this context, more exactly assay of the risk of asymptomatic and symptomatic older adults with aortic stenosis is required. Current data support hypothesis regarding use of hemodynamic parameters as sensitive predictors in symptomatic patients. Probably, using of cardiac biomarkers might extend our knowledge about CV risk in asymptomatic persons, whereas

asymptomatic older patients are at higher CV risk and require rather cardiac functional status determining to enroll them in the group for further aortic valve replacement procedure. On the other hand, in older adults with aortic stenosis adding new biomarkers could increase the discriminative value of contemporary non-invasive hemodynamic characteristics to predict CV complications before non-cardiac surgery and performing of aortic valve replacement within long-term period. Indeed, the rationale for obtaining a preoperative and / or postoperative biomarker levels might have the utility when having a baseline Echo / Doppler parameters and functional cardiac status are abnormal, but there were not enough data to clinical decision making. Here one cannot discuss about pretreatment in this patient population, while the perspective of cardiac biomarkers utility might be determined in future. The next limitation of cardiac biomarker implementation is being low value care for older adults that might reduce the so-called clinical utility. It has suggested that the cardiac biomarkers are to be used in the specific contexts in high-income countries. This assumption requires more investigations using head-to-head comparison biomarkers with echo / Doppler parameters, as well as patient clinical status. Overall, cardiac biomarker release signifying myocardial injury post-TAVI is common, yet its clinical impact within large TAVI cohort older persons receiving differing types of valve and procedural approaches is unknown.

### Directions for further studies

Current clinical recommendations have reported that imaging modalities are essential for the staging and management of aortic valve disease in older adults. Because there are a large body of evidence regarding elevated brain natriuretic peptide levels as predictive biomarkers in symptomatic aortic stenosis older adults after TAVI, one might resume that serial measurements of BNP and other markers of biomechanical stress, inflammation, cardiac injury, could be useful for risk stratification of the older adults enrolled for TAVI. Moreover, cardiac biomarkers may probably have utility in risk stratification of asymptomatic individuals with aortic stenosis when closely corresponding between two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic parameters and clinical outcomes are absent. Other modalities such as biomarkers for the assessment of myocardial fibrosis, cardiac injury or inflammation might be promising to predict aortic stenosis progression and related outcomes in older individuals, but further research is necessary before implementation of these modalities into clinical practice.

### Conclusion

Two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography's remain to be key tool for the evaluation and monitoring of aortic stenosis. Although there is no consensus on the prognostic value, sensitivity, and specificity of biomarkers to stratify older patients with aortic stenosis at risk, BNP / NT-proBNP, galectin-3, sST2 appear to be promising predictors of C death and clinical outcomes in this population. Whether combined biomarker approach might have an impact on clinical decision-making for risk stratification in aortic stenosis older adults is still not understood and requires further investigations.

### References

- Bhattacharyya S, Hayward C, Pepper J, Senior R. Risk stratification in asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis: a critical appraisal. *Eur Heart J*. 2012; 33: 2377-2387.
- Rosenhek R. [Aortic stenosis]. *Rev Prat*. 2009; 59: 178-181.
- Brown ML, Pellikka PA, Schaff HV, Scott CG, Mullany CJ, Sundt TM, et al. The benefits of early valve replacement in asymptomatic patients with severe aortic stenosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2008; 135: 308-315.
- Lancellotti P, Donal E, Magne J, Moonen M, O'Connor K, Daubert JC, et al. Risk stratification in asymptomatic moderate to severe aortic stenosis: the importance of the valvular, arterial and ventricular interplay. *Heart*. 2010; 96: 1364-1371.
- Mandorla S. [Asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis: always surgical treatment? The opinion of the cardiologist]. *Ital Heart J Suppl*. 2001; 2: 1231-1235.
- Avakian SD, Grinberg M, Ramires JA, Mansur AP. Outcome of adults with asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2008; 123: 322-327.
- Alborino D, Hoffmann JL, Fournet PC, Bloch A. Value of exercise testing to evaluate the indication for surgery in asymptomatic patients with valvular aortic stenosis. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 2002; 11: 204-209.
- Lancellotti P, Lebois F, Simon M, Tombeux C, Chauvel C, Pierard LA. Prognostic importance of quantitative exercise Doppler echocardiography in asymptomatic valvular aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 2005; 112: 1377-382.
- Sciomer S, Badagliacca R, Vizza CD, Fedele F. [Echocardiography in aortic stenosis: new insights into challenging scenarios]. *Ital Heart J Suppl*. 2004; 5: 457-465.
- Yeo KK, Low RI. Aortic stenosis: assessment of the patient at risk. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2007; 20: 509-516.
- Feuchtner GM, Müller S, Grander W, Alber HF, Bartel T, Friedrich GJ, et al. Aortic valve calcification as quantified with multislice computed tomography predicts short-term clinical outcome in patients with asymptomatic aortic stenosis. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 2006; 15: 494-498.
- Rosenhek R, Binder T, Porenta G, Lang I, Christ G, Schemper M, et al. Predictors of outcome in severe, asymptomatic aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2000; 343: 611-617.
- Berezin AE. Utility of Biomarkers in Contemporary Management of Chronic Heart Failure. *Annals of Clinical and Laboratory Research*. 2015; 3: 16-28
- Koskinas KC, O'Sullivan CJ, Heg D, Praz F, Stortecky S, Pilgrim T, et al. Effect of B-type natriuretic peptides on long-term outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015; 116: 1560-1565.
- O'Neill BP, Guerrero M, Thourani VH, Kodali S, Heldman A, Williams M, et al. Prognostic value of serial B-type natriuretic peptide measurement in transcatheter aortic valve replacement (from the PARTNER Trial). *Am J Cardiol*. 2015; 115: 1265-1272.
- Gotzmann M, Czuderna A, Aweimer A, Hehnen T, Bösche L, Lind A, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide is a strong independent predictor of long-term outcome after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 2014; 23: 537-544.
- Iwahashi N, Nakatani S, Umemura S, Kimura K, Kitakaze M. Usefulness of plasma B-type natriuretic peptide in the assessment of disease severity and prediction of outcome after aortic valve replacement in patients with severe aortic stenosis. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2011; 24: 984-991.
- Coffey S, Williams MJ, Phillips LV, Jones GT. Circulating microRNA Profiling Needs Further Refinement Before Clinical Use in Patients With Aortic Stenosis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015; 4: e002150.
- Vavuranakis M, Kariori M, Vrachatis D, Siasos G, Kalogeris K, Bei E, et al. Novel Inflammatory Indices in Aortic Disease. *Curr Med Chem*. 2015; 22: 2762-2772.
- Krau NC, Lünstedt NS, Freitag-Wolf S, Brehm D, Petzina R, Lutter G, et al. Elevated growth differentiation factor 15 levels predict outcome in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2015; 17: 945-955.
- Pascual-Figal DA, Lax A, Perez-Martinez MT, Del Carmen Asensio-Lopez M, Sanchez-Mas J. GREAT Network. Clinical relevance of sST2 in cardiac diseases. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2016; 54: 29-35.

22. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Böhm M, Dickstein K, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2012; 14: 803-869
23. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Drazner MH, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62:e147-e239
24. Lancellotti P, Dulgheru R, Magne J, Henri C, Servais L, Bouznad N, et al. Elevated Plasma Soluble ST2 Is Associated with Heart Failure Symptoms and Outcome in Aortic Stenosis. *PLoS One.* 2015; 10: e0138940.
25. Lindman BR, Breyley JG, Schilling JD, Vatterott AM, Zajarías A, Maniar HS, et al. Prognostic utility of novel biomarkers of cardiovascular stress in patients with aortic stenosis undergoing valve replacement. *Heart.* 2015; 101: 1382-1388.
26. Lahoz-Tornos Á, Vilchez-Aguilera JA, Hernandez-Romero D, Romero-Aniorte AI, Orenes-Piñero E, Jara-Rubio R, et al. HDL cholesterol and high-sensitive troponin T as predictive biomarkers of atrial fibrillation after heart surgery. *Arch Cardiol Mex.* 2015; 85: 111-117.
27. Shimada T, Hasegawa H, Yamazaki Y, Muto T, Hino R, Takeuchi Y, et al. FGF-23 is a potent regulator of vitamin D metabolism and phosphate homeostasis. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2004; 19: 429-435.
28. Nilsson IL, Norenstedt S, Granath F, Zedenius J, Pernow Y, Larsson TE. FGF23, metabolic risk factors, and blood pressure in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism undergoing parathyroid adenomectomy. *Surgery.* 2016; 159: 211-217.
29. Gutierrez O, Isakova T, Rhee E, Shah A, Holmes J, Collerone G, et al. Fibroblast growth factor-23 mitigates hyperphosphatemia but accentuates calcitriol deficiency in chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005; 16: 2205-2215.
30. Faul C, Amaral AP, Oskoueï B, Hu MC, Sloan A, Isakova T, et al. FGF23 induces left ventricular hypertrophy. *J Clin Invest.* 2011; 121: 4393-4408.
31. Donate-Correa J, Mora-Fernández C, Martínez-Sanz R, Muros-de-Fuentes M, Pérez H, Meneses-Pérez B, et al. Expression of FGF23/KLOTHO system in human vascular tissue. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013; 165: 179-183.
32. Lala RI, Puschita M, Darabantiu D, Pilat L. Galectin-3 in heart failure pathology--"another brick in the wall"? *Acta Cardiol.* 2015; 70: 323-331.
33. Shah KS, Maisel AS. Novel biomarkers in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Heart Fail Clin.* 2014; 10: 471-479.
34. Lepojärvi ES, Piira OP, Pääkkö E, Lamentausta E, Risteli J, Miettinen JA, et al. Serum PINP, PIIINP, galectin-3, and ST2 as surrogates of myocardial fibrosis and echocardiographic left ventricular diastolic filling properties. *Front Physiol.* 2015; 6: 200.
35. De Boer RA, Daniels LB, Maisel AS, Januzzi JL. State of the Art: Newer biomarkers in heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015; 17: 559-569.
36. Gurel OM, Yilmaz H, Celik TH, Cakmak M, Namuslu M, Bilgiç AM, et al. Galectin-3 as a new biomarker of diastolic dysfunction in hemodialysis patients. *Herz.* 2015; 40: 788-794.
37. Meijers WC, De Boer RA, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Jaarsma T, Hillege HL, Maisel AS, et al. Biomarkers and low risk in heart failure. Data from COACH and TRIUMPH. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015; 17: 1271-1282.
38. Alford AI, Hankenson KD. Matricellular proteins: Extracellular modulators of bone development, remodeling, and regeneration. *Bone.* 2006; 38: 749-757.
39. Berezin AE, Kremzer AA. Circulating osteonectin as predictive biomarker in patients with ischemic symptomatic chronic heart failure. *International Cardiovascular Research Journal.* 2015; 9: 203-209.
40. Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Samura TA. Circulating thrombospondine-2 in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic heart failure due to coronary artery disease. *J Biomed Res.* 2015; 30.
41. Berezin AE. Vascular Remodelling and Cardiovascular Outcomes. Predictive Role of Matricellular Proteins. *Autoimmune Dis Ther Approaches.* 2015; 2: 115-129.
42. Frangogiannis NG. Matricellular proteins in cardiac adaptation and disease. *Physiol Rev.* 2012; 92: 635-688.
43. Buurman BM, Parlevliet JL, Allore HG, Blok W, Van Deelen BA, Moll van Charante EP, et al. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment and Transitional Care in Acutely Hospitalized Patients: The Transitional Care Bridge Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016; 176: 302-309.
44. Martínez-Sellés M, García de la Villa B, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Vidán MT, Gil P, Cornide L, et al. Centenarians and their hearts: A prospective registry with comprehensive geriatric assessment, electrocardiogram, echocardiography, and follow-up. *Am Heart J.* 2015; 169: 798-805.
45. Capoulade R, Pibarot P. Assessment of Aortic Valve Disease: Role of Imaging Modalities. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med.* 2015; 17: 49.
46. Sawaya F, Stewart J, Babaliaros V. Aortic stenosis: Who should undergo surgery, transcatheter valve replacement? *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2012; 79: 487-497.
47. Kvidal P, Bergström R, Hörte LG, Ståhle E. Observed and relative survival after aortic valve replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2000; 35: 747-756.