

Research Article

Analyzing the Surgical Resection of Lung Cancer

Wen J¹, Hou X¹, Wang K², Meng M³, Xue Z¹ and Guan X^{2*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Chinese PLA General Hospital, China

²Department of Respiratory Diseases, Chinese PLA General Hospital, China

³Department of Gastroenterology, Beijing Shijitan Hospital, China

*Corresponding author: Xizhou Guan, Department of Respiratory Diseases, Chinese PLA General Hospital, China and Zhiqiang Xue, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Chinese PLA General Hospital, China

Received: May 02, 2016; Accepted: September 06, 2016; Published: September 13, 2016

Abstract

Background: Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide, compounded by late diagnosis. Tumor resection by surgery has been performed for selected lung cancer patients in specialized centers over the last century. Despite encouraging results from case-series reports, there remains a lack of robust clinical analysis of prevalent surgical techniques, especially in cases of pre- and post-operative smokers. The present systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the different surgical techniques, their success rate and the various compounding factors that dictate the success of the intervention.

Methods: A systematic search was performed using Ovid; Medline & Embase, EBSCO; CINHAL, PsychINFO & SocINDEX and Cochrane Library databases to identify relevant studies.

Results and Conclusion: The identified studies were too heterogeneous to be combined using a formal meta-analysis. Therefore, a narrative synthesis was performed. Advances in operative and postoperative care have led to a decline in complications; however, mortality rates during the last decades have increased due to incidence of associated co-morbidity. The optimal timing of smoking cessation before lung resection is not known; however, it is highly recommended that lung cancer patients completely quit smoking to enhance the effectiveness of both surgical and chemotherapeutic treatment. There is a need to conduct more methodologically sound studies.

Keywords: Lung cancer; Surgical resection; Smoking cessation

Introduction

The public health significance of lung cancer is reflected by the fact that this disease is one of the most common cancers in the world and it has a high case fatality rate. In the span of a few decades, lung cancer has gone from being a rare disease to the most common cancer worldwide and the greatest cause of cancer death globally [1,2]. In 2008, lung cancer accounted for 13% (1.6 million) of the total cases and 18% (1.4 million) of the deaths, worldwide [2]. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) comprises 80% of all cases. Mortality from lung cancer basically occurs through the metastatic spread of malignant cells to distant organs.

It is estimated that only 10% of new cases of bronchogenic carcinoma are potentially cured by surgery [3]. Surgery is the treatment of choice for patients with stage I-II disease and selected patients with stage IIIA disease. Compared with radiotherapy in early stage disease, surgical treatment is the best alternative [4]. In European countries the proportion of patients with diagnosed lung cancer who undergo surgery for lung cancer varies between 10 and 20% [5,6]. In the UK the resection rates in some areas are around 10%, but with quick access investigations the rate can easily be increased to 25% [7]. In the United States it is estimated that approximately 25-30% of patients with NSCLC are offered surgery with curative intent [8].

Surgery for tuberculosis formed the basis for lung cancer surgery techniques, but after the introduction of potent drugs for tuberculosis in the 1950's, lung cancer surgery became the major focus in chest surgery [9]. The first successful pneumonectomy was performed in 1933 by Drs. Graham and Singer in the USA [10]. The

rate of complications was high during the first years, with a reported early mortality of 30% in 1944. The rate of explorative thoracotomy without resection was also high (50%) [11], by 1940 lobectomy and pneumonectomy were performed regularly for NSCLC with remarkable progress in early surgical results [9]. During the next decades, radical pneumonectomy remained the golden standard, with a relatively low operative mortality, to be replaced in the 1960s by lobectomy as the standard in localized disease, resulting in a better surgical outcome and greater pulmonary reserve [12].

Despite encouraging results from case-series reports, there remains a lack of robust clinical analysis of prevalent surgical techniques, especially in cases of pre- and post-operative smokers. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the different surgical techniques, their success rate and the various compounding factors that dictate the success of the intervention.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

The following electronic databases were used to identify suitable studies: Ovid; Medline & Embase, EBSCO; CINHAL, PsychINFO & SocINDEX and Cochrane Library. These were searched using the following search terms including the Boolean operator "AND": [lung cancer] AND [surgical resection] AND [outcome] AND [smoking] AND [therapy or intervention] AND [adult]. A multi database search was conducted using the above search terms.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

All papers retrieved by the database and journal searches were

examined using the following inclusion criteria: published in peer reviewed journal; study examined data from original research; participants are adults, 18 years and over; study is written in English; study uses quantitative methods and analysis; participants have received surgical resection alone or in combination with adjuvant therapy; outcome measures include psychosocial or quality of life (e.g. depression, anxiety, quality of life dimensions); established or standardized assessment measures are used. Those not meeting the criteria above were excluded from the review. No restrictions were made regarding group size and the use of a control group. This was done to maximize the number of eligible studies.

Data extraction and analyses

Results from all searches were combined and duplicates were removed. The outcomes of the collected manuscripts were synthesized and formed the basis for the meta-analysis, which was done following recommendations from "The Cochrane Collaboration and the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses guidelines".

Results

It was envisaged that the identified studies were too heterogeneous to be combined using a formal meta-analysis. Therefore, a narrative synthesis was performed. The results are summarised according to the type of intervention used and outcome measures assessed.

Surgical Methods

The standard in lung cancer surgery is open anatomical lobectomy, pneumonectomy or bilobectomy with mediastinal node sampling or dissection (systematic sampling or complete dissection) either through a postero-lateral incision or by anterior muscle sparing thoracotomy. This is of importance both for complete removal of the tumor and for precise pathological staging for decision-making concerning adjuvant therapy, as well as for possible therapeutic benefit. Limited surgical resections in the form of segment resection should be considered in cases of poor pulmonary reserve [13,14]. Japanese studies of limited resection with hilar lymphadenectomy for lesions smaller than 2 cm in diameter have shown five-year survival rates comparable to those of lobectomies [13]. However, lobectomy still remains the treatment of choice for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) because of the lower risk for local recurrence than has been shown for limited resection [15,16], even among patients with T1 tumours (increased mortality) [17]. Also, the operative risk is lower with lobectomy than with pneumonectomy, but the proportion of patients undergoing pneumonectomy varies from 6-20%, even among those with stage I disease [18,19]. The completeness of surgery is assessed by frozen sections of resection margins and further resection is performed if necessary.

Mediastinal surgery

Nodal micro metastasis (N and N2) is found in up to 20% of patients with adenocarcinoma of <2 cm in diameter [20,21]. In a study concerning patients with localized bronchoalveolar carcinoma smaller than 2 cm in diameter, no such metastases were found (143). It is reported that 12% of patients with a tumor <1 cm and 16-19% of patients with a tumor size of <3cm have positive N2 nodes [22,23]. Some authors postulate that in the light of these findings, complete

systematic dissection of mediastinal lymph nodes should be carried out in all patients with resectable lung cancer [23,24] and with no exception also among patients with clinical stage I disease [25]. Resection of the sentinel node (of lymph node most likely to be positive with respect to the tumor location) seems to be reasonable [26] and if the sentinel node is positive for pathology a complete mediastinal dissection is required for curative resection [26]. Some authors have claimed that complete mediastinal node dissection is associated with improved survival among patients with stage II and IIIA disease [27]. Furthermore, surgically discovered T1-2, N2 disease should be treated with complete systematic mediastinal nodal dissection to improve survival [28]. Other investigators did not find any effect of complete systematic mediastinal lymphadenectomy on survival, either 1) among patients with a tumor smaller than 2 cm in diameter [23,29], 2) among patients with stage I disease [18] or 3) among all patients with resectable NSCLC, over and beyond the effects of lymph node sampling (resection of only suspicious lymph nodes) [30]. Despite data showing a high rate of N2 disease in small tumours, most surgeons prefer the technique of systematic node sampling, consisting of multiple predetermined levels of sampling (according to the tumor location). This method is safe and effective and may result in lower morbidity and mortality compared to complete systematic nodal dissection in the mediastinum [31]. PET scan plays an important role in mediastinal surgery, as it yields low false negative results and will therefore reduce the need for complete systematic lymph node dissection or sampling among PET negative patients [32].

Other surgical alternatives

Surgery for more advanced disease than stage I-II is controversial. Extended operations for lung cancer are defined as resection of adjacent organs such as the chest wall, diaphragm, pericardium, left atrium, superior vena cava and in superior sulcus tumours, in the apex of the chest. In such surgery, en bloc resection is advised in order to avoid tumor spillage. The five-year survival after resection of T3 disease, with tumor invasion of the chest wall, is excellent (45%) [33], as long as the lymph nodes are free from tumor spread (N0). After complete resection of a superior sulcus tumor, five-year survival of around 40% can be expected [34]. Lung resection among patients with malignant pleural effusion is not beneficial for survival, even in the absence of other pleural dissemination and when the effusion is considered as minor [35].

Video Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS) was introduced in the early 1990s with the aim of reducing surgical trauma compared with open surgery [36]. There is evidence that this technique when used during lobectomy reduces postoperative pain compared with muscle sparing thoracotomy (sparing both the anterior serratus muscle and the latissimus dorsi), but no effects are sustained beyond 3 weeks of surgery [37]. VATS lobectomy does not seem to be contraindicated (according to lymph node sampling) among patients with stage I NSCLC, with a low rate of missed positive lymph nodes compared with complete dissection of mediastinal lymph nodes in open surgery [38]. Preliminary five year survival rates after VATS lobectomy are comparable to results after open surgery [39]. However, some authors warn against the VATS technique on the grounds that a complete mediastinal lymph node dissection is not performed [22] and there is a need for long-term follow-up to determine the recurrence rate [40].

Bronchoplastic resection (bronchial sleeve resection aiming to spare the lung parenchyma) is an alternative to pneumonectomy, particularly among patients with limited pulmonary reserve. The mortality is somewhat higher than after classic lobectomy (0-9%), but it is a relatively safe method in experienced hands [41]. Tracheal sleeve pneumonectomy is a more aggressive procedure in resection of NSCLC. This approach is used in tumours involving the lower trachea, carina and lung, with surgical mortality of up to 30% and limited five-year survival (about 15%) [42].

Early Mortality and Morbidity after Surgical Resection

Advances in operative and postoperative care have led to a decline in complications and mortality rates during the last decades [43-46]. Compared to the high mortality (30%) after pulmonary resections during the 1950s [9], the mortality is now generally lower than 5% [43,47,48]. Early mortality (defined as death within the first 30 days postoperatively or within the same period of hospitalization), has ranged from 1.2-4% after lobectomy [43,48,49] to 3.2-12% after pneumonectomy [43,48-51] (with the highest rates after right-sided pneumonectomy [8]).

Since the proportion of older patients (>70 years) has increased in recent years, the incidence of associated co-morbidity has also increased [52]. Furthermore, cardiovascular and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, in particular, is twice as common among lung cancer patients as in the normal population [53]. It is estimated that 10% of patients undergoing lung cancer surgery have severe concurrent disease [54]. The early mortality was higher in this group than in patients without co-morbidity [50,54,55].

There are four potential life-threatening complications of lung resections, namely injury to the major vessels, cardiac arrhythmia, myocardial infarction and contralateral pneumothorax [56]. Intraoperative complications, however, are relatively rare and postoperative complications more often have serious consequences. These may be directly related to the intervention, such as technical, pulmonary, cardiac, hemorrhagic or septic events or related to the major operative procedure, such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, peripheral vascular, neurological and thromboembolic complications [56]. Complications can be divided into two categories: non-life-threatening and life-threatening disorders, often with more than one etiological factor involved. Most of the morbidity after lung resection consists of pulmonary complications, such as a need for prolonged mechanical ventilation, pneumonia, atelectasis, Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), emphysema and a need for oxygen on hospital discharge [56-58], which may lead to serious morbidity or mortality [57-59]. During the last decades, the rate of complications after lung surgery has been reduced by new anesthetic and surgical techniques and by consideration of the patient's risk factor profile prior to surgery.

Several factors have been identified as influencing the rate of major complications after lung cancer surgery, for example increasing age, gender (male) and pneumonectomy (or extent of resection) [47,50,55,60-62]. Some authors agree that older age alone should not be a contraindication to pulmonary resection [46,63,64], although special care should be observed in selecting patients for such surgery

at older ages, in a view of the possibility of comorbidity, especially if pneumonectomy is necessary [52,65]. Furthermore, weight loss, low serum albumin, low preoperative hemoglobin, preoperative smoking, peripheral vascular disease and hemiplegia are all associated with postoperative mortality and morbidity [47,49,62,66].

To identify patients with reduced lung reserve before lung resection, there are a number of parameters that are measured. Reduced % diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (%DL_{co}) [61,67], low preoperative FEV1% [50,68] and low predicted postoperative FEV1 [47] have all been postulated to have negative influence on the early outcome. Summarised together as a risk quotient, these factors seem to predict the risk for pulmonary complications better than each individual parameter alone [69]. A poor outcome at preoperative exercise testing has also been shown to correlate with postoperative cardiopulmonary complications [70].

Smoking and Surgery

As early as in 1944 it was suggested that smoking prior to surgery, in general, was associated with postoperative pulmonary complications [71]. In recent years, some authors have again pointed out the beneficial effect of preoperative smoking cessation on the early outcome of surgery [47,49,72]. The optimal duration of smoking cessation before surgery remains unclear, but among patients undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG), about 2 months without smoking are needed to reduce morbidity after thoracotomy [73]. Little is known about the optimal timing of smoking cessation before lung resection. A recent study showed an association between smoking within one month of pneumonectomy and increased risk for pulmonary complications, compared with patients who stopped smoking more than one month prior to surgery [72]. Smoked pack years preoperatively also correlate to survival after surgery; heavy smokers having a poorer prognosis than those who are not defined as heavy smokers [74]. Of interest also is the finding that those who have stopped smoking before surgery are more likely to continue being non-smokers postoperatively [75,76]. Between 13 and 50% of smokers are expected to continue to smoke after surgery [75-77]. It has also been suggested that persistent smoking during other types of lung cancer therapy (chemotherapy) might lead to a poorer outcome, indicating the importance of smoking cessation among all lung cancer patients [78,79].

Discussion

Over 150 prognostic factors [80] that influence long-term survival among patients with NSCLC have been identified. Most of these factors are of limited importance, however, in clinical practice. The factors can be divided into three categories: those related to the tumor, to the patient and to the treatment.

Tumor stage is the single most important prognostic factor, based on tumor size (T factor), lymph node invasion (N factor) and distant metastases (M factor; generally only M0 patients are considered for surgical treatment) [81,82]. Both T and N factors have an important impact on the prognosis among surgically treated patients [83,84]. Blood vessel invasion [85,86], visceral pleura invasion [87] and high serum levels of carcino-embryonic antigen all adversely influence survival [88,89]. Overall, there appear to be no clear differences in survival by histopathological type [81,84,86,90,91], but there is some

evidence of reduced survival among patients with adenocarcinoma [18,92]. At least two authors have reported poorer prognoses among patients with adenocarcinoma undergoing pneumonectomy compared with patients with squamous cell carcinoma undergoing this operation, while no differences in survival were found following less extensive resections [93,94]. These findings are likely to reflect a more aggressive N factor among patients with adenocarcinoma, which underlines the importance of taking tumor cell-type and tumor stage under consideration when comparing the outcome in different histopathological types [84,93]. There are other molecular biological and proliferation markers that are documented as being risk factors for outcome, many of which are still under investigation [80].

Female sex and younger age (<65 or <70 years) have been postulated to have a protective effect in early stage carcinoma [5,18,82,89,90,95], while arteriosclerosis, preoperative anemia, low FEV1 (<35% of predicted) [96] and weight loss prior to surgery negatively influence the prognosis [82,85,97]. Severe, but not mild or moderate comorbidity (using the Kapla-Feinstein index for comorbidity) also affects long-term survival [54].

Overall, pneumonectomized patients tend to have a poorer prognosis than patients undergoing lobectomy [85,98], although the recurrence rate is similar in the two groups. On the other hand, it has been postulated that there is no significance difference in prognosis between these two surgical alternatives after adjustment for other risk factors [99]. Surgical experience (higher volume clinics and specialists) seems to influence the outcome and both the long-term survival and early outcome seem to be better with high quality experience [100,101].

In the future, advanced screening programs might increase the number of patients with early stage disease and more patients could be available for surgery. During the last century, modern lung cancer surgery has evolved from general surgical practice into a thoracic speciality. An increase in the incidence of lung cancer from 1930 prompted pioneers to operate on lung cancers in the absence of other treatment alternatives. Since survival continues to be limited even after complete resection of carcinomas, establishment of more effective adjuvant therapy is needed to improve the prognosis. The role of chemotherapy and of radiation in early stage NSCLC remains unresolved.

References

- American Cancer Society. Global Cancer Facts and Figures. 2012; 15.
- World Health Organization. Cancer fact sheet. 2012.
- Nesbitt JC, Putnam JB, Walsh GL, Roth JA, Mountain CF. Survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1995; 60: 466-72.
- Reif MS, Socinski MA, Rivera MP. Evidence-based medicine in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Chest Med.* 2000; 1: 107-120.
- Gregor A, Thomson CS, Brewster DH, Stroner PL, Davidson J, Fergusson RJ, et al. Management and survival of patients with lung cancer in Scotland diagnosed in 1995: results of a national population based study. *Thorax.* 2001; 56: 212-217.
- Damhuis RA, Schutte PR. Resection rates and postoperative mortality in 7,899 patients with lung cancer. *Eur Respir J.* 1996; 9: 7-10.
- Laroche C, Wells F, Coulden R, Stewart S, Goddard M, Lowry E, et al. Improving surgical resection rate in lung cancer. *Thorax.* 1998; 53: 445-449.
- Downey RJ. Surgical management of lung cancer. *J Thorac Imaging.* 1999; 14: 266-269.
- Mountain CF. The evolution of the surgical treatment of lung cancer. *Chest Surg Clin N Am.* 2000; 10: 83-104.
- Graham EA, Singer JJ. Successful removal of an entire lung for carcinoma of the bronchus. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1933; 101: 1371-1374.
- Bjork VO. Bronchogenic carcinoma. *Acta Chir Scandinavica.* 1947; 95.
- Shimkin MB, R CR, Marcus BS. Pneumonectomy and lobectomy in bronchogenic carcinoma. *J Cardiovasc Surg.* 1962; 44: 503-519.
- Okada M, Yoshikawa K, Hatta T, Tsubota N. Is segmentectomy with lymphnode assessment an alternative to lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer of 2 cm or smaller? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001; 71: 956-960.
- Kodama K, Doi O, Higashiyama M, Yokouchi H. Intentional limited resection for selected patients with T1 N0 M0 non-small-cell lung cancer: a single institution study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1997; 114: 347-353.
- Deslauriers J. Current surgical treatment of nonsmall cell lung cancer 2001. *Eur Respir J Suppl.* 2002; 35: 61-70.
- Landreneau RJ, Sugarbaker DJ, Mack MJ, Hazelrigg SR, Luketich JD, Fetterman L, et al. Wedge resection versus lobectomy for stage I (T1 N0 M0) non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1997; 113: 691-698.
- Ginsberg RJ, Rubinstein LV. Randomized trial of lobectomy versus limited resection for T1 N0 non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer Study Group. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1995; 60: 615-622.
- Wertzel H, Siebert H, Lange W, Swoboda L, Graf E, Hasse J. Results after surgery in stage-I bronchogenic carcinoma. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1998; 46: 365-369.
- Padilla J, Calvo V, Penalver JC, Sales G, Morcillo A. Surgical results and prognostic factors in early non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997; 63: 324-326.
- Ohta Y, Oda M, Wu J, Tsunozuka Y, Hiroshi M, Nonomura A, et al. Can tumor size be a guide for limited surgical intervention in patients with peripheral non small cell lung cancer? Assessment from the point of view of nodal micrometastasis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2001; 122: 900-906.
- Wu J, Ohta Y, Minato H, Tsunozuka Y, Oda M, Watanabe Y, et al. Nodal occult metastasis in patients with peripheral lung adenocarcinoma of 2.0 cm or less in diameter. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001; 71: 1772-1777.
- Riquet M, Manac'h D, Barthes F, Dujon A, Debrosse D, Debesse B. Prognostic value of T and N in non small cell lung cancer three centimeters or less in diameter. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1997; 11: 440-443.
- Asamura H, Nakayama H, Kondo H, Tsuchiya R, Shimosato Y, Naruke T. Lymph node involvement, recurrence and prognosis in resected small, peripheral, non-small-cell lung carcinomas: are these carcinomas candidates for video-assisted lobectomy? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1996; 111: 1125-1134.
- Passlick B, Kubuschock B, Sienel W, Thetter O, Pantel K, Izbicki JR. Mediastinal lymphadenectomy in non-small cell lung cancer: effectiveness in patients with or without nodal micrometastases-results of a preliminary study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2002; 21: 520-526.
- Takizawa T, Terashima M, Koike T, Akamatsu H, Kurita Y, Yokoyama A. Mediastinal lymph node metastasis in patients with clinical stage I peripheral non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1997; 113: 248-252.
- Naruke T, Tsuchiya R, Kondo H, Nakayama H, Asamura H. Lymph node sampling in lung cancer: how should it be done? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1999; 16: 17-24.
- Keller SM, Adak S, Wagner H, Johnson DH. Mediastinal lymph node dissection improves survival in patients with stages II and IIIa non-small cell lung cancer. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2000; 70: 358-365.
- Nakanishi R, Osaki T, Nakanishi K, Yoshino I, Yoshimatsu T, Watanabe H,

- et al. Treatment strategy for patients with surgically discovered N2 stage IIIA non small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997; 64: 342-348.
29. Sugi K, Nawata K, Fujita N, Ueda K, Tanaka T, Matsuoka T, et al. Systematic lymph node dissection for clinically diagnosed peripheral non-small-cell lung cancer less than 2 cm in diameter. *World J Surg.* 1998; 22: 290-294.
 30. Izbicki JR, Passlick B, Pantel K, Pichlmeier U, Hosch SB, Karg O, et al. Effectiveness of radical systematic mediastinal lymphadenectomy in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer: results of a prospective randomized trial. *Ann Surg.* 1998; 227: 138-144.
 31. Deslauriers J, Gregoire J. Clinical and surgical staging of non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest.* 2000; 117: 96-103.
 32. Poncelet AJ, Lonneux M, Coche E, Weynand B, Noirhomme P. PET-FDG scan enhances but does not replace preoperative surgical staging in non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2001; 20: 468-474.
 33. Downey RJ, Martini N, Rusch VW, Bains MS, Korst RJ, Ginsberg RJ. Extent of chest wall invasion and survival in patients with lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999; 68: 188-193.
 34. Ginsberg RJ, Martini N, Zaman M, Armstrong JG, Bains MS, Burt ME, et al. Influence of surgical resection and brachytherapy in the management of superior sulcus tumor. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1994; 57: 1440-1445.
 35. Sawabata N, Matsumura A, Motohiro A, Osaka Y, Gennga K, Fukai S, et al. Malignant minor pleural effusion detected on thoracotomy for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: is tumor resection beneficial for prognosis? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73: 412-415.
 36. Landreneau RJ, Wiechmann RJ, Hazelrigg SR, Mack MJ, Keenan RJ, Ferson PF. Effect of minimally invasive thoracic surgical approaches on acute and chronic postoperative pain. *Chest Surg Clin N Am.* 1998; 8: 891-906.
 37. Landreneau RJ, Hazelrigg SR, Mack MJ, Dowling RD, Burke D, Gavlick J, et al. Postoperative pain-related morbidity: video-assisted thoracic surgery versus thoracotomy. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1993; 56: 1285-1289.
 38. Sagawa M, Sato M, Sakurada A, Matsumura Y, Endo C, Handa M, et al. A prospective trial of systematic nodal dissection for lung cancer by video-assisted thoracic surgery: can it be perfect? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73: 900-904.
 39. McKenna RJ, Wolf RK, Brenner M, Fischel RJ, Wurnig P. Is lobectomy by video-assisted thoracic surgery an adequate cancer operation? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1998; 66: 1903-1908.
 40. Daniels LJ, Balderson SS, Onaitis MW, D'Amico TA. Thoracoscopic lobectomy: a safe and effective strategy for patients with stage I lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 74: 860-864.
 41. Shields TW. Sleeve Lobectomy. *General Thoracic Surgery.* Philadelphia: Lipponcott Williams and Wilkins. 2000; 399-409.
 42. Shields TW. Tracheal Sleeve Pneumonectomy. *General Thoracic Surgery.* Philadelphia: Lipponcott Williams and Wilkins. 2000; 423-432.
 43. Ginsberg RJ, Hill LD, Eagan RT, Thomas P, Mountain CF, Deslauriers J, et al. Modern thirty-day operative mortality for surgical resections in lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1983; 86: 654-658.
 44. Perrot M, Licker M, Robert J, Spiliopoulos A. Time trend in the surgical management of patients with lung carcinoma. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1999; 15: 433-437.
 45. Knott-Craig CJ, Howell CE, Parsons BD, Paulsen SM, Brown BR, Elkins RC. Improved results in the management of surgical candidates with lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997; 63: 1405-1409.
 46. Pagni S, McKelvey A, Riordan C, Federico JA, Ponn RB. Pulmonary resection for malignancy in the elderly: is age still a risk factor? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1998; 14: 40-44.
 47. Kearney DJ, Lee TH, Reilly JJ, DeCamp MM, Sugarbaker DJ. Assessment of operative risk in patients undergoing lung resection. Importance of predicted pulmonary function. *Chest.* 1994; 105: 753-759.
 48. Wada H, Nakamura T, Nakamoto K, Maeda M, Watanabe Y. Thirty-day operative mortality for thoracotomy in lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1998; 115: 70-73.
 49. Harpole DH, DeCamp MM, Daley J, Hur K, Oprian CA, Henderson WG, et al. Prognostic models of thirty-day mortality and morbidity after major pulmonary resection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999; 117: 969-979.
 50. Bernard A, Ferrand L, Hagry O, Benoit L, Cheynel N, Favre JP. Identification of prognostic factors determining risk groups for lung resection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2000; 70: 1161-1167.
 51. Patel RL, Townsend ER, Fountain SW. Elective pneumonectomy: factors associated with morbidity and operative mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1992; 54: 84-88.
 52. Morandi U, Stefani A, Golinelli M, Ruggiero C, Brandi L, Chiapponi A, et al. Results of surgical resection in patients over the age of 70 years with non small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1997; 11: 432-439.
 53. Janssen-Heijnen ML, Schipper RM, Razenberg PP, Crommelin MA, Coebergh JW. Prevalence of co-morbidity in lung cancer patients and its relationship with treatment: a population-based study. *Lung Cancer.* 1998; 21: 105-113.
 54. Battafarano RJ, Piccirillo JF, Meyers BF, Hsu HS, Guthrie TJ, Cooper JD, et al. Impact of comorbidity on survival after surgical resection in patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2002; 123: 280-287.
 55. Romano PS, Mark DH. Patient and hospital characteristics related to in-hospital mortality after lung cancer resection. *Chest.* 1992; 101: 1332-1337.
 56. Shields TW, Ponn RB. Complications of Pulmonary Resection. In: Shields, editor. *General Thoracic Surgery.* Philadelphia: Lipponcott Williams and Wilkins. 2000; 481-505.
 57. Kutlu CA, Williams EA, Evans TW, Pastorino U, Goldstraw P. Acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome after pulmonary resection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2000; 69: 376-380.
 58. Wang J, Olak J, Ulmann RE, Ferguson MK. Assessment of pulmonary complications after lung resection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999; 67: 1444-1447.
 59. Smetana GW. Preoperative pulmonary evaluation. *N Engl J Med.* 1999; 340: 937-944.
 60. Licker M, Perrot M, Hohn L, Tschopp JM, Robert J, Frey JG, et al. Perioperative mortality and major cardio-pulmonary complications after lung surgery for non-small cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1999; 15: 314-319.
 61. Yano T, Yokoyama H, Fukuyama Y, Takai E, Mizutani K, Ichinose Y. The current status of postoperative complications and risk factors after a pulmonary resection for primary lung cancer. A multivariate analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1997; 11: 445-449.
 62. Duque JL, Ramos G, Castrodeza J, Cerezal J, Castaneda M, Yuste MG, et al. Early complications in surgical treatment of lung cancer: a prospective, multicenter study. Grupo Cooperativo de Carcinoma Broncogenico de la Sociedad Espanola de Neumologia y Cirugia Toracica. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997; 63: 944-950.
 63. Roxburgh JC, Thompson J, Goldstraw P. Hospital mortality and long-term survival after pulmonary resection in the elderly. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1991; 51: 800-803.
 64. Breyer RH, Zippe C, Pharr WF, Jensik RJ, Kittle CF, Faber LP. Thoracotomy in patients over age seventy years: ten-year experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1981; 81: 187-193.
 65. Dyszkiewicz W, Pawlak K, Gasiorowski L. Early post-pneumonectomy complications in the elderly. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2000; 17: 246-250.
 66. Bernard A, Deschamps C, Allen MS, Miller DL, Trastek VF, Jenkins GD, et al. Pneumonectomy for malignant disease: factors affecting early morbidity and mortality. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2001; 121: 1076-1082.
 67. Ferguson MK, Reeder LB, Mick R. Optimizing selection of patients for major lung resection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1995; 109: 275-281.

68. Dales RE, Dionne G, Leech JA, Lunau M, Schweitzer I. Preoperative prediction of pulmonary complications following thoracic surgery. *Chest*. 1993; 104: 155-159.
69. Melendez JA, Barrera R. Predictive respiratory complication quotient predicts pulmonary complications in thoracic surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1998; 66: 220-224.
70. Epstein SK, Faling LJ, Daly BD, Celli BR. Inability to perform bicycle ergometry predicts increased morbidity and mortality after lung resection. *Chest*. 1995; 107: 311-316.
71. Morton HJV. Tobacco smoking and pulmonary complications after surgery. *Lancet*. 1944; 1: 368-370.
72. Vaporciyan AA, Merriman KW, Ece F, Roth JA, Smythe WR, Swisher SG, et al. Incidence of major pulmonary morbidity after pneumonectomy: association with timing of smoking cessation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002; 73: 420-425.
73. Warner MA, Divertie MB, Tinker JH. Preoperative cessation of smoking and pulmonary complications in coronary artery bypass patients. *Anesthesiology*. 1984; 60: 380-383.
74. Fujisawa T, Iizasa T, Saitoh Y, Sekine Y, Motohashi S, Yasukawa T, et al. Smoking before surgery predicts poor long-term survival in patients with stage I non-small-cell lung carcinomas. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999; 17: 2086-2091.
75. Davison AG, Duffy M. Smoking habits of long-term survivors of surgery for lung cancer. *Thorax*. 1982; 37: 331-333.
76. Dresler CM, Bailey M, Roper CR, Patterson GA, Cooper JD. Smoking cessation and lung cancer resection. *Chest*. 1996; 110: 1199-1202.
77. Sarna L, Padilla G, Holmes C, Tashkin D, Brecht ML, Evangelista L. Quality of life of long-term survivors of non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20: 2920-2929.
78. Dresler CM. Is it more important to quit smoking than which chemotherapy is used? *Lung Cancer*. 2003; 39: 119-124.
79. Colice GL, Rubins J, Unger M. Follow-up and Surveillance of the Lung Cancer Patient Following Curative-Intent Therapy. *Chest*. 2003; 123: 272-283.
80. Brundage MD, Davies D, Mackillop WJ. Prognostic factors in non-small cell lung cancer: a decade of progress. *Chest*. 2002; 122: 1037-1057.
81. Al-Kattan K, Sepsas E, Townsend ER, Fountain SW. Factors affecting long term survival following resection for lung cancer. *Thorax*. 1996; 51: 1266-1269.
82. Jazieh AR, Hussain M, Howington JA, Spencer HJ, Husain M, Grismer JT, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with surgically resected stages I and II non small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000; 70: 1168-1171.
83. Mountain CF, Dresler CM. Regional lymph node classification for lung cancer staging. *Chest*. 1997; 111: 1718-1723.
84. Jefferson MF, Pendleton N, Faragher EB, Dixon GR, Myskow MW, Horan MA. 'Tumour volume' as a predictor of survival after resection of Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). *Br J Cancer*. 1996; 74: 456-459.
85. Thomas P, Doddoli C, Thirion X, Ghez O, Payan-Defais MJ, Giudicelli R, et al. Stage I non-small cell lung cancer: a pragmatic approach to prognosis after complete resection. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002; 73: 1065-1070.
86. Kessler R, Gasser B, Massard G, Roeslin N, Meyer P, Wihlm JM, et al. Blood vessel invasion is a major prognostic factor in resected non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1996; 62: 1489-1493.
87. Manac'h D, Riquet M, Medioni J, Le Pimpec-Barthes F, Dujon A, Danel C. Visceral pleura invasion by non-small cell lung cancer: an underrated bad prognostic factor. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2001; 71: 1088-1093.
88. Sawabata N, Ohta M, Takeda S, Hirano H, Okumura Y, Asada H, et al. Serum carcino embryonic antigen level in surgically resected clinical stage I patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002; 74: 174-179.
89. Suzuki K, Nagai K, Yoshida J, Moriyama E, Nishimura M, Takahashi K, et al. Prognostic factors in clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1999; 67: 927-932.
90. Bouchardy C, Fioretta G, De Perrot M, Obradovic M, Spiliopoulos A. Determinants of long term survival after surgery for cancer of the lung: A population-based study. *Cancer*. 1999; 86: 2229-2237.
91. Van Rens MT, Riviere AB, Elbers HR, Bosch JM. Prognostic assessment of 2,361 patients who underwent pulmonary resection for non-small cell lung cancer, stage I, II and IIIA. *Chest*. 2000; 117: 374-379.
92. Mountain CF, Lukeman JM, Hammar SP, Chamberlain DW, Coulson WF, Page DL, et al. Lung cancer classification: the relationship of disease extent and cell type to survival in a clinical trials population. *J Surg Oncol*. 1987; 35: 147-156.
93. Mizushima Y, Sugiyama S, Noto H, Kusajima Y, Yamashita R, Sassa K, et al. Prognosis for patients with pneumonectomy or lesser resections for non-small cell lung cancer based on histologic cell type. *Oncol Rep*. 1998; 5: 689-692.
94. Deneffe G, Lacquet LM, Verbeken E, Vermaut G. Surgical treatment of bronchogenic carcinoma: a retrospective study of 720 thoracotomies. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1988; 45: 380-383.
95. Perrot M, Licker M, Bouchardy C, Usel M, Robert J, Spiliopoulos A. Sex differences in presentation, management and prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2000; 119: 21-26.
96. Ringbaek T, Borgeskov S, Lange P, Viskum K. Diagnostic and therapeutic process and prognosis in suspected lung cancer. *Scand Cardiovasc J*. 1999; 33: 337-343.
97. Clee MD, Hockings NF, Johnston RN. Bronchial carcinoma: factors influencing postoperative survival. *Br J Dis Chest*. 1984; 78: 225-235.
98. Martin J, Ginsberg RJ, Venkatraman ES, Bains MS, Downey RJ, Korst RJ, et al. Long-term results of combined-modality therapy in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20: 1989-1995.
99. Ferguson MK, Karrison T. Does pneumonectomy for lung cancer adversely influence long-term survival? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2000; 119: 440-448.
100. Silvestri GA, Handy J, Lackland D, Corley E, Reed CE. Specialists achieve better outcomes than generalists for lung cancer surgery. *Chest*. 1998; 114: 675-680.
101. Bach PB, Cramer LD, Schrag D, Downey RJ, Gelfand SE, Begg CB. The influence of hospital volume on survival after resection for lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2001; 345: 181-188.