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Abstract

This study investigates the inputs of total and available metals from biosolid-amended soil, as well as their accumulation in tomato plants (Solanum lycopersicum Mill.) and subsequent translocation. A greenhouse study with tomato seedlings grown in soil amended with two organic waste types (anaerobically digested thermal drying sludge and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost) was conducted. From an environmental viewpoint, the potential risk of metal uptake by crops must be considered. The results indicated significant increases in Cd, Cu and Zn in soil, even though their available fraction was not modified with Cu and Zn, and the Cd fraction significantly decreased. Roots showed the highest metal concentrations, but the differences between the plants grown in the biosolid-amended or control soils were not always significant. The root system acted as a barrier for Cr, Ni and Pb. No significant variations in the concentrations of the metals in the tomatoes grown in biosolid-amended or control soils were observed. Soil-to-plant metals transfer was in this order: Cu (1.14-2.85) > Cd (1.33-2.17) > Zn (1.4-1.48). The highest and lowest BAFs were observed in roots and tomatoes, respectively. According to the result obtained in the translocation and bioaccumulation factors, Cd was the heavy metal that indicated the greatest mobility from soil. The canonical correlation analysis proved a highly significant relationship in the soil/plant system, which was strongly and positively related with Cd, Cu and Zn. Biomass production was similar regardless of treatment, but some differences were found for aerial plant parts as regards metal accumulation, whereas the metal levels in tomatoes were negligible for all treatments.
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Introduction

The tenth position of EU Member States in waste material production in 2012 has been report for Spain (463 kg per capita, below the European average of 487 kg per capita) [1]. This waste material can be deposited in landfills (63%), or can be recycled (17%), incinerated (9%) or managed as compost (10%) for agricultural purposes. Biosolids are the by-product of municipal wastewater treatment and also are known as sewage sludge. There are several biosolids management options, but spreading it on land has considerably increased and reached 70% from 2005 to 2010. European legislation considers that biosolids, containing nutrient-rich organic materials, may substantially benefit from climate change given their action on carbon sequestration by reducing CO₂ and atmospheric pollutant emissions [2]. Lou and Nair (2009) [3] estimated that approximately 50 kg of C (183 kg of CO₂) may be sequestered per ton of wet compost. Nonetheless, the ultimate benefits of recycling prove to be a more sustainable economy [4], not to mention the possibility of reducing chemical fertilizers [5]. Sewage sludge and Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) compost can be used beneficially on land as a soil conditioner and fertilizer. Due to contamination with pollutants, the application of sewage sludge requires having to know about trace element contents in soils. The main sources of metal input in agricultural soils include the use of sewage sludge composts [6], mineral fertilizers [7], MSW compost [8] and recycled waters for irrigation [9,10], which are of particular concern given the potential environmental risk they pose. The non-systematic use of biosolids to improve agricultural yields without considering possible negative effects might become a major concern. It should also be emphasized that high levels of metals in soils could pose a risk for consumers as a result of their toxicity, transfer and bioaccumulation through the food chain [11,12]. Distribution of metals in plants by considering primary factors for translocation and bioaccumulation, which involve the plant-soil interaction under similar environmental conditions, has been contemplated [13-18]. However, these primary factors express only the first-level comparison; i.e biogeochemical comparison of different media (plant and soil) is made in one place. So in order to integrate information about metal concentration into different media or plant parts, and to compare the process between control and treated samples, second-level factors (dynamic factors) are needed [19]. According to [20], this approach includes the influence of the environment on metal uptake (external factors) and translocation in crops grown at contaminated sites (internal factors).

Biosolid soil amendments on agricultural soils usually increase metals in plant tissues [21]. Many studies have been conducted about metal uptake in crops (wheat, tomatoes, strawberries, maize and squash) after biosolid amendments [10,16,22,23], but data on the
translocation and bioaccumulation of metals on different tomato plant parts after being grown in sewage sludge- or MSW compost-amended soils are relatively scarce. In the present work, a greenhouse experiment was conducted in loamy sand soil amended with different organic wastes, anaerobically digested thermal drying sludge and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost, with tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum L.) seedlings. Tomato was selected for this study with a view to stating its food safety implication for human consumption. This work focused on these objectives: 1) establishing the likelihood of total and available metals in soil; 2) determining the ability of metal uptake, translocation and distribution of metals from soil to other tomato plant parts (root, stem, leaf and fruit).

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

A greenhouse experiment, with tomato seedlings, was carried out from 28 May to 12 November 2012. It was performed following a randomised design with eight replications that involved three treatments, non-amended soil (control), thermally digested drying sludge (W-A)-amended soil (Treatment-A, [T-A]) and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost W-B (Treatment-B, [T-B]) Table 4, in flexible plastic pots (0.108 m², 26 L capacity) with loamy sand soil. Each pot was filled with 27 kg of control soil or with 27 kg of soil mixed with waste (W-A or W-B) in order to reach the selected application rate (150 kg N ha⁻¹). The waste application rate was calculated by considering tomato plants’ N requirement, which never exceeded the levels set out in Directive 91/676/EEC on the contribution of nitrogen fertilizers. The application rate was covered by adding 192 g (W-A) or 629 g wet weight (W-B) to each pot with the likelihood of total and available metals in soil; 2) determining the ability of metal uptake, translocation and distribution of metals from soil to other tomato plant parts (root, stem, leaf and fruit).

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

A greenhouse experiment, with tomato seedlings, was carried out from 28 May to 12 November 2012. It was performed following a randomised design with eight replications that involved three treatments, non-amended soil (control), thermally digested drying sludge (W-A)-amended soil (Treatment-A, [T-A]) and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost W-B (Treatment-B, [T-B]) Table 4, in flexible plastic pots (0.108 m², 26 L capacity) with loamy sand soil. Each pot was filled with 27 kg of control soil or with 27 kg of soil mixed with waste (W-A or W-B) in order to reach the selected application rate (150 kg N ha⁻¹). The waste application rate was calculated by considering tomato plants’ N requirement, which never exceeded the levels set out in Directive 91/676/EEC on the contribution of nitrogen fertilizers. The application rate was covered by adding 192 g (W-A) or 629 g wet weight (W-B) to each pot with 27 kg of the control soil. The non-amended soil was fertilized with 33 g pot⁻¹ of a commercial fertilizer (N: P: K, 15:15:15; Fertiberia, Spain). Previously, tomato seeds, kindly supplied by the Spanish office of Plant Varieties, were sown in May. After 2 weeks the obtained seedlings were placed in the 24 pots which constituted the experiment (3 seedlings / treatment / pot) in soil, which was previously watered for conditioning purposes. Flowering began late in July, the first fruits appeared in October, and fruits were harvested daily until mid-November. While the experiment lasted, all the pots were watered to maintain moisture close to 60% of the water-holding capacity. Finally in mid-November, all the unripe tomatoes were harvested. The tomato plants were divided into roots, stems, leaves and tomatoes. Soil samples and plants were collected for metal analyses.

Metal concentrations in substrates and plant material

Soil samples were subjected to microwave acid digestion (ETHOS SEL Model Milestone, Monroe, CT, USA). Thus the digested samples were analysed for total metal contents (Cd, Cu, Cr, Ni, Pb and Zn) by U.S. EPA Method 3051 [24].This method involves very strong acid digestion that dissolves almost all the elements that could become “environmentally available” [25]. Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy [FAAS] or Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy [GF-AAS], equipped with Zeeman-effect background correction and an AS 800 auto-sampler [Perkin Elmer, Shelton, CT 06484-4794 USA]), was used to establish metal concentrations. The extractable metal contents (bioavailable) in the samples were analysed according to [26] using DTPA (diethylenetriaminepenta-acetic acid) solution (0.005 M EDTA + 0.01 M CaCl₂ + 0.1 M TEA, pH 7.3). Mercury analyses were done in a direct Hg analyzer (DMA-80, atomic absorption spectrophotometer, Milestone, Wesleyan University Middletown, CT, USA) following EPA Method 7473 [27], validated for solid and liquid matrices. Collected plant samples (roots, stems, leaves and tomatoes) were washed with ethylene-diamine-tetra-acidic acid (0.02 M) and MQ water to remove soil particles. They were oven-dried (70°C), weighed and finally lyophilized. For the metal analysis, samples (≈300 mg) were digested in 8 mL HNO₃: water (1:1) in a laboratory microwave following the above-described procedure for soil samples; after cooling, the volume was made up to 25 ml. The total metal analysis was done by the atomic absorption spectrometry technique. Calcareous loam soil (BCR-141-R) and olive leaves (Olea europaea) (BCR-062), obtained from the European Commission Community Bureau of Reference, were used to check the accuracy and precision of the measurements, and to validate the applied methods for the metal analysis in soil and plants. For the soil and olive leaves samples, recoveries of metals ranged from 93% to 107% and from 100% to 103%, respectively.

Translocation and bioaccumulation of metals in plant parts

The ability of plants to translocate metals from roots to other plant parts is measured using primary translocation factors (TFp), defined as the ratio of the metal concentration in different plant parts (stems, leaves, tomatoes) to roots [14,16]. Dynamic translocation factors (Tdynp) were calculated following the equation proposed by [19]:

\[
TF_{dyn} = \left( \frac{C_{treated\ tissue}}{C_{treated\ root}} \right) \times \left( \frac{C_{control\ root}}{C_{control\ tissue}} \right)
\]

Where \(C_{treated\ tissue}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the tissue plant grown in treated soil;

\(C_{treated\ root}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the plant root grown in treated soil;

\(C_{control\ root}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the plant root grown in the control soil;

\(C_{control\ tissue}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the plant tissue grown in the control soil.

The primary bioaccumulation factor (BAFp) is defined as the ratio between the metal tissue concentration and the metal concentration in soil. To gain a better understanding of the environmental and physiological parameters involved in metal bioaccumulation, [19] suggested using dynamic BAFs (BAFsdyn) following this equation:

\[
BAF_{dyn} = \left( \frac{C_{plant\ treated}}{C_{soil\ treated}} \right) \times \left( \frac{C_{soil\ control}}{C_{plant\ control}} \right)
\]

where \(C_{plant\ treated}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the whole plant (roots + stems + leaves + tomatoes) grown in the treated soil;

\(C_{soil\ treated}\) is the concentration of metal (i) in the treated soil;

\(C_{soil\ control}\) is metal concentration (i) in the control soil;

\(C_{plant\ control}\) is metal concentration (i) in the whole plant grown in the control soil.

Statistical analyses

The significance of the differences in the metal concentrations in the various tomato plant parts, and in the soil total metal concentrations, for the different soil treatments, was evaluated. Differences between groups were determined by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A non-parametric analysis (Kruskal-Wallis) was done to evaluate the differences between the metal concentrations in the different tomato plants parts grown in the control and treated soils. Multivariate linear equations were established to describe the total amount of the various heavy metals in tomato by the forced
Table 1: Physico-chemical characterization of control soil. Metal concentrations in soil and biosolids: the anaerobically digested thermal drying sludge (W-A) and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost (W-B) used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control soil</th>
<th>W-A</th>
<th>W-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (1:10) at 25 °C (dSm⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic C (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO₃ (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NH₄ (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td>1214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Kjeldahl (%)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable P (%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable K (%)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃, equiv. (%)</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (%)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand-0.05&lt;D&lt;2 mm (%)</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt-0.002&lt;D&lt;0.02 (%)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt-0.02&lt;D&lt;0.05 (%)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay-0.002 mm (%)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Type (USDA)</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>24.86</td>
<td>149.64</td>
<td>25.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>188.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>80.82</td>
<td>21.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>57.24</td>
<td>54.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biomass production

Total biomass production (stems, leaves and tomatoes), as well as the individual weights and percentages of ripe and unripe tomatoes, are shown in Table 1. A significant (p<0.05) increase in biomass (stem and leaves) was observed in the plants grown in the treated (T-A) soil compared to that in the control soil. The percentages of ripe tomatoes (30-32%) and unripe tomatoes (67-70%) were similar regardless of treatment; the fresh weight of the ripe tomatoes grown in the treated (T-B) soil significantly (p<0.05) dropped compared to those grown in the control or treated (T-A) soil, while the unripe tomatoes obtained similar weights for all treatments.

Results

Soil and biosolids characterization

The physico-chemical characterization of the control soil and biosolids (anaerobically digested thermal drying sludge (W-A) and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost (W-B)) used in this study are shown in Table 2. The C/N ratio in the solid phase of both wastes, lower than 20 (6.70 and 15.90 for W-A and W-B, respectively), can only be considered a mandatory, but insufficient condition for compost maturity. However, other parameters, such as organic matter content (60% for W-A and 25% for W-B) and absence of toxicity observed in tomato plants during the experiment, may help explain the maturity of biosolids. Total metals were found in the following order: Zn > Cr > Ni = Pb > Cu > Cd > Hg. Table 3 shows the heavy metal concentrations in the control and treated soils at the end of the experiment. The percentages of the bioavailable (DTPA-extractable) metals in soils were in this order: Cd [28-47%] > Cu = Zn [20-30%] > Pb [14-20%] > Ni [2-4%] > Cr [0.02-0.03%].

Heavy metals in tomato plants

Figure 1 summarizes the total metal concentrations in the various tomato plant parts in the harvesting stage; the figures correspond to the mean and standard deviation of the mean (n=8). Metals followed two different patterns: (i) for Cd, Cu, and Zn, distribution took place from roots to different plant parts; (ii) Cr, Ni, Pb and Hg were strongly retained in the root system. The range of concentrations (mg/kg d.w.) for each metal was in the following order: Zn [23-103] > Cu [4.40-16.74] > Cr [1.03-1.78] > Ni [0.79-1.27] > Pb [0.58-0.70] > Cd [0.02-0.18] > Hg [0.01-0.07]. The highest levels in leaves were Cd (followed by stems> roots> tomato) and Cu (followed by roots> tomato). Stems had the highest Zn concentrations (followed by roots> leaves > tomato). On the contrary, Cr, Ni and Pb were detected only in the root system. Mercury concentrations in roots were negligible (<0.02 mg kg⁻¹) for all treatments. Tomatoes showed the lowest metal concentrations for Cd, Cu and Zn, regardless of treatment. Cr, Ni, Pb and Hg were never detected.

Translocation and bioaccumulation factors in tomato plants

The primary and dynamic translocation factors (TFp and TFdyn) of metals from roots to stems, and from stems to leaves and tomatoes are shown in Table 3. As Cr, Ni and Pb were lacking in stems, leaves and tomatoes, it was not possible to calculate the corresponding TFs. The TFp_max for Zn and Cd >1 indicated that the metal concentration in stems was higher than in roots as a result of the mobilisation of these metals. When dynamic factors were considered, the mobilisation...
of Cu with TF_{dynamics/roots} >1 was observed. From stems to leaves (TF_{dynamics/leaves}), the mobilisation of Cd and Cu took place regardless of treatment. However for Cd, TF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 showed mobilisation of Cd for the plants grown in the treated (T-A) soil. Finally from stems to tomatoes (TF_{dynamics/leaves}), the mobilisation of Cd and Cu took place regardless of treatment. BAF_{dynamics/roots} >1 was observed only for Zn (T-A soil) and BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was observed only for Zn, Cd and Cu regardless of treatment. BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was observed only for Zn and Cu in the treated (T-B) soil, while BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was found for Zn in the treated (T-B) soil. BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was observed for Cu, Zn and Cd in the control soil, while BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was found for Cu and Zn, but not for Cd, in the treated (T-A and T-B) soils. BAF_{dynamics/leaves} >1 was presented in the treated (T-B) soil for Zn and Cu.

### Heavy metal relationship in soil and tomato plant

Multivariate linear equations were established to describe the total amount of Cd, Cu and Zn in tomatoes by the forced removal method in a regression analysis. All the statistical equations (Table 6) were highly significant (p <0.01). Heavy metals in tomato can be predicted from the coexisting heavy metals in soil (total and bioavailable), roots and stems. In particular, according to the result in the translocation and bioaccumulation factors, Cd was the heavy metal that displayed the greatest mobilisation from soil.

The CCorA analysis (Figure 2) provided the relationships between these heavy metal contents in soil (total and bioavailable), roots or stems, and the heavy metal contents in tomato. The analysis proved highly significant where Factors 1 and 2 represented 85.08%
Table 4: Bioaccumulation Factors (BAFs) of metals in different plant parts of the tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum L.) plants grown in the control and amended soils. BAFs were calculated by considering the available metal fraction in soil, expressed by the mean (n=8). T-A: soil amended with anaerobically digested thermal drying sewage (W-A); T-B: soil amended with anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost (W-B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T-A- amended soil</th>
<th>T-B- amended soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.1131 Root + 0.1261 Stem</td>
<td>0.9372 85.27 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.4227</td>
<td>Total soil + 0.0096 Root + 0.2446 Stem</td>
<td>0.9843 357.5 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cu</td>
<td>1.1004</td>
<td>Soil bioavailable + 0.1049 Stem</td>
<td>0.9789 348.7 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Multivariate linear equations describe associations with in Cd, Cu and Zn in the tomato plants (Solanum Lycopersicum L.) contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Multiple linear regression equation</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.79 T-Fp &lt; 1 Total soil + 0.0906 Root + 0.2446 Stem</td>
<td>0.39 &gt; Cd 0.34 Cd 0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.09 T-Fp &lt; 1 Total soil + 0.0906 Root + 0.2446 Stem</td>
<td>0.42 &gt; Cd 0.22 Cd 0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1.00 T-Fp &lt; 1 Total soil + 0.0906 Root + 0.2446 Stem</td>
<td>0.66 Cd 0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Effects of anaerobically digested thermal drying sewage (W-A) and anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost (W-B) on tomato plants (Solanum Lycopersicum). T-A: soil amended with W-A and T-B: soil amended with W-B. Concentrations are expressed by the meanSD (n=8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T-A- amended soil</th>
<th>T-B- amended soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem (g w.w.)</td>
<td>1.84 ± 3.23</td>
<td>21.80 ± 3.14</td>
<td>19.54 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves (g w.w.)</td>
<td>3.18 ± 5.47</td>
<td>36.39 ± 3.58</td>
<td>33.78 ± 3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of tomatoes</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass (g w.w.)</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>2246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass of ripe tomatoes (g w.w.)</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight of ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>29.86 ± 25.77</td>
<td>22.06 ± 16.08</td>
<td>17.58 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of un-ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass of un-ripe tomatoes (g w.w.)</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight of un-ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>18.53 ± 16.82</td>
<td>16.95 ± 15.67</td>
<td>18.58 ± 15.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of un-ripe tomatoes</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Metals in soil

A single biosolid-soil application in the loamy sand soil used herein increased the total concentrations of Cd, Cu and Zn. A positive and significant increase in the total Cu and Zn [32,33] and Cd [34] concentrations was detected as a result of MSW compost amendments in soil. In the present study, which was conducted in slightly alkaline soil (7.50), the application of biosolids in soil provoked a significant increase in the total Zn concentration in soil, although the available Zn fraction fell within a similar range (22-29%) for all treatments. Numerous studies have shown that soil pH affects Zn availability [35, 19]. Reported that organic waste amendments in soil modify the soil medium by adding organic substances. Consequently, changes in metal binding and mobility can be expected. The extractable fractions in the treated soils constituted [28-47%] of total Cd, [20-30%] of total Cu and Zn, [14-20%] of total Pb, [2-4%] of total Ni and [0.02-0.03%] of total Cr. As seen, the available fraction of most metals in the digested thermal drying sludge-amended soil (T-A) was higher than in the anaerobically digested municipal solid waste compost-amended soil (T-B). This can be understood as revealing evidence for the reduced availability of metals from composted biosolids compared to other sewage sludge types [36]. In this study, the available metal fraction of Cd, Cu and Pb lowered in the soils that received the anaerobically digested municipal waste (T-B), while Ni and Cu increased in the soil treated with anaerobically digested thermal drying sludge (T-A). Different patterns for metal availability in amended soils have been documented; [34] reported an increased Cd concentration, while [37] observed no changes in Ni, Cd and Cr concentrations after applying MSW compost to soil. In the present study, no differences were found for the Cr, Ni and Pb concentrations in soil for any treatment. The available metal content was probably more significant than the total concentration because the former can predict the risk of metal uptake by plants and their mobility in the system [16,38]. Only soluble, exchangeable and chelated metal species in soil are available for plants [39]. In short, the relationships between the total metal concentrations in the biosolid-amended and control soils (0.99-1.8) < 2 for all the metals indicated that, according to [40], soils were not polluted under our experimental conditions.

Accumulation, translocation and bioaccumulation of heavy metals in tomato plants

After a single biosolid-soil amendment, the Zn, Cr and Pb concentrations in the plants did not improve, but statistically lowered for Cd and Ni, while the Cu concentration increased, in the various plant parts. [41] suggested that MSW compost amendments may cause Zn immobilisation in soil, and could result in reduced availability to uptake by plants. [33] found that MSW compost improved Zn accumulation in the root system of ryegrass or red clover. In our study, the highest Zn concentrations were detected in stems > roots > leaves > tomato, and no differences were found in either the control or treated soils. The Cd (0.02-0.03 mg kg⁻¹), Cu (8.12-9.09 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (22-27 mg kg⁻¹) contents of the tomato plants were in accordance with other studies that have examined heavy metal effects on maize plants [16].

of total variance. As expected, Cd in tomato correlated strongly and positively with the Cd bioavailable content in soil and Cd in roots, but was not associated with total Cd in soil and Cd in tomato stems. Zn in tomato was associated with Zn bioavailable content in soil, but did not show any statistical significance with the total Zn content in soil. This behaviour differed from than observed with the Cu levels in tomato. Cu in tomato was associated with total Cu in soil. This result is in accordance with other studies that have examined heavy metal effects on maize plants [16].
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TFdyn = Dynamic Translocation Factor.
TFdyn < 1: Cu 0.79
TFdyn > 1: Zn 1.09

25 mg kg⁻¹ concentrations detected in tomatoes were lower than the maximum levels established in plant material and fruit for human consumption (European Regulation 466/2001 and EC 1881/2006 regulation). Cd, Cu and Zn mobility has been variously associated with tomato in the CCorA and accumulation, translocation and bioaccumulation analyses. The ability of Cd, Cu and Zn mobilisation from soil to plants is revealed according to plant metabolism. Cu and Zn are essential micronutrients for plants. However, Cd is no essential element for plants and is highly labile throughout soil [42]. Understood that Cu and Zn had high translocation factors as they are essential nutrients for plants. Cr, Ni and Pb were detected exclusively in roots. Roots are the most essential organ for nutrient uptake, and their structure and architecture can alter the nutrient uptake rate, especially for those elements that are not essential for plants. We observed no differences in the Cr and Pb concentrations for any treatment. However, Ni in the roots of the plants grown in biosolids-amended soil statistically lowered compared with those grown in the control soil.

Ratios >1 in the primary and dynamic translocation factors from roots to stems (TFₚ,stem/roots), and from stems to leaves (TFₚ,leaves/stem) or tomatoes (TFₚ,tomatoes/stem), is understood as metal mobilization occurring. TFₚ,stem/roots >1 suggested that Zn could effectively be translocated from roots to stems in the plants grown in the control and treated (T-B) soils, and the same was found for Zn and Cd in both the treated (T-A and T-B) soils; TFₚ,leaves/stem also showed that Cu was mobilised from roots to stem of the plants grown in both the biosolid-amended soils. A similar pattern of distribution was observed for TFₚ,tomatoes/stem with a clear mobilisation of Cd and Cu regardless of treatment. However, TFₚ,tomatoes/stem revealed that only Cd was mobilised from stems to leaves in the plants grown in treated (T-A) soil. Singh et al. (2010) also revealed translocation factors > 1 for Cr and Pb in tomato (Solanumlycopersicum L.) plants from fly ash-contaminated areas. The synthesis Cd, Cu and Zn was translocated from roots to stems of the plants grown in both biosolid-amended soils, as revealed by TFₚ,tomatoes/stem >1; however, only Cd and Zn from the stems to leaves and stems...
from tomatoes, respectively, were mobilised in the plants grown in treated (T-A) soil.

When considering BAF, the metals distribution pattern was comparable in roots regardless of treatment, with BAF > 1 for Cu, Cd, Ni and Zn. For all the other aerial parts, stems, leaves and tomatoes, BAF > 1 was observed for Cu, Cd and Zn. In this last case the exception was Cd, which showed BAF < 1 in the tomatoes from the plants grown in biosolid-amended soils. When BAF$_{dyn}$ was measured, Zn accumulation was found only in the roots of the plants grown in treated (T-A) soil, with BAF$_{dyn}$ > 1. Cu and Zn accumulation was observed in the stems and leaves of the plants grown in both the treated (T-A and T-B) soils. The highest and the lowest BAFs were obtained in roots and tomatoes, respectively, which indicate good metal accumulation ability in roots, and scarce translocation from roots to fruits. The main differences between BAFs in roots and other plant parts may result from the metal-binding capacity to roots because metals strongly bind to the compost matrix and organic matter, which thus limits their solubility and potential bioavailability in soil [43]. After considering the metal available fraction in soil, BFA provided much more information than the total metal concentration [16]. So it would be better to offer available metal concentrations in soil in order to gain a better understanding of plants’ real metal uptake ability. There were many discrepancies in the BAFs when compared with the data obtained from other studies, which could be due to differences in available metals in soil, interferences of physico-chemical parameters, and the chemical characterization of sludge in soils [14]. Plant nutrition is a difficult subject to completely understand partly because of the variation between different plants, and even between different species or individuals of a given clone.

**Conclusion**

Biosolids increase Cu and Zn concentrations in amended soil. Metal uptake by plants differs depending on the metal and plant parts in question: Cu increases significantly in the leaves of the plants grown in both the biosolids-amended soils; Cd, Cu and Zn present a translocation ability from roots to different plant parts; fruits and roots always present the lowest and highest metal concentrations, respectively. The root system acts as a barrier for Cr, Ni, Pb and Hg, so metal uptake is poor and causes very low concentrations of these metals in aerial plant parts.

The CCorA proved a highly significant relationship in the soil/plant system that is strongly and positively related with Cd, Cu and Zn. Although the BAFs calculated on the basis of the available metals in soil provide much more information, the total metal concentrations in soil should be complemented with the available metal concentrations. Generally, leaves present the highest concentration for Cd and Cu, while tomatoes have the lowest metal concentrations in all cases. The concentrations of Cd (0.02-0.03 mg kg$^{-1}$), Cu (8.12-9.09 mg kg$^{-1}$) and Zn (22-25 mg kg$^{-1}$) detected in fruits were lower than the maximum levels established in plant materials and fruits for human consumption (European Regulation n° 466/2001 and EC 1881/2006 regulation).
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