(Austin Publishing Group

## **Research Article**

# Family Socioeconomic Status and Children's Nucleus Accumbens Response to Loss Anticipation: Racial Differences

# Assari S<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> and Wathanapong P<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Marginalization-Related Diminished Returns (MDRs) Research Center, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, California, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Urban Public Health, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, California, USA <sup>4</sup>Department of Health and Life Sciences, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, California, USA

# \*Corresponding authors: Shervin Assari,

Marginalization-Related Diminished Returns (MDRs) Research Center, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, California, USA

Received: September 16, 2021; Accepted: October 28, 2021; Published: November 04, 2021

## Abstract

**Objective:** Socioeconomic Status (SES) and race (as a proxy of racism) may have overlapping effects on substance use and related brain mechanisms such as nucleus accumbens function. Therefore, we hypothesized that nucleus accumbens function might be jointly affected by race and SES.

**Materials and Methods:** The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study baseline data was used for this cross-sectional study. The study included 7791 children between the ages of 9 and 10. The independent variable was parental education as our SES indicator of interest. The moderator was race as a social factor rather than a biological factor. The confounders included sex, age, ethnicity, and family structure. The outcome variable was nucleus accumbens function measured using functional MRI (fMRI). We used mixed-effects regression models with and without race by SES interactions to analyze the data.

**Results:** Children with higher parental education had lower nucleus accumbens function during loss anticipation (conceptualized as a risk factor of substance use). However, this effect was larger for White children than it was for Black children. Thus, the effects of race and SES on nucleus accumbens function were multiplicative rather than additive.

**Conclusion:** Children's race and SES have implications for nucleus accumbens function. The results are important because nucleus accumbens function may correlate with future substance use. However, SES effects on nucleus accumbens function may differ by race, explaining why the risk of substance use remains high in high SES Black youth.

Keywords: Socioeconomic Status; Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development; functional MRI

# Background

Substance use is disproportionately more consequential among racial minorities, particularly Black individuals [1]. Inequalities by socioeconomic status (SES) and race may exist in high-risk behaviors, such as early substance use in childhood, which increases the risk of addiction later in life [2]. Although the separate effects of each social determinant on children's health risk are well known, the underlying mechanism of the joint effects of multiple social determinants such as race and SES on children's substance use risk are not well understood. This study focused on the underlying mechanism of the joint and interrelated effects of race (as a proxy of racism) and SES on a correlate of children's substance use risk, namely nucleus accumbens function.

A health disparity is one of the ways that social inequality projects its shadow on people's lives. According to Healthy People 2030, health disparities are linked to social, economic, and environmental inequality. Race and SES are among social characteristics that correlate with societal barriers of population groups to secure health [3]. Race and SES are two social determinants of health because they are proxies of the individual's social environment and living

## experiences.

However, SES does not directly and independently impact health outcomes but rather through biological mechanisms (e.g., brain development) and through interaction with other social determinants such as race. Both race and SES are proxies of socioeconomic conditions, and such a disadvantaged environment may lead to worse health [4]. In addition, these social determinants show effects in adults and children [5-7].

Additionally, racial minorities partly show health disparities because of an overlap between race and SES [8,9]. Sociodemographic factors [10] and racism [11] are among the causes of racial health disparities. Under-resourced and under-served communities in the United States are predominantly populated by racial minorities [12]. Understanding the underlying cause of health disparity is crucial in creating a longitudinal solution to reduce health disparities and promoting equality for all.

According to the World Health Organization, social determinants of health are the conditions where the person is born in, grows in, works in, and lives in [13]. Social determinants of health may explain up to

J Psychiatry Mental Disord - Volume 6 Issue 5 - 2021 **Submit your Manuscript** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Assari et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Assari S and Wathanapong P. Family Socioeconomic Status and Children's Nucleus Accumbens Response to Loss Anticipation: Racial Differences. J Psychiatry Mental Disord. 2021; 6(5): 1053. 50% of people's health [13]. These conditions include race and SES that shape financial, food, and housing security, access to education and health care, early childhood development, and other social exposures. According to the American Psychological Association, SES is the social class of an individual, and it is often associated with education, income, and occupation [14]. A vast number of studies have confirmed the impact of SES on a person's health condition. High SES is also associated with a lifestyle that shows a significant positive effect on individuals' physical and psychological health [15]. Furthermore, almost all SES markers are associated with a wide range of physical health outcomes, including cardiovascular disease [16], obesity and diabetes [17], and breast cancer [18]. High SES is also linked to low stress [19]. Yet, how multiple social determinants such as race and SES jointly affect individual health is still an ongoing question.

Several studies have proposed environmental effects as partial contributing factors to individual's health. A study by Schultz and colleagues showed a correlation between socioeconomic disparities and adverse health outcomes, which is partially explained by lower-quality care received and lack of healthy food options in the neighborhood [16]. Moreover, the stressors from low SES and racial discrimination take a toll on children's development early on and affect individual health later in adulthood. Parental SES is repeatedly shown to significantly impact children's physical and mental wellbeing [20].

Low levels of parental education had adverse outcomes on youth inhibitory control, which prevents high-risk behaviors such as impulsivity, aggression, obesity, poor school performance, and substance use [21]. In addition, the adverse effects on children's emotional and behavioral problems are observed in correlation with low parental education [22], low family income [23], and poverty [24]. Partial explanations of the adverse effects of low SES on children's development are stress, food insecurity, environmental toxins, and parenting [25]. Low socioeconomic status has negative effects on children's health and brain development.

WHO categorizes minority status as a major social determinant of health [13]. Minority groups include sexual minorities [26], racial and ethnic minorities [27], and those living with a disability [28]. There is increasing interest in minority health disparities, particularly on racial and ethnic minorities, due to the increasing trend of non-White populations in the United States [29]. The health disparities among racial minority results in the high prevalence of chronic disease, lower quality of life, and premature death [30].

Racial minorities are treated worse than non-Hispanic Whites in American society [31]. In addition, multiple studies show the correlation between racial minority and low SES with low childhood brain development [32]. This is partially due to chronic stress from racial discrimination [33] and stressful experiences [32]. As a result, racial minorities with low SES are at risk of low academic achievement [34], depression [35], suicide [36], binge eating [37], and smoking [38]. They are also at an increased risk of substance use later in their adulthood [39]. The potential environmental factors that explain the increased risk behaviors of low SES and racial minorities include a disadvantaged socioeconomic situation such as unsafe neighborhood, low-quality school, stress in daily living, and, as a result, limited children development [40].

Family SES (e.g., parental education) is among the strongest child's social determinants of health overall [41,42]. However, recent studies have documented unequal effects of SES between Black and White individuals [43]. Minorities' Diminished Return (MDRs) theory refers to the weaker protective effects of SES indicators, such as parental education, for Black people than White people [44]. As a result of MDRs, children from racial minorities show worse school performance [45], higher depression and suicidal attempts [46], higher body mass [47], higher youth impulsivity and other emotional and behavioral problems [22,48], and higher substance use [25,49] in comparison to White counterparts with the same family SES. The MDRs theory explains these results by structural racism and social stratification as well as discrimination in the daily lives of racial minorities as early as when kids begin attending school [43,45]. This underlying and often overlooked outcome is critical in understanding health disparities among racial minorities.

Social determinants of health such as SES and race have a significant impact on the brain development of children, which shapes future decision-making and judgment via alternation of brain processes such as reward processing [50-52]. High SES may alter the brain reward process that protects youth against substance use [53]. Substance use and addiction are mediated by reward responsiveness [54]. Reward responsiveness is responsible for the ability to experience pleasure from reward-related stimuli [54]. This includes behaviors that stimulate the sense of high risk with high rewards like such as aggressive behaviors, early sexual exposure, and early use of alcohol, substances, and tobacco [55]. Racial minority and low SES children may have high reward responsiveness [56]. Furthermore, alterations to brain development, such as the amygdala, which regulates emotions, behaviors, and social relations [50], as well as frontal lobe activity that controls emotion and stress [24] showed significant alteration in volume and activity.

Social determinants also reflect the environment that surrounds the child development. For example, previous studies have shown that low socioeconomic status in racial minorities was associated with a higher frequency of alcohol consumption [57], cigarette smoking habits [58], marijuana use [59], and other substance use [60]. This is due to increased availability of alcohol in the low SES neighborhood, decreased parental monitoring, and inadequate education. As a result, the constraint of external resources and discrimination in racial minorities with low SES results in the altered neurological pathways that leads to the jeopardized reasoning ability and behavior later in life.

Social determinants have significant effects during childhood. The difference in SES creates conditions that limit children's brain development. A few of the conditions are unsafe neighborhoods, inadequate schools, and more stress in daily life. These environmental conditions jeopardize the harm avoidance and engagement in lowrisk behaviors so they may be involved in high-risk behaviors such as dropping out of school, aggressive behaviors, impulsivity, binge drinking, alcohol consumption, early smoking, and other substance use. However, the effects of SES on individuals' health are unequal across all racial groups. The protective effects of SES may be weaker for Black and other racial minorities than White families. While critical development occurs in the adolescent years, human brain is not fully mature until the age of 25 [61]. During this period, brain development is highly dependent on the external stimuli in which environmental enrichment stimulates the early maturation of synapses and more efficient signaling of the brain [62]. Environmental and social determinants, as a result, have a notable impact on the youth brain developmental processes. In contrast, the negative stimuli may result in alteration of synaptic connectivity and brain function [63]. However, intellectual exercise, physical activity, hormones, heredity, and environment are key elements of proper brain maturation [61].

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), health disparities due to social determinants occur due to unequal social, political, economic, and environmental resources [64]. Poverty, environmental threats, inadequate access to health care, individual and behavioral factors, and educational inequalities are the major factors in creating adolescent health disparities [64]. Social determinants can stimulate or inhibit normal adolescent development in various parts of the brain, such as the prefrontal cortex [65,66] and their connectivity [67]. The alteration of children's brain development can jeopardize children's physical and mental well-being and in their adulthoods [7]. Furthermore, according to the Minorities' Diminished Returns theory, the effects of social determinants on health are unequal for racialized and White individuals [44]. Although the effects of social determinants on children's brain development are well established, the mechanisms for such effects are still not known. Therefore, understanding how social determinants such as race and SES operate and how SES operates across racial groups is crucial in creating solutions that can minimize SES and racial health disparities.

SES effects on human brain development may impact mental abilities in humans that cause them to avoid risk, make decisions, learn, think, reason, memorize, solve problems, and pay attention [68]. These cognitive abilities are essential to develop adaptive behaviors to best survive in a particular environment. Factors that can shape human survival skills are the ability to learn from experience, memory, and utilize these for future decision-making [69].

The dopamine-signaling pathway or reward system is involved with the response to risk and reward by providing a learned signal to cues [70]. This serves as a guide to future behavior via goal-oriented and motivated behavior and associated reinforcement [71]. The reward system is one of the major neurological pathways with major implications for human adaptive behaviors, thoughts, feelings, and behaviors [72]. The American Psychological Association defines reward as the reinforcement or intent to repeatedly receive the consequence of behavior rather than focusing on the significance of the consequence of the behavior [73]. A change in the reward system has implications in high-risk behaviors such as binge eating, obesity, substance use, or addiction [74]. This brain network is also implicated in social comparison and self-validation [75], depression [76], alcohol consumption [77], and substance use [78]. Substance use is, as a result, derived from the brain reward system [54].

There are multiple dopamine pathways: the mesolimbic, mesocortical, nigrostriatal [79], and tuberoinfundibular, which is less understood [80]. The mesolimbic dopaminergic system has been

extensively studied due to its function in seeking pleasure and reward [70]. This pathway allows the organism to engage in instinctual emotional seeking by searching for life-promoting stimuli and to avoid harm [71]. The mesolimbic dopamine pathway, also known as the brain reward system, begins in the Ventral Tegmental Area (VTA) in the midbrain, where dopamine is generated [81]. The dopamine is then projected into the nucleus accumbens, which its function correlates with reward-seeking behaviors [82].

Previous studies have shown that psychostimulants or natural rewards such as food can alter the mesolimbic signaling pathway via nucleus accumbens, which triggers addictive behaviors [83-85]. In addition, impulsivity [86], stress, and depression [87,88] are all associated with modified nucleus accumbens function and size. The alteration in nucleus accumbens function predicts subsequent substance use and addiction later in life [89].

Multiple social risk factors early in life interfere with normal cognitive development [90]. The early childhood cumulative risks are more common in social determinants of health such as race and SES. These social influences include family income, single-parent households, low parental education, high-risk family environment, and stressful life events [90]. Studies have shown socioeconomic and racial inequalities in children's brain development and function. For instance, low SES Black and Latino children had smaller amygdala sizes in comparison to high SES, non-Latino, White children [50], while small amygdala development is correlated with over-reaction to ambiguous stimuli [91]. In addition, early childhood cumulative risk is associated with reducing the children's brain's gray matter volume, cortex volume, right superior parietal, and inferior parietal thickness resulting in a reduction of attention, learning, memory, and inhibitory control [90]. Thus brain development can mediate the effects of early childhood cumulative risks on adulthood problems [92] such as binge drinking, heavy drinking, risky sexual behavior, obesity, diabetes, depression [93], higher body mass index [94], aggression [95], obsessive-compulsive disorder [96], school dropout, smoking, and substance use [97-99].

Nucleus accumbens plays a major role in decision making via action selection toward motivational stimuli, which is critical in determining children's high-risk behaviors later in life [100,101]. Children from higher SES families showed a positive relationship with dopaminergic connectivity, while early childhood stress altered the development of this pathway [102]. An alteration in the size or function of the nucleus accumbens might predict high-risk behaviors that lead to substance use, binge eating, or obesity in low SES, Black, and Latino children [103]. Other studies have suggested similar findings of altered nucleus accumbens connectivity in adolescents may influence the reward system's role in vulnerability to substance use [104,105].

Children's brain development is a predictive factor for adulthood behaviors. Nucleus accumbens is a part of the dopamine-signaling pathway in the midbrain that is associated with decision-making, motivation, and reward. Nucleus accumbens was shown to be susceptible to alteration an early age via external and environmental social determinants such as SES and race. Similarly, nucleus accumbens was shown to be altered by substances and awards, leading to substance-seeking behaviors. As a proxy of the brain reward system, the result is that studying correlates of nucleus accumbens has implications for the prevention of substance use.

## Purpose

This analysis was performed under the Substance Abuse Disorders Research Training (SART) Program funded by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIH) to underlying the effects of social determinants of health on the children brain development of brain reward system in the nucleus accumbens using the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study, a longitudinal study on brain development and child health, to reduce health disparities due to socioeconomic and racial inequalities related to substance use disorders and addiction.

The study utilized functional MRI images from the ABCD study to understand the joint effects of SES and race on brain reward system regulation in children. The results will help us better understand the social patterning of substance use trajectories in adolescents. As most children in the ABCD study are 9-10 years old and have not started to use the substance, substance use was not included in this study. Nucleus accumbens function is a predictor of substance use.

We hypothesized that high SES is associated with increased regulation of the brain reward system (reduced nucleus accumbens function during MID in response to loss). Still, a weaker effect is expected in the marginalized communities, including racial minorities.

## **Materials and Methods**

This is a secondary analysis of the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) data. Our analysis was exempt from a full review. The ABCD study protocol, however, was approved by the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) Institutional Review Board (IRB) [106]. The primary aim of the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study is to track human brain development from childhood through adolescence. This enables us to study environmental factors that impact brain development trajectories [107]. The data collection of the ABCD study was launched in September 2016 and will continue for ten years. The study details can be found at www. abcdstudy.org. ABCD is a national, state-of-theart brain imaging study of childhood brain development [106,108]. The advantages of the ABCD study include a national sample, a large sample size, a large sample of minorities such as Blacks, Latinos, Asians, and Other/Mixed race, available data, robust measures of brain development, and considerable socioeconomic factors [108-112]. This cross-sectional analysis only applied data from the baseline ABCD study.

Participants of the waive one of the ABCD study were children ages between 9 and 10 years old. Children were recruited into the study from 21 sites from multiple cities across US states. The primary method of sampling children into the ABCD study was through the school system (school selection) informed by race, ethnicity, sex, SES, and urbanicity. More details of ABCD sampling are published elsewhere [113]. Inclusion criteria were being a White, Black, Asian, and other/mixed-race child between ages 9 and 10 and having valid data on nucleus accumbens function during the MID task. Baseline data collection was performed between 2016 and 2018. Eligibility for this analysis included high-quality data for the MID task and complete data for all our variables. All racial and ethnic groups were included. Only baseline data were used (n = 7791),

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) data were used to measure nucleus accumbens function during the MID task. As described in detail by Casey et al. [109], participants completed high-resolution T1 and T2 weighted fMRI scan (1mm isotropic voxels) using scanners from Philips Healthcare (Philips, Andover, Massachusetts, USA), GE Healthcare (General Electrics, Waukesha, WI, USA), or Siemens Healthcare (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) [109]. All the MRI data were processed using FreeSurfer version 5.3.0, available at http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/ [114,115], according to standard processing pipelines [109]. Processing included removal of non-brain tissue, segmentation of gray and white matter structures [116], and cortical parcellation [117]. All scan sessions underwent radiological review, whereby scans with incidental findings were identified. Quality control for the structural images comprised visual inspection of T1 images and FreeSurfer outputs for quality [107]. Imaging quality checks were conducted by the ABCD team. Subjects whose scans failed inspection (due to severe artifacts or irregularities) were excluded. Regions of interest included right Nucleus accumbens. In this analysis, we used the nucleus accumbens function during MID task data in subcortical (ASEG) regions of interest (ROIs) provided by the ABCD data.

## Variables

The study variables included demographic factors, family SES indicators, and right nucleus accumbens function during anticipation of loss during the MID task. A detailed explanation of the procedures and harmonization of the MRI devices in the ABCD study is available here [109].

Right nucleus accumbens function during MID (loss anticipation in contrast to neutral). The primary outcome was total nucleus accumbens function during MID (loss anticipation), measured by functional MRI. In addition, nucleus accumbens function is shown to be under the influence of SES [102,103].

**Race:** Identified by the parent, race was a nominal variable. Black, Asian, Other/mixed race, and White (reference).

**Parental educational attainment:** Participants reported their schooling as a five-level categorical variable: Less than high school (reference group), high school degree, some college, college completion, and graduate study.

**Age:** Age was a continuous variable in months. Parents reported the age of the children.

Sex: Sex was 1 for males and 0 for females.

**Ethnicity:** Parents were asked if they were of Latino ethnic background. This variable was coded as Latino = 1 and non-Latino = 0.

**Parental marital status:** Parental marital status was 1 for married and 0 for any other condition.

## Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using the Data Analysis and Exploration Portal (DEAP), which operates based on the R statistical package. The DEAP is available at the NIH NDA. Mean (standard deviation; SD) and frequency (relative frequency; %) of all variables were described overall and by race and family income. We also used the ANOVA and chi-square tests for bivariate analysis to compare the study variables across racial and income groups. For multivariable modeling, we ran mixed-effects regression models. In our model, the right nucleus accumbens function during loss anticipation during the MID task was the outcome. Parental education was the predictor. Ethnicity, family structure, age, and sex were the covariates. Race was the moderator. All models were performed in the pooled sample (n =7791). Our 1st model was conducted in the absence of any interaction terms; our 2<sup>nd</sup> model was performed with interaction terms between race and parental education. Before we performed our models, we ruled out multi-collinearity between study variables. We also explored the distribution of our predictor, outcome, residuals, and quantiles. Regression coefficients (b), SE, and p-value were reported for our model. A p-value of equal or less 0.05 was significant.

## **Results**

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics.

A total number of 7791 aged 9-10 years old participants entered our analysis. In this study, 5299 (68.0%) children were White, 1023 children (13.1%) were Black, 176 individuals (2.3%) were Asian, and the remaining 1293 children (16.6%) were other/mixed race. Table 1 illustrates the summary statistics of the overall pooled sample and by race.

Table 2 shows the results of mixed-effects regression models in the total sample with the right nucleus accumbens function during MID (in response to loss anticipation) as the outcome. High parental education was inversely associated with nucleus accumbens

Austin Publishing Group	Austin	Pub	lishi	ng (	Group
-------------------------	--------	-----	-------	------	-------

function, net of confounders. This effect was significantly larger for White Americans than Black American children, documented by a significant interaction between race and parental education on the right nucleus accumbens function (Figure 1).

# Discussion

Our goal was to identify the joint effects of two major interrelated social determinants, namely race and SES, on children's nucleus accumbens function. This study found that family SES is associated with the right nucleus accumbens function in 9-10 years old American children. However, this effect was stronger for White children than Black children.

Multiple studies have documented the role of brain structures involved in the brain reward system, including but not limited to nucleus accumbens for substance use [103]. Many brain structures are under the influence of social determinants such as SES indicators (parental education) and race. This impact is through a wide range of mechanisms including, parenting, nutrition, school quality, familial home environment, and stressful life events. As a result of racism, the benefits of SES may be smaller for racial minority populations [90].

High SES has an impact on nucleus accumbens through a wide range of mechanisms such as parental engagement, parenting, diet, and stress, but all these effects are weaker among racial minorities due to structural racism, which reduces the return of education in altering daily experiences of non-White people. The brain's influence on substance use risk is in part through the reward system, the mesocorticolimbic circuit, both prior and following exposure to substances and related cues. The nucleus accumbens, a component of

Level	All	White	Black	Asian	Other/Mixed	P*
Ν	7791	5299	1023	176	1293	
	Mean (SD)					
Age (Month)	119.27 (7.51)	119.28 (7.52)	119.42 (7.37)	119.80 (8.09)	119.01 (7.52)	0.41
Right Nucleus accumbens Function	0.00 (0.33)	0.00 (0.33)	0.02 (0.33)	0.00 (0.22)	0.00 (0.35)	0.54
	n (%)					
Parental Education						
< HS Diploma	306 (3.9)	131 (2.5)	86 (8.4)	4 (2.3)	85 (6.6)	< 0.001
HS Diploma/GED	628 (8.1)	250 (4.7)	240 (23.5)	2 (1.1)	136 (10.5)	
Some College	1931 (24.8)	1093 (20.6)	391 (38.2)	13 (7.4)	434 (33.6)	
Bachelor	2079 (26.7)	-30	145 (14.2)	47 (26.7)	297 (23.0)	
Post Graduate Degree	2847 (36.5)	-42.2	161 (15.7)	110 (62.5)	341 (26.4)	
Sex						
Female	3877 (49.8)	2583 (48.7)	541 (52.9)	100 (56.8)	653 (50.5)	0.019
Male	3914 (50.2)	2716 (51.3)	482 (47.1)	76 (43.2)	640 (49.5)	
Married Family						
No	2270 (29.1)	1074 (20.3)	706 (69.0)	26 (14.8)	464 (35.9)	< 0.001
Yes	5521 (70.9)	4225 (79.7)	317 (31.0)	150 (85.2)	829 (64.1)	
Latino						
No	6222 (79.9)	4349 (82.1)	971 (94.9)	162 (92.0)	740 (57.2)	< 0.001
Yes	1569 (20.1)	950 (17.9)	52 (5.1)	14 (8.0)	553 (42.8)	

Comparison of racial groups

	В	SE	р	Sig	В	SE	р	Sig		
		Model 1				Model 1 + Interactions				
Parental Education										
HS Diploma/GED	-0.068	0.023	0.004	**	-0.092	0.036	-0.092	*		
Some College	-0.047	0.021	0.023	*	-0.065	0.031	-0.065	*		
Bachelor	-0.042	0.021	0.049	*	-0.071	0.031	-0.071	*		
Post Graduate Degree	-0.026	0.021	0.223		-0.05	0.031	-0.05			
Race										
Black	0.007	0.013	0.573		-0.065	0.047	-0.065			
Asian	-0.009	0.026	0.731		-0.013	0.168	-0.013			
Other/Mixed	-0.003	0.011	0.785		-0.016	0.046	-0.016			
Sex (Male)	-0.018	0.008	0.016	*	-0.018	0.008	-0.018	*		
Age (Months)	0.001	0.001	0.217		0.001	0.001	0.001			
Married Family	-0.028	0.009	0.004	**	-0.029	0.009	-0.029	**		
Hispanic	-0.009	0.01	0.377		-0.009	0.011	-0.009			
Black x HS Diploma/GED					0.1	0.055	0.099	#		
Black x Some College					0.069	0.05	0.069			
Black x Bachelor					0.072	0.055	0.072			
Black x Post Graduate Degree					0.054	0.054	0.05			
Asian x HS Diploma/GED					-0.472	0.29	-0.472			
Asian x Some College					-0.072	0.192	-0.072			
Asian x Bachelor					0.063	0.175	0.063			
Asian x Post Graduate Degree					-0.002	0.172	-0.002			
Other/Mixed x HS Diploma/GED					-0.029	0.058	-0.029			
Other/Mixed x Some College					-0.008	0.05	-0.008			
Other/Mixed x Bachelor					0.042	0.051	0.042			
Other/Mixed x Post Graduate Degree					0.027	0.05	0.027			

Table 2: Regressions in the overall sample with the right nucleus acumens function as the outcome (n=7791)

Linear mixed effects regressions are used. Outcome: Nucleus accumbens Function During MID Task (fMRI).

<sup>#</sup>p <0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p <0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p <0.001.



the striatum, jointly works with the prefrontal cortex to participate in decision-making and reward-seeking [118]. Activation of this reward network can be stimulated by the substances such as amphetamine, cocaine, nicotine, alcohol, and opioids leading to pleasurable experiences and addictions [119].

the mesolimbic dopamine pathway. Nucleus accumbens is highly stimulated by drugs such as cocaine [120], opioids, psychostimulants [121], and methamphetamine [122]. These effects occur through various mechanisms, including reinstating drug-seeking behaviors [123] or directing behavioral sequence from drug use with reward [124]. In the nucleus accumbens, GABA, a hormone released by the

The nucleus accumbens, a component of the striatum, is part of



brain to regulate dopamine levels in the reward, mesolimbic pathway determines the association of drug and rewarding sensation [92]. The nucleus accumbens regulates various motivational behaviors as a part of the brain reward system [125]. The nucleus accumbens function, as a result, has an impact on the amount of GABA that is being released.

High family SES is a factor in higher quantity and quality of parenting, including more time spent with children, more resources in promoting child's growth, and safer neighborhood [126-128]. The effects of SES in the family may be explained by the scarcity of resources [23], risky parental behaviors such as smoking [132], alcohol consumption and substance use [38], and risky/unsafe neighborhood that leads to early exposure, peer pressure, and easy access to alcohol and substances [58,133]. These effects, however, vary by race as a proxy of racism.

The racial variations in brain function reported here are not due to genes but differential SES effects. In addition, high family SES also means exposure of children to lower parental risk behaviors [99,129,130]. These parenting behaviors play a key factor in explaining the SES effects on children development [131]. In contrast, minority children face cumulative stress from social exclusion and discrimination across all SES levels [32,131].

We should emphasize that race in our study was considered a social determinant, not a biological determinant of nucleus accumbens function. When SES is controlled, race is a proxy of racism, differential access to resources, and society's unequal treatment that leads to social inequalities. In other words, race and SES reflect how individuals and groups are treated by society. This view is different from biological frameworks that conceptualize race as an innate biological marker [134]. We have clearly emphasized this point in our other MDRs papers [135].

The first limitation of our study is that the participants had no exposure to drugs and substances. We used cross-sectional data of the first wave of the ABCD study in which the participants' ages were 9-10 years old. There was a limited number of participants that were exposed to any drugs or substances. Longitudinal studies are necessary throughout the ten-year follow-up period of the ABCD study. We only focused on the nucleus accumbens, which correlates with risky behaviors such as substance use.

More research is needed on the longitudinal changes in SES, nucleus accumbens function and structure, and substance use throughout the ABCD study. Race and SES, as two major social determinants, may have multiplicative and complex effects on nucleus accumbens activity, a proxy of brain reward processing. Future research may explore the role of other SES indicators and other brain regions. Finally, this study only compared racial groups. Other social identities such as ethnicity, LGBT status, or immigration also marginalize people.

## Conclusion

In summary, high parental education, as a proxy of high family SES, correlates with less right nucleus accumbens function during anticipation of loss in a national sample of 9-10 year of US children. However, this effect varies across racial groups. Weaker SES effects for Black than White children suggests that SES is less protective among racial minority groups who are racialized, a pattern which can be explained by Minorities Diminished Returns (MDRs).

## **Declaration**

Acknowledgments: Thanks to the Substance Abuse Disorders Research Training Program (SART) for providing opportunities for this work. A special thanks to Dr. Theodore Friedman for his support. This work was conducted as a dissertation by PLOY WATHANAPONG, BS (faculty adviser = Shervin Assari) at the College of Science and Health, Charles R. Drew University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Biomedical Sciences, Department of Health and Life Sciences, CHARLES R. DREW UNIVERSITY of MEDICINE AND SCIENCE. Shervin Assari is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants 5S21MD000103, CA201415 02, DA035811-05, U54MD007598, U54MD008149, D084526-03, and U54CA229974.

**ABCD Funding:** This research project would not have been possible without the fundings of the National Institute on Drug Abuse Grant #1R25DA050723. In addition, the ABCD Study is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041022, U01DA041028, U01DA041048, U01DA041089, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041120, U01DA041134, U01DA041148, U01DA041156, U01DA041174, U24DA041123, U24DA041147, U01DA041093, and U01DA041025.

A full list of supporters is available at https://abcdstudy.org/ federal- partners.html. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy. org/ Consortium\_Members.pdf. ABCD consortium investigators

designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in the analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators. The ABCD data repository grows and changes over time. The current paper used the Curated Annual Release 2.0, also defined in NDA Study 634 (http://doi.org/10.15154/1503209). Furthermore, I would like to acknowledge DEAP. DEAP is software provided by the Data Analysis and Informatics Center of ABCD located at the UC San Diego with generous support from the National Institutes of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention under award number U24DA041123. The DEAP project information and links to its source code are available under the resource identifier RRID: SCR\_016158.

#### References

- 1. SAMHSA.2019 National Survey of Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) Releases. 2019.
- Lardier DT. Substance use among urban youth of color: Exploring the role of community-based predictors, ethnic identity, and intrapersonal psychological empowerment. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. 2019; 25: 91-103.
- 3. Healthy People 2030. Social Determinants of Health. 2020.
- Stormacq C, Van den Broucke S, Wosinski J. Does health literacy mediate the relationship between socioeconomic status and health disparities? Integrative review. Health Promot Int. 2019; 34: e1-e17.
- Didsbury MS, Kim S, Medway MM, Tong A, McTaggart SJ, Walker AM, et al. Socioeconomic status and quality of life in children with chronic disease: A systematic review. J Paediatr Child Health. 2016; 52: 1062-1069.
- Merz EC, Maskus EA, Melvin SA, He X, Noble KG. Socioeconomic Disparities in Language Input Are Associated With Children's Language-Related Brain Structure and Reading Skills. Child Dev. 2020; 91: 846-860.
- Reiss F. Socioeconomic inequalities and mental health problems in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Soc Sci Med. 2013; 90: 24-31.
- Bjur KA, Wi CI, Ryu E, Derauf C, Crow SS, King KS, et al. Socioeconomic Status, Race/Ethnicity, and Health Disparities in Children and Adolescents in a Mixed Rural-Urban Community-Olmsted County, Minnesota. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019; 94: 44-53.
- Villagra VG, Bhuva B, Coman E, Smith DO, Fifield J. Health insurance literacy: disparities by race, ethnicity, and language preference. Am J Manag Care. 2019; 25: e71-e75.
- Manuck TA. Racial and ethnic differences in preterm birth: A complex, multifactorial problem. Semin Perinatol. 2017; 41: 511-518.
- Cogburn CD. Culture, Race, and Health: Implications for Racial Inequities and Population Health. Milbank Q. 2019; 97: 736-761.
- Massey DS, Gross AB, Shibuya K. Migration, Segregation, and the Geographic Concentration of Poverty. American Sociological Review. 1994; 59: 425-445.
- 13. WHO. (n.d.). Social Determinants of Health.
- 14. APA. Socioeconomic Status. 2016.
- Wang J, Geng L. Effects of Socioeconomic Status on Physical and Psychological Health: Lifestyle as a Mediator. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16: 281.
- Schultz WM, Kelli HM, Lisko JC, Varghese T, Shen J, Sandesara P, et al. Socioeconomic Status and Cardiovascular Outcomes: Challenges and Interventions. Circulation. 2018; 137: 2166-2178.
- Volaco A, Cavalcanti AM, Filho RP, Précoma DB. Socioeconomic Status: The Missing Link Between Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus? Curr Diabetes Rev. 2018; 14: 321-326.

### **Austin Publishing Group**

- Coughlin SS. Social determinants of breast cancer risk, stage, and survival. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019; 177: 537-548.
- Baum A, Garofalo JP, Yali AM. Socioeconomic status and chronic stress. Does stress account for SES effects on health? Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1999; 896: 131-144.
- Vukojević M, Zovko A, Talić I, Tanović M, Rešić B, Vrdoljak I, et al. Parental Socioeconomic Status as a Predictor of Physical and Mental Health Outcomes in Children - Literature Review. Acta Clin Croat. 2017; 56: 742-748.
- Assari S. Parental Education on Youth Inhibitory Control in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study: Blacks' Diminished Returns. Brain Sci. 2020a; 10: 312.
- Assari S, Boyce S, Caldwell CH, Bazargan M. Minorities' Diminished Returns of Parental Educational Attainment on Adolescents' Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Problems. Children (Basel). 2020; 7: 49.
- Assari S. Youth Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Problems in the ABCD Study: Minorities' Diminished Returns of Family Income. J Econ Public Financ. 2020d; 6: 1-19.
- Javanbakht A, King AP, Evans GW, Swain JE, Angstadt M, Phan KL, Liberzon I. Childhood Poverty Predicts Adult Amygdala and Frontal Activity and Connectivity in Response to Emotional Faces. Front Behav Neurosci. 2015; 9: 154.
- Assari S, Caldwell C, Bazargan M. Parental educational attainment and relatives' substance use of American youth: Hispanics Diminished Returns. J Biosci Med (Irvine). 2020; 8: 122-134.
- Baptiste-Roberts K, Oranuba E, Werts N, Edwards LV. Addressing Health Care Disparities Among Sexual Minorities. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2017; 44: 71-80.
- Butler AM, Rodgers CRR. Developing a Policy Brief on Child Mental Health Disparities to Promote Strategies for Advancing Equity among Racial/Ethnic Minority Youth. Ethn Dis. 2019; 29: 421-426.
- Meade MA, Mahmoudi E, Lee SY. The intersection of disability and healthcare disparities: a conceptual framework. Disabil Rehabil. 2015; 37: 632-641.
- 29. US Census Bureau. United States Population. 2019.
- Riley WJ. Health disparities: gaps in access, quality and affordability of medical care. Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc. 2012; 123: 167-172.
- 31. Wheeler SM, Bryant AS. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health and Health Care. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2017; 44: 1-11.
- Harnett NG, Wheelock MD, Wood KH, Goodman AM, Mrug S, Elliott MN, et al. Negative life experiences contribute to racial differences in the neural response to threat. Neuroimage. 2019; 202: 116086.
- Berger M, Sarnyai Z. "More than skin deep": stress neurobiology and mental health consequences of racial discrimination. Stress. 2015; 18: 1-10.
- Philbrook LE, Shimizu M, Buckhalt JA, El-Sheikh M. Sleepiness as a pathway linking race and socioeconomic status with academic and cognitive outcomes in middle childhood. Sleep Health. 2018; 4: 405-412.
- Scott SM, Wallander JL, Cameron L. Protective Mechanisms for Depression among Racial/Ethnic Minority Youth: Empirical Findings, Issues, and Recommendations. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2015; 18: 346-369.
- Lee CS, Wong YJ. Racial/ethnic and gender differences in the antecedents of youth suicide. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. 2020; 26: 532-543.
- Pluhar EI, Abdullah S, Burton ET. Endorsement of Binge Eating Symptoms in a Sample of Predominantly Non-Hispanic Black Adolescents. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2020; 59: 766-772.
- Kamke K, Sabado-Liwag M, Rodriquez EJ, Pérez-Stable EJ, El-Toukhy S. Adolescent Smoking Susceptibility: Gender-Stratified Racial and Ethnic Differences, 1999-2018. Am J Prev Med. 2020; 58: 666-674.
- 39. Nieri T, Ayón C, Yoo M, Webb M. Perceived ethnic discrimination, ethnic-

racial socialization, and substance use among ethnic minority adolescents. J Ethn Subst Abuse. 2019; 1-20.

- Gerra G, Benedetti E, Resce G, Potente R, Cutilli A, Molinaro S. Socioeconomic Status, Parental Education, School Connectedness and Individual Socio-Cultural Resources in Vulnerability for Drug Use among Students. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020; 17: 1306.
- Chaudry A, Wimer C. Poverty is Not Just an Indicator: The Relationship Between Income, Poverty, and Child Well-Being. Acad Pediatr. 2016; 16: S23-29.
- Schmeer KK, Piperata BA. Household food insecurity and child health. Matern Child Nutr. 2017; 13: 12301.
- Assari S, Caldwell CH, Bazargan M. Association Between Parental Educational Attainment and Youth Outcomes and Role of Race/Ethnicity. JAMA Netw Open. 2019; 2: e1916018.
- 44. Assari S. Unequal Gain of Equal Resources across Racial Groups. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2018; 7: 1-9.
- 45. Assari S, Boyce S, Bazargan M, Caldwell CH. Diminished Returns of Parental Education in Terms of Youth School Performance: Ruling out Regression toward the Mean. Children (Basel). 2020b; 7: 74.
- Assari S, Boyce S, Bazargan M, Caldwell CH. African Americans' Diminished Returns of Parental Education on Adolescents' Depression and Suicide in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ. 2020a; 10: 656-668.
- Assari S, Boyce S, Bazargan M, Mincy R, Caldwell CH. Unequal Protective Effects of Parental Educational Attainment on the Body Mass Index of Black and White Youth. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16: 3641.
- Assari S, Caldwell CH, Mincy R. Family Socioeconomic Status at Birth and Youth Impulsivity at Age 15; Blacks' Diminished Return. Children (Basel). 2018; 5: 58.
- Wills TA, McNamara G, Vaccaro D. Parental education related to adolescent stress-coping and substance use: development of a mediational model. Health Psychol. 1995; 14: 464-478.
- Assari S. Socioeconomic Status Inequalities Partially Mediate Racial and Ethnic Differences in Children's Amygdala Volume. Stud Soc Sci Res. 2020b; 1: 62-79.
- Pujol J, Harrison BJ, Contreras-Rodriguez O, Cardoner N. The contribution of brain imaging to the understanding of psychopathy. Psychol Med. 2019; 49: 20-31.
- Squeglia LM, Gray KM. Alcohol and Drug Use and the Developing Brain. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2016; 18: 46.
- Lee JO, Cho J, Yoon Y, Bello MS, Khoddam R, Leventhal AM. Developmental Pathways from Parental Socioeconomic Status to Adolescent Substance Use: Alternative and Complementary Reinforcement. J Youth Adolesc. 2018; 47: 334-348.
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, Tomasi D, Telang F. Addiction: beyond dopamine reward circuitry. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:15037-15042.
- Salamone JD, Correa M. The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. Neuron. 2012; 76: 470-485.
- Assari S, Boyce S, Akhlaghipour G, Bazargan M, Caldwell CH. Reward Responsiveness in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study: African Americans' Diminished Returns of Parental Education. Brain Sci. 2020; 10: 391.
- Pape H, Rossow I, Andreas JB, Norström T. Social Class and Alcohol Use by Youth: Different Drinking Behaviors, Different Associations? J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2018; 79: 132-136.
- Garrett BE, Martell BN, Caraballo RS, King BA. Socioeconomic Differences in Cigarette Smoking Among Sociodemographic Groups. Prev Chronic Dis. 2019: 16: E74.
- 59. Reboussin BA, Milam AJ, Green KM, Ialongo NS, Furr-Holden CD. Clustering

of Black Adolescent Marijuana Use in Low-Income, Urban Neighborhoods. J Urban Health. 2016; 93: 109-116.

- Voisin DR, Kim DH, Bassett SM, Marotta PL. Pathways linking family stress to youth delinquency and substance use: Exploring the mediating roles of self-efficacy and future orientation. J Health Psychol. 2020; 25: 139-151.
- Arain M, Haque M, Johal L, Mathur P, Nel W, Rais A ,et al. Maturation of the adolescent brain. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2013; 9: 449-461.
- Chaudhury S, Sharma V, Kumar V, Nag TC, Wadhwa S. Activity-dependent synaptic plasticity modulates the critical phase of brain development. Brain Dev. 2016; 38: 355-363.
- Chaplin TM, Poon JA, Thompson JC, Hansen A, Dziura SL, Turpyn CC, et al. Sex-Differentiated Associations among Negative Parenting, Emotion-Related Brain Function, and Adolescent Substance Use and Psychopathology Symptoms. Soc Dev. 2019; 28: 637-656.
- 64. CDC. (n.d.). Adolescent and School Health Health Disparities.
- van Hoorn J, Shablack H, Lindquist KA, Telzer EH. Incorporating the social context into neurocognitive models of adolescent decision-making: A neuroimaging meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2019; 101: 129-142.
- Hair NL, Hanson JL, Wolfe BL, Pollak SD. Association of Child Poverty, Brain Development, and Academic Achievement. JAMA Pediatr. 2015; 169: 822-829.
- Schmälzle R, Brook O'Donnell M, Garcia JO, Cascio CN, Bayer J, Bassett DS, et al. Brain connectivity dynamics during social interaction reflect social network structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114: 5153-5158.
- Rizzolatti G, Sinigaglia C. The mirror mechanism: a basic principle of brain function. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2016; 17: 757-765.
- Arias-Carrión O, Stamelou M, Murillo-Rodríguez E, Menéndez-González M, Pöppel E. Dopaminergic reward system: a short integrative review. Int Arch Med. 2010; 3: 24.
- Hamid AA, Pettibone JR, Mabrouk OS, Hetrick VL, Schmidt R, Vander Weele CM, et al. Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. Nat Neurosci. 2016; 19: 117-126.
- Alcaro A, Huber R, Panksepp J. Behavioral functions of the mesolimbic dopaminergic system: an affective neuroethological perspective. Brain Res Rev. 2007; 56: 283-321.
- Ang YS, Pizzagalli DA. Understanding Personal Control and the Brain Reward System for Psychopathology Is Challenging but Important. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2019; 4: 105-107.
- 73. APA. (n.d.). Dictionary Term: Reward.
- Verdejo-Román J, Vilar-López R, Navas JF, Soriano-Mas C, Verdejo-García A. Brain reward system's alterations in response to food and monetary stimuli in overweight and obese individuals. Hum Brain Mapp. 2017; 38: 666-677.
- Kedia G, Mussweiler T, Linden DE. Brain mechanisms of social comparison and their influence on the reward system. Neuroreport. 2014; 25: 1255-1265.
- Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Hurlemann R, Coenen VA. Deep brain stimulation of the human reward system for major depressionrationale, outcomes and outlook. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2014; 39: 1303-1314.
- Courtney AL, Rapuano KM, Sargent JD, Heatherton TF, Kelley WM. Reward System Activation in Response to Alcohol Advertisements Predicts College Drinking. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2018; 79: 29-38.
- Kim J, Ham S, Hong H, Moon C, Im HI. Brain Reward Circuits in Morphine Addiction. Mol Cells. 2016; 39: 645-653.
- 79. Haber SN. The place of dopamine in the cortico-basal ganglia circuit. Neuroscience. 2014; 282: 248-257.
- Franceschi M, Camerlingo M, Perego L, Bottacchi E, Truci G, Mamoli A. Tuberoinfundibular dopaminergic function in Parkinson's disease. Eur Neurol. 1988; 28: 117-119.

- Lammel S, Lim BK, Malenka RC. Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous midbrain dopamine system. Neuropharmacology. 2014; 76: 351-359.
- Mingote S, Amsellem A, Kempf A, Rayport S, Chuhma N. Dopamineglutamate neuron projections to the nucleus accumbens medial shell and behavioral switching. Neurochem Int. 2019; 129: 104482.
- Baik JH. Dopamine signaling in reward-related behaviors. Front Neural Circuits. 2013; 7: 152.
- Domingo-Rodriguez L, Ruiz de Azua I, Dominguez E, Senabre E, Serra I, Kummer S, et al. A specific prelimbic-nucleus accumbens pathway controls resilience versus vulnerability to food addiction. Nat Commun. 2020; 11: 782.
- Scofield MD, Heinsbroek JA, Gipson CD, Kupchik YM, Spencer S, Smith AC, et al. The Nucleus Accumbens: Mechanisms of Addiction across Drug Classes Reflect the Importance of Glutamate Homeostasis. Pharmacol Rev. 2016; 68: 816-871.
- Basar K, Sesia T, Groenewegen H, Steinbusch HW, Visser-Vandewalle V, Temel Y. Nucleus accumbens and impulsivity. Prog Neurobiol. 2010; 92: 533-557.
- Menard C, Pfau ML, Hodes GE, Kana V, Wang VX, Bouchard S, et al. Social stress induces neurovascular pathology promoting depression. Nat Neurosci. 2017; 20: 1752-1760.
- Peña CJ, Smith M, Ramakrishnan A, Cates HM, Bagot RC, Kronman HG, et al. Early life stress alters transcriptomic patterning across reward circuitry in male and female mice. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 5098.
- Sharp BM. Basolateral amygdala and stress-induced hyperexcitability affect motivated behaviors and addiction. Transl Psychiatry. 2017; 7: e1194.
- Chad-Friedman E, Botdorf M, Riggins T, Dougherty LR. Early childhood cumulative risk is associated with decreased global brain measures, cortical thickness, and cognitive functioning in school-age children. Dev Psychobiol. 2021; 63: 192-205.
- Evans GW, Schamberg MA. Childhood poverty, chronic stress, and adult working memory. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106: 6545-6549.
- Gutman LM, Joshi H, Schoon I. Developmental Trajectories of Conduct Problems and Cumulative Risk from Early Childhood to Adolescence. J Youth Adolesc. 2019; 48: 181-198.
- Campbell JA, Walker RJ, Egede LE. Associations Between Adverse Childhood Experiences, High-Risk Behaviors, and Morbidity in Adulthood. Am J Prev Med. 2016; 50: 344-352.
- Liu R, Shelton RC, Eldred-Skemp N, Goldsmith J, Suglia SF. Early Exposure to Cumulative Social Risk and Trajectories of Body Mass Index in Childhood. Child Obes. 2019; 15: 48-55.
- Gilliam M, Forbes EE, Gianaros PJ, Erickson KI, Brennan LM, Shaw DS. Maternal depression in childhood and aggression in young adulthood: evidence for mediation by offspring amygdala-hippocampal volume ratio. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015; 56: 1083-1091.
- Göttlich M, Krämer UM, Kordon A, Hohagen F, Zurowski B. Resting-state connectivity of the amygdala predicts response to cognitive behavioral therapy in obsessive compulsive disorder. Biol Psychol. 2015; 111: 100-109.
- Atkinson L, Beitchman J, Gonzalez A, Young A, Wilson B, Escobar M, et al. Cumulative risk, cumulative outcome: a 20-year longitudinal study. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0127650.
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med 1998; 14: 245-258.
- Hoffmann JP, Jones MS. Cumulative Stressors and Adolescent Substance Use: A Review of 21st-Century Literature. Trauma Violence Abuse. 2020; 1524838020979674.
- 100. Floresco SB. (The nucleus accumbens: an interface between cognition, emotion, and action. Annu Rev Psychol. 2015; 66: 25-52.
- 101.Goff B, Gee DG, Telzer EH, Humphreys K L, Gabard-Durnam L, Flannery

J, et al. Reduced nucleus accumbens reactivity and adolescent depression following early-life stress. Neuroscience. 2013; 249: 129-138.

- 102. Park AT, Tooley UA, Leonard JA, Boroshok AL, McDermott CL, Tisdall MD, et al. Early childhood stress is associated with blunted development of ventral tegmental area functional connectivity. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2021; 47: 100909.
- 103. Assari S. Stronger Association between Nucleus Accumbens Density and Body Mass Index in Low-Income and African American Children. Res Health Sci. 2020c; 5: 107-120.
- 104. Cope LM, Martz ME, Hardee JE, Zucker RA, Heitzeg MM. Reward activation in childhood predicts adolescent substance use initiation in a high-risk sample. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019; 194: 318-325.
- 105. Weiland BJ, Welsh RC, Yau WY, Zucker RA, Zubieta JK, Heitzeg MM. Accumbens functional connectivity during reward mediates sensationseeking and alcohol use in high-risk youth. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013; 128:130-139.
- 106.Auchter AM, Hernandez Mejia M, Heyser CJ, Shilling PD, Jernigan TL, Brown SA, et al. A description of the ABCD organizational structure and communication framework. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018; 32: 8-15.
- 107. Hagler DJ Jr, Hatton S, Cornejo MD, Makowski C, Fair D A, Dick AS, et al. Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. Neuroimage. 2019; 202: 116091.
- 108. Gunzerath L, Faden V, Zakhari S, Warren K. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism report on moderate drinking. Alcoholism: Clinical and experimental research. 2004; 28: 829-847.
- 109.Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, et al. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018; 32: 43-54.
- 110. Karcher NR, O'Brien KJ, Kandala S, Barch DM. Resting-State Functional Connectivity and Psychotic-like Experiences in Childhood: Results From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. Biol Psychiatry. 2019; 86: 7-15.
- 111. Lisdahl KM, Sher KJ, Conway KP, Gonzalez R, Feldstein Ewing SW, Nixon SJ, et al. Adolescent brain cognitive development (ABCD) study: Overview of substance use assessment methods. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018; 32: 80-96.
- 112. Luciana M, Bjork JM, Nagel BJ, Barch DM, Gonzalez R, Nixon SJ, et al. Adolescent neurocognitive development and impacts of substance use: Overview of the adolescent brain cognitive development (ABCD) baseline neurocognition battery. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018; 32: 67-79.
- 113. Garavan H, Bartsch H, Conway K, Decastro A, Goldstein RZ, Heeringa S, et al. Recruiting the ABCD sample: Design considerations and procedures. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018; 32: 16-22.
- Fischl B, Sereno MI, Dale AM. Cortical surface-based analysis. II: Inflation, flattening, and a surface-based coordinate system. Neuroimage. 1999; 9: 195-207.
- Vargas T, Damme KSF, Mittal VA. Neighborhood deprivation, prefrontal morphology and neurocognition in late childhood to early adolescence. Neuroimage. 2020; 220: 117086.
- 116. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C, et al. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron. 2002; 33: 341-355.
- 117. Fischl B, van der Kouwe A, Destrieux C, Halgren E, Ségonne F, Salat DH, et al. Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex. 2004; 14: 11-22.
- 118. Euston DR, Gruber AJ, McNaughton BL. The role of medial prefrontal cortex in memory and decision making. Neuron. 2012; 76: 1057-1070.
- 119. London ED. Impulsivity, Stimulant Abuse, and Dopamine Receptor Signaling. Adv Pharmacol. 2016; 76: 67-84.
- 120.Baimel C, McGarry LM, Carter AG. The Projection Targets of Medium Spiny Neurons Govern Cocaine-Evoked Synaptic Plasticity in the Nucleus

Accumbens. Cell Rep. 2019; 28: 2256-2263.e2253.

- 121. Hearing M, Graziane N, Dong Y, Thomas MJ. Opioid and Psychostimulant Plasticity: Targeting Overlap in Nucleus Accumbens Glutamate Signaling. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2018; 39: 276-294.
- 122.Kasahara Y, Sakakibara Y, Hiratsuka T, Moriya Y, Lesch KP, Hall FS, et al. Repeated methamphetamine treatment increases spine density in the nucleus accumbens of serotonin transporter knockout mice. Neuropsychopharmacol Rep. 2019; 39: 130-133.
- 123. Gibson GD, Millan EZ & McNally GP. The nucleus accumbens shell in reinstatement and extinction of drug seeking. Eur J Neurosci. 2019; 50: 2014-2022.
- 124.Bardo MT. Neuropharmacological mechanisms of drug reward: beyond dopamine in the nucleus accumbens. Crit Rev Neurobiol. 1998; 12: 37-67.
- 125. Oginsky MF, Goforth PB, Nobile CW, Lopez-Santiago LF, Ferrario CR. Eating 'Junk-Food' Produces Rapid and Long-Lasting Increases in NAc CP-AMPA Receptors: Implications for Enhanced Cue-Induced Motivation and Food Addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2016; 41: 2977-2986.
- 126. Emmen RA, Malda M, Mesman J, van Ijzendoorn MH, Prevoo MJ, Yeniad N. Socioeconomic status and parenting in ethnic minority families: testing a minority family stress model. J Fam Psychol. 2013; 27: 896-904.
- 127.Kiang L, Andrews K, Stein GL, Supple AJ, Gonzalez LM. Socioeconomic stress and academic adjustment among Asian American adolescents: the protective role of family obligation. J Youth Adolesc. 2013; 42: 837-847.
- 128. Perkins SC, Finegood ED, Swain JE. Poverty and language development: roles of parenting and stress. Innov Clin Neurosci. 2013; 10: 10-19.

- 129. Rai NK, Tiwari T. Parental Factors Influencing the Development of Early Childhood Caries in Developing Nations: A Systematic Review. Front Public Health. 2018; 6: 64.
- 130.Sullivan AD, Benoit R, Breslend NL, Vreeland A, Compas B, Forehand R. Cumulative socioeconomic status risk and observations of parent depression: Are there associations with child outcomes? J Fam Psychol. 2019; 33: 883-893.
- 131.Assari S, Bazargan M. Unequal Associations between Educational Attainment and Occupational Stress across Racial and Ethnic Groups. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16: 3539.
- 132. Wallace JM Jr, Vaughn MG, Bachman JG, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD, Schulenberg JE. Race/ethnicity, socioeconomic factors, and smoking among early adolescent girls in the United States. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2009; 104: S42-49.
- 133.Zhang J, Slesnick N. Substance use and social stability of homeless youth: A comparison of three interventions. Psychol Addict Behav. 2018; 32: 873-884.
- 134.Herrnstein RJ, Murray C. The bell curve: Intelligence and class structure in American life: Simon and Schuster. 2010.
- 135. Assari S. Parental Education and Nucleus Accumbens Response to Reward Anticipation: Minorities' Diminished Returns. Adv Soc Sci Cult. 2020; 2: 132-153.